

The HIV-1 reverse transcriptase E138A natural polymorphism decreases the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine in vitro

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:						
Original:						
Giannini, A., Vicenti, I., Materazzi, A., Boccuto, A., Dragoni, F., Zazzi, M., et al. (2019). The HIV-1 reverse cranscriptase E138A natural polymorphism decreases the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine in vitro. JOURNAL OF ANTIMICROBIAL CHEMOTHERAPY, 74(3), 607-613 [10.1093/jac/dky479].						
Availability:						
This version is availablehttp://hdl.handle.net/11365/1078802 since 2019-08-24T13:48:42Z						
Published:						
DOI:10.1093/jac/dky479						
Terms of use:						
Open Access The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.						

(Article begins on next page)



Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase E138A natural polymorphism decreases the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine in vitro

Journal:	Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy			
Manuscript ID	JAC-2018-1417.R1			
Manuscript Type:	Original Article			
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a			
Complete List of Authors:	Giannini, Alessia; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Vicenti, Ilaria; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Materazzi, Angelo; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Boccuto, Adele; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Dragoni, Filippo; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Zazzi, Maurizio; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Zazzi, Maurizio; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies Saladini, Francesco; University of Siena, Department of Medical Biotechnologies			
Keywords:	HIV, Drug resistance, Etravirine, In vitro susceptibility, In vitro resistance selection			

×

1	The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase E138A natural polymorphism decreases the
2	genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine <i>in vitro</i>
3	
4	Alessia Giannini ¹ , Ilaria Vicenti ¹ , Angelo Materazzi ¹ , Adele Boccuto ¹ , Filippo Dragoni ¹ , Maurizio Zazzi ¹ , Francesco
5	Saladini ¹ *
6	
7	¹ Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy
8	
9	Running title: HIV-1 RT 138A polymorphism decreases the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	*Corresponding author:
18	Francesco Saladini, PhD
19	Telephone: +39 0577233910
20	Fax: +39 0577233870
21	Email: saladini6@unisi.it
22	
23	
24	
25	

26	
27	
28	Abstract
29	
30	Objectives. The HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) natural polymorphism E138A is included among the mutations
31	with a minor impact on response to etravirine. However, the interpretation of E138A on etravirine
32	susceptibility is not consistent across different genotypic resistance algorithms. The aim of the study was to
33	investigate the effect of E138A on the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine in vitro.
34	
35	Methods. A panel of 20 clinically derived recombinant viruses (10 with wild type 138E and 10 with 138A, all
36	without any other resistance mutation) were cultured in the presence of increasing etravirine concentration
37	and analysed for genotypic changes at virus breakthrough. Parallel experiments were conducted with
38	138E/A/G/K/Q NL4-3 based clones.
39	
40	Results . In the NL4-3 background, codon 138 changes increased etravirine resistance in the following order:
41	
	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median
42	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95%
42 43	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95% Cl] for viral outgrowth with 138A, 5.48 [2.95-28.24]; P < 0.001) and grew at higher drug concentrations (median
42 43 44	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95% CI] for viral outgrowth with 138A, 5.48 [2.95-28.24]; P < 0.001) and grew at higher drug concentrations (median [IQR], 1350 [1350-1350] versus 0 [0-1350] nM; P = 0.005). A variety of etravirine resistance related mutations
42 43 44 45	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95% CI] for viral outgrowth with 138A, 5.48 [2.95-28.24]; P < 0.001) and grew at higher drug concentrations (median [IQR], 1350 [1350-1350] versus 0 [0-1350] nM; P = 0.005). A variety of etravirine resistance related mutations and changes in the RT connection and RNase H domain accumulated without any consistent pattern depending
42 43 44 45 46	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95% CI] for viral outgrowth with 138A, 5.48 [2.95-28.24]; P < 0.001) and grew at higher drug concentrations (median [IQR], 1350 [1350-1350] versus 0 [0-1350] nM; P = 0.005). A variety of etravirine resistance related mutations and changes in the RT connection and RNase H domain accumulated without any consistent pattern depending on baseline codon 138.
42 43 44 45 46 47	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95% CI] for viral outgrowth with 138A, 5.48 [2.95-28.24]; P < 0.001) and grew at higher drug concentrations (median [IQR], 1350 [1350-1350] versus 0 [0-1350] nM; P = 0.005). A variety of etravirine resistance related mutations and changes in the RT connection and RNase H domain accumulated without any consistent pattern depending on baseline codon 138.
42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Q>K>A>G>E. The 138A viruses were less susceptible to etravirine compared with the 138E viruses (median [IQR] fold-change, 1.8 [1.5-2.8] versus 1.3 [0.8-1.8]; P = 0.026), overcame etravirine pressure earlier (HR [95% CI] for viral outgrowth with 138A, 5.48 [2.95-28.24]; P < 0.001) and grew at higher drug concentrations (median [IQR], 1350 [1350-1350] versus 0 [0-1350] nM; P = 0.005). A variety of etravirine resistance related mutations and changes in the RT connection and RNase H domain accumulated without any consistent pattern depending on baseline codon 138.

50 resistance mutations.

_	
- 5	
0	т.

52

53

Confidential: for peer terien only

54 Introduction

55

56 Etravirine is a second generation NNRTI indicated for the treatment of HIV type 1 (HIV-1) infection in treatment experienced patients both in Europe and US.^{1,2} In vitro experiments demonstrated that etravirine has a higher 57 genetic barrier to resistance and a different resistance profile compared to the first generation NNRTIS 58 59 nevirapine and efavirenz.^{3,4} The efficacy and safety of etravirine were originally investigated in treatment-60 experienced patients in the DUET-1 and DUET-2 clinical trials, where the addition of etravirine to background 61 regimen showed significantly higher rates of viral suppression compared to the placebo plus background regimen up to week 96.⁵ Accordingly, etravirine has then been used in the clinical setting primarily in heavily 62 63 treatment experienced patients.^{6,7} Based on favourable data on durability, tolerability and genetic barrier, 64 etravirine has been also evaluated as a component of two-drug regimens in several studies in patients with 65 either viral suppression or virologic failure.⁸⁻¹⁰ These studies concluded that etravirine based dual regimens 66 were effective both in maintaining undetectable viremia in suppressed patients and in contributing to 67 achievement of virological control in highly treated patients with limited therapy options.

68

69 Analysis of the correlation between baseline HIV-1 genotype and virological response in the DUET studies led 70 to the identification of 17 etravirine resistance associated mutations (RAMs) in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) 71 including V90I, A98G, L100I, K101E/H/P, V106I, E138A, V179D/F/T, Y181C/I/V, G190A/S, and M230L,¹¹ while 72 treatment emergent mutations were most often detected at codons 101, 138, 179 and 181.¹² Variants 73 emerging at codon 138 were highly heterogeneous and most often accompanied by other etravirine RAMs, 74 prompting to further investigation through site directed mutagenesis and resulting in the addition of E138G, K, 75 and Q to the existing etravirine weighted genotypic score.¹³ Of note, the etravirine RAM E138A occurs as a 76 natural polymorphism and is more prevalent in subtype C than B in different databases with frequencies ranging from 5.9 to 7.5% versus 0 to 2.3% in treatment naïve patients and 5.9 to 6.1% versus 2.0 to 2.5% in 77 treatment experienced individuals, respectively.¹⁴ However, subtype specificity is subject to geographic 78

79 variation. For example, E138A is found in 5.2% (270/5209) of RT sequences from treatment naïve patients 80 stored in the Italian Antiviral Response Cohort Analysis database (www.dbarca.net), with comparable 81 frequency in subtype B (6.0%) and C (5.3%). In addition, E138A is interpreted differently by the most widely 82 used genotype interpretation systems, with Stanford HIVdb, REGA and ANRS scoring this variant as potential low-level resistance, full susceptibility and possible resistance to etravirine, respectively. Based on these data, 83 84 we were interested in assessing the impact of E138A in the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine. In this 85 study, we evaluated the development of resistance to etravirine by *in vitro* selection experiments in two panels 86 of clinically derived recombinant strains carrying the wild type 138E or the 138A variant in the absence of any other etravirine and NNRTI RAMs. Site-directed mutant infectious plasmids were also used to evaluate the 87 88 effect of different amino acids at codon 138 in a syngeneic background.

89

90 Materials and methods

91

92 Clinical samples and ethics

93 Twenty plasma samples were selected from HIV-1 positive untreated patients previously tested for routine 94 drug resistance genotyping at baseline, as recommended by European guidelines [EACS 2017]. Samples choice 95 was based on the presence of the 138E wild type codon (n = 10) or the 138A polymorphism (n = 10) within the RT coding region and no other NNRTI RAMs, according to the IAS-USA drug resistance mutations list,¹⁵ as well 96 97 as no RT mutation (except for E138A itself) conferring any level of etravirine resistance in the Stanford HIVdb 98 algorithm, version 8.6 (A98G, L100I/V, K101E/H/P, E138G/K/Q/R, V179D/E/F/L, Y181C/F/G/I/S/V, Y188L, 99 G190A/C/E/Q/S/T/V, H221Y, F227C, and M230I/L). The use of residual, anonymized clinical samples for 100 research studies was regulated by patient informed consent, as approved by the South-East Tuscany Ethical 101 Committee. Clinical and laboratory data of the patients were stored in the ARCA database (www.dbarca.net).

102

103 Construction of recombinant viruses and phenotypic determination of susceptibility to etravirine

104 The method used for the creation and titration of recombinant viruses carrying patient derived RT-RNaseH 105 coding region has been previously described.¹⁶ The PCR primers and the protocol for amplification of the RT-106 RNaseH region from viral RNA are included in the Supplementary Data. The HIV-1 subtype B NL4-3 laboratory 107 strain (harbouring the RT 138E codon) was used as the wild-type virus. In addition, pNL4-3 based plasmids 108 carrying RT 138A, 138G, 138K and 138Q were constructed through site-directed mutagenesis by using the 109 QuikChange® Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), according to 110 manufacturer's instructions. Baseline etravirine susceptibility was evaluated by quantifying luciferase activity 111 after infection of TZM-bl cells with recombinant viruses in the presence of serial dilutions of the drug. To determine the IC_{50} of each recombinant virus, TZM-bl cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at 15,000 cells per 112 113 well and infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 in the presence of 5-fold serial dilutions of 114 etravirine (range 10,000 – 5.12 nM). After 48 hours, the cells were lysed by adding 50 μL/well of Glo-Lysis 115 Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and the lysates were transferred to a luminescence plate. Fifty microliters 116 of Bright-Glo Luciferase Reagent (Promega) were added to each well and the luminescence was measured with 117 the GloMax[®] Discover Multimode Microplate Reader (Promega). Relative Luminescence Units (RLU) detected in each well were elaborated with GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) to calculate 118 119 the IC₅₀ values of recombinant and wild-type viruses.

120

121 In vitro resistance selection (IVRS)

The recombinant viruses, as well as the reference wild type and mutant NL4-3 strains were used to infect 10^{6} MT-2 cells at a MOI of 0.1 in the presence of an initial concentration of 50 nM etravirine corresponding to 4fold the IC₅₀ of wild type 138E. Viral replication was monitored every 48-72 hours by microscopic inspection of syncytia. When advanced cytopathic effect was observed, the supernatant was harvested, cleared by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 300 g and stored at -80°C until genotypic analysis. Subsequent passages were set up using the harvested virus to infect a new culture of 10^{6} MT-2 cells in the presence of a 3-fold higher

128	concentration of etravirine (150, 450 and 1350 nM). IVRS cultures were interrupted after viral breakthrough at
129	1350 nM etravirine or after 108 days from the start of the experiment, whichever occurred first.
130	
131	RT-RNaseH sequencing
132	The whole RT-RNaseH coding region sequence (nucleotides 2550-4229 in HIV-1 HXB2 reference strain) was
133	obtained from each recombinant virus by Sanger sequencing at baseline and at each viral breakthrough to
134	detect emergent mutations. Viral RNA was extracted using the EZ1 Advanced XL system (Qiagen, Hilden,
135	Germany) with the EZ1 DSP Virus Kit (Qiagen), reverse-transcribed and amplified as described in the
136	Supplementary Data.
137	
138	Statistical analysis
139	The probability of virus breakthrough in cell culture under etravirine pressure was computed by survival
140	analysis and the difference between 138E and 138A viruses was examined by Mantel-Cox log rank analysis. The
141	Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyse the differences in baseline etravirine susceptibility and in the
142	maximum etravirine concentration at which virus growth occurred with 138E and 138A. All tests were done by
143	GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).
144	
145	
146	Results
147	
148	Based on phylogenetics analysis of the whole RT-RNaseH coding region, the clinical variants carrying the wild
149	type 138E codon included six subtypes B, two subtypes F1 and two B/F recombinants close to CRF12_BF while
150	the clinical variants carrying the mutant 138A codon included nine subtypes B and one CRF02_AG. Following
151	recombination, the chimeric viruses were confirmed to retain the original sequence as determined at the time

152 of routine drug resistance genotyping, without any NNRTI resistance mutation. NRTI and major PI resistance

mutations were also not present in any isolate. Natural polymorphisms are shown in Supplementary table 1 and the baseline sequences have been made available through GenBank at accession codes MH682065-MH682084.

156

157 At baseline, the fold-change etravirine susceptibility with respect to the reference NL4-3 was significantly 158 higher with 138A compared with 138E carrying viruses (median and IQR 1.8, 1.5-2.8 versus 1.3, 0.8-1.8; P = 159 0.026) (Figure 1). All site-directed NL4-3 mutants carrying different amino acids at codon 138 were less 160 susceptible to etravirine compared to the wild type 138E reference virus (Table 1). However, the 138K NL4-3 virus replicated poorly in drug resistance selection experiments yielding cytopathic effect only at 50 nM 161 162 etravirine in the absence of any RT mutation. The 138A NL4-3 virus also was not able to grow at etravirine concentration higher than 150 nM, despite initial selection of one etravirine resistance mutation (M230I). By 163 164 contrast, the wild type 138E and the mutant 138G and 138Q NL4-3 viruses all grew up to the highest etravirine 165 concentration used but selecting different combinations of mutations at codons involved in resistance to 166 etravirine, i.e. 100, 106, 179, 181, 227, 230.

167

168 All of the ten clinically derived 138A viruses replicated up to 450 nM etravirine and all but one replicated up to 169 the final highest 1350 nM etravirine concentration. By contrast, only three of the clinically derived 138E viruses 170 were able to replicate at the highest concentration, one grew up to 450 nM etravirine and the remaining six did not even grow at the lowest 50 nM etravirine concentration used. The difference between the 138E and 138A 171 172 groups was statistically significant, both in terms of time to first virus breakthrough (hazard ratio for viral 173 outgrowth with 138A, 5.48, 95% CI 2.95-28.24; P < 0.001; Figure 2) and in terms of maximum etravirine 174 concentration overcome by virus growth (median and IQR: 0 and 0-1350 nM for 138E versus 1350 and 1350-175 1350 for 138A; P = 0.005; Figure 3).

176

177 Table 2 shows the RT amino acid changes detected in the breakthrough virus for all clinical isolates at the 178 different etravirine concentration steps, with respect to the individual baseline sequence. Mutations emerging 179 with at least three isolates at any time point included V179D/E/F (7 cases), Y181C (5), M230I (4), and T240I (3). 180 Of these, T240I was the only mutation exclusively emerging with baseline 138A viruses (the three clinically 181 derived recombinants and the 138A NL4-3 reference virus). Of the 14 viruses replicating at least up to the 450 182 nM etravirine concentration, 12 eventually carried at least one of the mutations included in the etravirine 183 resistance score derived from the DUET studies and/or conferring at least low-level resistance to etravirine 184 according to the Stanford HIVdb algorithm. One of the two cases without any of these mutations showed the V179E change conferring only potential low-level resistance to etravirine in the Stanford HIVdb algorithm 185 186 (sample 138185) while sample 146102, which was the 138E virus with the largest decrease in baseline etravirine susceptibility, selected mutations not known to be involved in any NNRTI resistance and did not grow 187 at the highest etravirine concentration used. 188

189

190 Interestingly, mutations in the RT connection domain (amino acids 320 to 440) emerged in two 138E (143026, 191 146473) and seven 138A (60154, 72009, 81958, 100442, 127757, 138185, 141163) clinically derived viruses. 192 Likewise, mutations in the RNase H domain (amino acids 441 to 560) also emerged in two 138E (143035, 146473) and seven 138A (52420, 60154, 81958, 95551, 100442, 127757, 138185) clinically derived viruses. 193 194 Overall, as many as 31 distinct connection or RNase H domain mutations emerged in 12 clinically derived 195 viruses, appearing before than or concomitantly with etravirine resistance mutations in 5 and 5 cases, 196 respectively. However, mutational patterns were highly diversified, with only few mutations selected with two 197 different viruses (K451R, R461K, Q464R, K527R, V531I) and no mutation selected in more than two. By 198 contrast, the only mutation selected outside the RT domain with the NL4-3 viruses was A400T (also detected with sample 141163) emerging in the wild type 138E virus following selection of the etravirine resistance 199 200 mutation L100I (Table 1).

201

202 Discussion

203

204 Etravirine was originally approved as a component of salvage regimens in patients harbouring multidrug 205 resistant virus. Indeed, etravirine retains activity against several mutants selected at failure of first generation 206 NNRTI and can be a key drug even in deep salvage.⁶ Both in vitro and in vivo data soon revealed that resistance 207 to etravirine is much more complex than resistance to first generation NNRTI and genotypic etravirine susceptibility scoring systems have included up to 49 mutations.¹⁷ Although different systems mostly agree on 208 209 mutations conferring high resistance, there is much less consensus on the role of minor mutations. Among 210 these, E138A is particularly interesting because it occurs in nature in up to 1.8% to 7.8% of isolates, depending 211 on HIV-1 subtype (Stanford HIVdb, https://hivdb.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/MutPrevBySubtypeRx.cgi), and it is 212 interpreted differently by the most common genotype algorithms. In addition, codon 138 can accommodate 213 different polymorphisms in nature (mainly A and Q) and selects for different variants following etravirine 214 pressure.13

215

216 The 2.8-fold decrease in etravirine susceptibility measured in our study for the 138A clone is remarkably similar to the 2.9-fold and the 2.5-fold previously obtained with subtype B and C clones, respectively.^{13,18} The same 217 218 applies to the 138G clone while in our experiments the 138K and 138Q constructs showed a fold-change closer to the data obtained in a subtype C, rather than B, background.^{13,18} The lower clinical cutoff used for etravirine 219 in the Phenosense assay from Monogram is 2.9-fold,¹⁹ confirming that the impact of E138A on etravirine 220 221 susceptibility is difficult to define. Interestingly, codon 138 appeared to dictate different resistance pathways 222 within the same NL4-3 backbone, with L100I, Y181C and M230I as the initial etravirine resistance mutation 223 emerging from 138E, 138G and 138A/Q, respectively. However, the choice of different major etravirine 224 mutations may have been partly stochastic as different changes have been documented in multiple in vitro 225 resistance selection studies, all starting from the wild type 138E virus.^{4,20-22} The 138 A, K and Q variants replicated at the lowest etravirine concentration used without selecting for any mutation, a finding compatible 226

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

with their lower baseline susceptibility to etravirine. Accordingly, the most resistant K and Q clones generated a cytopathic effect significantly earlier than the other variants. However, the 138K clone was lost at the first increase in etravirine concentration (150 nM), despite baseline resistance, suggesting reduced fitness as previously documented.²³ Similarly, the 138A clone did not grow at 450 nM etravirine, irrespective of previous acquisition of M230I. On the other hand, the wild type 138E and the 138G and 138Q variants accumulated further etravirine resistance mutations at higher drug concentration. This indicates a delicate balance between resistance and fitness for different variants at codon 138.

234

235 With the panel of 20 clinically derived recombinant viruses, E138A was confirmed to confer a low but 236 significant level of resistance to etravirine. The median fold-change in etravirine susceptibility (1.8) was lower 237 than that of the 138A NL4-3 clone (2.8), possibly reflecting better adaptation of the laboratory NL4-3 virus to 238 cell culture. However, two patient derived 138A viruses had fold-change values larger than the 138A NL4-3, suggesting a role for background polymorphisms. Accordingly, among isolates without any other NNRTI 239 240 mutation included in the Monogram database, E138A was present in 71.4% of 49 clinically derived viruses with >2.9 (median 3.5) etravirine susceptibility fold-change as compared with 3.3% of another 9,409 samples with 241 242 fold-change <2.9.²⁴ The most interesting and novel data shown in this study is that E138A significantly lowers 243 HIV-1 genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine as indicated by both a shorter time to virus breakthrough and 244 the ability to grow at higher etravirine concentration compared to the wild type 138E. Of note, six of the ten 245 138E viruses did not even grow at the lowest etravirine concentration used indicating that the experimental 246 condition was challenging in the absence of the E138A mutation. The resistance pathway was highly diversified 247 in the other 14 viruses and did not allow to define any preferential pattern for 138A versus 138E at baseline. 248 This highlights that etravirine has a complex resistance pattern but also that there are multiple options for 249 resistance to emerge, likely guided by natural polymorphisms. One 138A and one 138E accumulated only non 250 etravirine related mutations and grew up to the last and penultimate drug concentration used, respectively,

- suggesting that alternative resistance patterns may go undetected by currently used genotypic interpretation
 systems.
- 253

254 RT evolution also involved the RT connection and/or RNase H domain in 12 of the 14 clinically derived viruses 255 overcoming drug pressure. Again, the pattern of emergent mutations was highly heterogeneous and no specific 256 mutation was significantly associated with either 138E or 138A. A number of RT connection and RNase H 257 domain have been reported to enhance NRTI resistance and, in a few cases, to contribute to NNRTI resistance 258 likely through decreased RNase activity leading to increased time for NRTI excision or NNRTI dissociation from 259 RT.²⁵ C-terminal RT mutations of this kind emerging in this etravirine resistance selection study include N348I, 260 G359S, A360T, A376S, A400T, A554T and K558R. Among these, a possible role in NNRTI resistance has been suggested for N348I,²⁶⁻³¹ A376S,^{28,30,32} and A400T,³³ although the impact on etravirine activity could be 261 262 modest.³⁰ A negative interaction between N348I and E138K has also been reported.³⁴ It must be noted that 263 under our experimental conditions several other C-terminal mutations emerged, possibly reflecting adaptation 264 of the different virus backbones to cell culture. Indeed, with the laboratory adapted NL4-3 viruses only one Cterminal RT mutation emerged, A400T in the wild type 138E virus as also reported in one previous etravirine 265 266 resistance selection experiment.²²

267

268 In summary, this study suggests that the main contribution of E138A to reduced response to etravirine may 269 result from an impact on the genetic barrier to resistance rather than from, or in addition to, low-level 270 resistance. This data supports inclusion of E138A as an etravirine resistance mutation in the REGA genotype 271 interpretation algorithm, similar to HIVdb and ANRS. However, the naturally occurring E138A variant may still 272 have higher genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine compared to first generation low-barrier NNRTIs such as 273 efavirenz and nevirapine. A role for E138A in response to etravirine based therapy in treatment-experienced viremic patients has been shown both in clinical trials and observational cohorts.^{13,35} By contrast, limited data 274 suggest that naturally occurring E138A does not impact virological response to rilpivirine.³⁶ It remains to be 275

276	established whether such role remains when using etravirine as a component of treatment switch in patients
277	under suppressive therapy. ³⁷ As a more general model, it may be advisable to examine the potential of low-
278	level resistance mutations to decrease the genetic barrier to resistance to specific antiretrovirals, particularly
279	those being used in dual regimens.
280	
281	Transparency Declarations
282	
283	M.Z. reports consultancy for ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, and Janssen-Cilag and grants for his institution
284	from ViiV Healthcare and Gilead. Other authors: none to declare.
285	
286	Acknowledgements
287	The pNL4-3 vector, etravirine, MT-2 and TZM-bl cell lines were obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent
288	Program.
289	
290	Funding
291	This work was supported by internal funding.

292 References

293	1.	European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) Guidelines for treatment of HIV-positive adults in Europe, version
294		9.0, October 2017. http://www.eacsociety.org/guidelines/eacs-guidelines/eacs-guidelines.html.
295	2.	Department of Health and Human Services - Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and
296		Adolescents. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents.
297		Last updated May 30, 2018. https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/html/1/adult-and-adolescent-arv/0
298	3.	Andries K, Azijn H, Thielemans T et al. TMC125, a novel next-generation nonnucleoside reverse
299		transcriptase inhibitor active against nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-resistant human
300		immunodeficiency virus type 1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48 : 4680-6.
301	4.	Vingerhoets J, Azijn H, Fransen E et al. TMC125 displays a high genetic barrier to the development of
302		resistance: evidence from <i>in vitro</i> selection experiments. <i>J Virol</i> 2005; 79 : 12773-82.
303	5.	Katlama C, Clotet B, Mills A et al. Efficacy and safety of etravirine at week 96 in treatment-experienced
304		HIV type-1-infected patients in the DUET-1 and DUET-2 trials. Antivir Ther 2010; 15: 1045-52.
305	6.	Yazdanpanah Y, Fagard C, Descamps D et al. High rate of virologic suppression with raltegravir plus
306		etravirine and darunavir/ritonavir among treatment-experienced patients infected with multidrug-
307		resistant HIV: results of the ANRS 139 TRIO trial. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 2009; 49 : 1441-9.
308	7.	Vingerhoets J, Calvez V, Flandre P et al. Efficacy of etravirine combined with darunavir or other
309		ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors in HIV-1-infected patients: an observational study using pooled
310		European cohort data. <i>HIV Med</i> 2015 ; 16 : 297-306.
311	8.	Bernardino JI, Zamora FX, Valencia E et al. Efficacy of a dual therapy based on darunavir/ritonavir and
312		etravirine in ART-experienced patients. <i>J Int AIDS Soc</i> 2014; 17 Suppl 3: 19787.
313	9.	Portilla J, Arazo P, Crusells J et al. Dual therapy with darunavir/r plus etravirine is safe and effective as
314		switching therapy in antiretroviral experienced HIV-patients. The BITER Study. J Int AIDS Soc 2014; 17
315		Suppl 3: 19803.

316	10	. Ruane PJ, Brinson C, Ramgopal M et al. The Intelence aNd pRezista Once A Day Study (INROADS): a
317		multicentre, single-arm, open-label study of etravirine and darunavir/ritonavir as dual therapy in HIV-1-
318		infected early treatment-experienced subjects. <i>HIV Med</i> 2015; 16 : 288-96.
319	11	. Vingerhoets J, Tambuyzer L, Azijn H <i>et al</i> . Resistance profile of etravirine: combined analysis of baseline
320		genotypic and phenotypic data from the randomized, controlled Phase III clinical studies. AIDS 2010;
321		24 : 503-14.
322	12	. Tambuyzer L, Vingerhoets J, Azijn H <i>et al</i> . Characterization of genotypic and phenotypic changes in HIV-
323		1-infected patients with virologic failure on an etravirine-containing regimen in the DUET-1 and DUET-2
324		clinical studies. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2010; 26 : 1197-205.
325	13	. Tambuyzer L, Nijs S, Daems B <i>et al</i> . Effect of mutations at position E138 in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase
326		on phenotypic susceptibility and virologic response to etravirine. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011; 58:
327		18-22.
328	14	. Sluis-Cremer N, Jordan MR, Huber K <i>et al</i> . E138A in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase is more common in
329		subtype C than B: implications for rilpivirine use in resource-limited settings. Antiviral Res 2014; 107:
330		31-4.
331	15	. Wensing AM, Calvez V, Günthard HF et al. 2017 Update of the Drug Resistance Mutations in HIV-1. Top
332		Antivir Med. 2017; 24 : 132-133.
333	16	. Saladini F, Giannini A, Boccuto A et al. Agreement between an in-house replication competent and a
334		reference replication defective recombinant virus assay for measuring phenotypic resistance to HIV-1
335		protease, reverse transcriptase, and integrase inhibitors. J Clin Lab Anal 2018; 32 : e22206.
336	17	. Vingerhoets J, Nijs S, Tambuyzer L et al. Similar predictions of etravirine sensitivity regardless of
337		genotypic testing method used: comparison of available scoring systems. Antivir Ther 2012; 17: 1571-9.
338	18	. Basson AE, Rhee SY, Parry CM et al. Impact of drug resistance-associated amino acid changes in HIV-1
339		subtype C on susceptibility to newer nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Antimicrob Agents
340		Chemother 2015; 59 : 960-71.

341	19.	Coakley E, Chappey C, Benhamida J et al. Biological and Clinical Cutoff Analyses for Etravirine in the
342		PhenoSense HIV Assay. Antivir Ther 2008; 13 Suppl 3: A134.
343	20.	Lai MT, Lu M, Felock PJ <i>et al</i> . Distinct mutation pathways of non-subtype B HIV-1 during <i>in vitro</i>
344		resistance selection with nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
345		2010; 54 : 4812-24.
346	21.	Asahchop EL, Oliveira M, Wainberg MA et al. Characterization of the E138K resistance mutation in HIV-
347		1 reverse transcriptase conferring susceptibility to etravirine in B and non-B HIV-1 subtypes. Antimicrob
348		Agents Chemother 2011; 55 : 600-7.
349	22.	Asahchop EL, Wainberg MA, Oliveira M et al. Distinct resistance patterns to etravirine and rilpivirine in
350		viruses containing nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor mutations at baseline. <i>AIDS</i> 2013; 27:
351		879-87.
352	23.	McCallum M, Oliveira M, Ibanescu RI et al. Basis for early and preferential selection of the E138K
353		mutation in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013; 57: 4681-8.
354	24.	Picchio G, Vingerhoets J, Tambuyzer L et al. Short communication prevalence of susceptibility to
355		etravirine by genotype and phenotype in samples received for routine HIV type 1 resistance testing in
356		the United States. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2011; 27: 1271-5.
357	25.	Delviks-Frankenberry KA, Nikolenko GN, Pathak VK. The "Connection" Between HIV Drug Resistance
358		and RNase H. Viruses 2010; 2: 1476-1503.
359	26.	Yap SH, Sheen CW, Fahey J et al. N348I in the connection domain of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase confers
360		zidovudine and nevirapine resistance. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2007; 4 : e335.
361	27.	Hachiya A, Kodama EN, Sarafianos SG et al. Amino acid mutation N348I in the connection subdomain of
362		human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase confers multiclass resistance to nucleoside
363		and nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. J Virol 2008; 82: 3261-70.

364	28.	. Hachiya A, Shimane K, Sarafianos SG <i>et al</i> . Clinical relevance of substitutions in the connection
365		subdomain and RNase H domain of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase from a cohort of antiretroviral
366		treatment-naïve patients. Antiviral Res 2009; 82: 115-21.
367	29	. Gupta S, Fransen S, Paxinos EE et al. Combinations of mutations in the connection domain of human
368		immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase: assessing the impact on nucleoside and
369		nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010; 54:
370		1973-80.
371	30	. Gupta S, Vingerhoets J, Fransen S <i>et al</i> . Connection domain mutations in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase do
372		not impact etravirine susceptibility and virologic responses to etravirine-containing regimens.
373		Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2011; 55 : 2872-9.
374	31	. Brehm JH, Koontz DL, Wallis CL <i>et al</i> . Frequent emergence of N348I in HIV-1 subtype C reverse
375		transcriptase with failure of initial therapy reduces susceptibility to reverse-transcriptase inhibitors.
376		Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55 : 737-45.
377	32	. Paredes R, Puertas MC, Bannister W <i>et al</i> . A376S in the connection subdomain of HIV-1 reverse
378		transcriptase confers increased risk of virological failure to nevirapine therapy. J Infect Dis 2011; 204:
379		741-52.
380	33.	. Wright DW, Deuzing IP, Flandre P et al. A polymorphism at position 400 in the connection subdomain
381		of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase affects sensitivity to NNRTIs and RNaseH activity. <i>PLoS One</i> 2013; 8:
382		e74078.
383	34.	. Xu HT, Colby-Germinario SP, Oliveira M <i>et al</i> . The connection domain mutation N348I in HIV-1 reverse
384		transcriptase enhances resistance to etravirine and rilpivirine but restricts the emergence of the E138K
385		resistance mutation by diminishing viral replication capacity. <i>J Virol</i> 2014; 88 : 1536-47.
386	35.	. Marcelin AG, Flandre P, Descamps D et al. Factors associated with virological response to etravirine in
387		nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-experienced HIV-1-infected patients. Antimicrob Agents
388		Chemother 2010; 54 : 72-7.

- 36. Porter DP, Toma J, Tan Y et al. Clinical Outcomes of Virologically-Suppressed Patients with Pre-existing 389
- 390 HIV-1 Drug Resistance Mutations Switching to Rilpivirine/Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate
- 391 in the SPIRIT Study. HIV Clin Trials 2016; 17: 29-37.

394

- 392 37. Nelson M, Hill A, van Delft Y et al. Etravirine as a Switching Option for Patients with HIV RNA
- 393 Suppression: A Review of Recent Trials. AIDS Res Treat 2014; 2014: 636584.

395 Table 1. Changes in RT genotype detected at virus breakthrough with increasing etravirine concentration in the five NL4-3 variants differing at codon

396 138.

	Etravirine													
Codo	susceptibilit	1 7×		-										
n 138	y fold-		Breakthrough at increasing etravirine concentration ^b											
	changeª		$- \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{X}}}$											
		<mark>50</mark>	<mark>) nM</mark>	M 150 nM			<mark>450 nM</mark>		<mark>) nM</mark>					
		Day	Mutations	Day	Mutations	Day	Mutations	Day	Mutations					
E	1.0	28	<u>L100I</u>	<mark>31</mark>	L100I	45	<mark>L100I</mark> V106A	<mark>49</mark>	L100I V106A					
	(reference)					.6	<mark>5400T</mark>		A400T					
A	2.8	<mark>33</mark>	No mutation	<mark>47</mark>	<mark>М230I</mark> Q269H	No viral growth		Not tested						
G	2.4	<mark>31</mark>	<u>Y181C</u>	<mark>40</mark>	<u>Y181C</u>	<mark>73</mark>	<u>Y181C</u>	<mark>76</mark>	<u>V179F</u> 181C					
к	9.3	<mark>14</mark>	No mutation	No viral growth		Not tested		Not tested	M.					
Q	14.1	<mark>14</mark>	No	<mark>33</mark>	<mark>M230I</mark>	<mark>47</mark>	<mark>M230I</mark>	<mark>76</mark>	F227C					

		mutation					<mark>M230I</mark>	
							<mark>V241A</mark>	
397	O _b		I					I
398								
399	^a Fold-change with respect to the reference 138E wild type NL4-3 virus.							
400	^b The day at which virus breakthrou	ugh occurred and the	list of mutations with	respect to the	<mark>individual base</mark>	line sequence	<mark>are shown. Mเ</mark>	<mark>utations</mark>
401	included in the etravirine resistanc	e score derived from	the DUET studies are	underlined (E1	38A itself is als	o included in t	<mark>his list).¹¹ Muta</mark>	ations

rd HIVdb 8.6 a_i. 402 conferring at least low-level resistance to etravirine according to the Stanford HIVdb 8.6 algorithm are in bold.

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy: under review

403 Table 2. Changes in RT genotype detected at virus breakthrough with increasing etravirine concentration in the 20 clinically derived recombinant

404 viruses.

Sample code, subtype	Codo n 138	Etravirine susceptibilit y fold- change ^a	yen	Х.	<mark>Breakthro</mark>	ugh at increasi	ing etravirine c	oncentration ^b		
			<mark>50</mark>	nM	<mark>150</mark>	nM	<mark>450</mark>	<mark>) nM</mark>	<mark>135</mark> 0	<mark>) nM</mark>
			Day	Mutations	Day	Mutations	Day	Mutations	Day	Mutations
52420 (B)	A	1.5	<mark>33</mark>	No mutation	52	T240I	59	T240I	91	H221Y L228H M230I K275R T477N A554FS
60154 (B)	A	2.0	<mark>19</mark>	R356K A376S K527R	<mark>40</mark>	K102R M230I K527R	<mark>66</mark>	V8I <u>V179D</u> M230I G359S A376S	83	V8I <u>V179D</u> M230I G359S A376S

								<mark>K527R</mark>		<mark>K527R</mark>
72009 (CRF02_AG)	A	1.5	80	<mark>T107A</mark> G333V	<mark>95</mark>	T107A V179E <u>Y181C</u> G333V	<mark>105</mark>	T107A <u>Y181C</u> G333V	No viral growth	
81958 (B)	A	3.0	<u>19</u>	Q197K E370A	<mark>59</mark>	T240I E370A K558R	<mark>66</mark>	T240I E370A K558R	<mark>80</mark>	<u>L100I</u> T240I E370A K558R
95551 (B)	A	1.7	<mark>45</mark>	E6D V35I A554T	<mark>59</mark>	E6D E29K I47N A554T	<mark>66</mark>	E6D G190E A554T	<mark>73</mark>	E6D G190E A554T
100442 (B)	A	2.5	<mark>40</mark>	P294A H483Y	<mark>52</mark>	P294A H483Y	59	<mark>G190E</mark> P294A H483Y	66 0 0	G190E P294A N348I E413D T477I H483Y
127757 (B)	A	1.7	40	<mark>M230I</mark> K451R	52	<mark>M230I</mark> R461K	73	<mark>M230I</mark> P420L	83	<u>V179D</u> M230I



						A360T				
				<mark>L100I</mark>		L100I		<mark>L100I</mark>		<mark>L100I</mark>
				<mark>E169G</mark>		<mark>E169G</mark>		<mark>E169G</mark>		<mark>E169G</mark>
		75.		<mark>I178L</mark>		<mark> 178L</mark>		<mark> 178L</mark>		<mark> 178L</mark>
146473 (F1)	E	1.3	<mark>52</mark>	<mark>K281R</mark>	<mark>59</mark>	<mark>K281R</mark>	<mark>73</mark>	<mark>K281R</mark>	<mark>76</mark>	<mark>K281R</mark>
			62	<mark>R358S</mark>		R358S		R358S		<mark>R358S</mark>
				E370G		<mark>E370G</mark>		<mark>E370G</mark>		<mark>E370G</mark>
				K530R	£	<mark>K530R</mark>		<mark>K530R</mark>		<mark>K530R</mark>
146446	F	0.8	<mark>No viral</mark>		Not tested		Not tested		Not tested	
(CRF12_BF)			<mark>growth</mark>							
146440	E	1.6	<mark>No viral</mark>		Not tested	6	Not tested		Not tested	
(CRF12_BF)			<mark>growth</mark>				6			
146356 (B)	E	0.4	<mark>No viral</mark>		Not tested		Not tested		Not tested	
			<mark>growth</mark>					Ch.		
146352 (B)	E	0.5	<mark>No viral</mark>		Not tested		Not tested	(Not tested	
			<mark>growth</mark>						1	
146213 (B)	E	2.4	<mark>No viral</mark>		Not tested		Not tested		Not tested	
			<mark>growth</mark>							

146150 (F1)	E	1.3	No viral growth		Not tested		Not tested		Not tested	
143035 (B)	E	1.3	47	V35I K64R <u>Y181C</u> K527R	52	V35I K64R <u>Y181C</u> K527R	59	V35I K64R <u>Y181C</u> K527R	73	V35I K64R V179F <u>Y181C</u> K527R
143026 (B)	E	1.8	<mark>59</mark>	<u> 9181C</u>	<mark>66</mark>	<u>¥181C</u> V372L	<mark>76</mark>	<u>V179F</u> <u>Y181C</u>	<mark>108</mark>	<u>V179F</u> <u>Y181C</u>
146102 (B)	E	3.4	<mark>47</mark>	<mark>Т165К</mark>	66	<mark>R78К T165К</mark> <mark>G273E</mark>	<mark>80</mark>	<mark>Т165К</mark> <mark>G273E</mark>	No viral growth	

405

⁴⁰⁶ ^aFold-change with respect to the reference 138E wild type NL4-3 virus.

407 ^bThe day at which virus breakthrough occurred and the list of mutations with respect to the individual baseline sequence are shown. Mutations

408 included in the etravirine resistance score derived from the DUET studies are underlined (E138A itself is also included in this list).¹¹ Mutations

409 conferring at least low-level resistance to etravirine according to the Stanford HIVdb 8.6 algorithm are in bold.

Figure 1. Baseline fold-change etravirine susceptibility with respect to the reference NL4-3 138E virus for the





Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve showing the probability of cell cultures remaining free from virus breakthrough at the initial etravirine concentration (50 nM) starting with wild type 138E (n = 10) and mutant 138A (n = 10)



Figure 3. Comparison between the maximum etravirine concentration step overcome by virus growth in the 138E (n = 10) and 138A (n = 10) virus group. The 0 to 4 steps correspond to 0, 50, 150, 450 and 1350 nM etravirine, with the cultures terminated at day 108.



The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase E138A natural polymorphism decreases the genetic barrier to resistance to etravirine in vitro

Supplementary Material

Amplification and sequencing of RT-RNAseH coding region from plasma viral RNA

Viral RNA from plasma was extracted using the EZ1 Advanced XL system (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with the EZ1 DSP Virus Kit (Qiagen). Twenty microliters of eluted viral RNA were firstly denatured at 70°C for 5 minutes, then mixed with a solution containing 6 μ l of ImProm-IITM 5X Reaction Buffer (Promega), 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.17 mM of each dNTP, 50 ng of random primers (Promega), 20 U of Recombinant RNasin[®] Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Promega), 1 μ l of ImProm-IITM Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) in a total volume of 30 μ l. Reactions were incubated for 5 minutes at 25°C, followed by 45 minutes at 37°C and 5 minutes at 80°C.

Five microliters of cDNA were used as template for the amplification of the whole RT and RNAseH coding region in a reaction including 10 µl of 5X Q5 Reaction Buffer (NEB), 0.5 mM of each dNTP, 5 pmol of each primer (P535, forward, 5'-GARAGRCAGGCTAATTTTTTAGGGA-3', coordinates on HIV-1 HXB2 reference strain 2071-2095; P216, reverse, 5'-TGTCCTGTTTCTGCTGGRATAACYTCTGC-3', HXB2 4485-4513), 1 U of Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB), and nuclease free water in a final volume of 50 µl. PCR conditions included an initial denaturation at 98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 35 cycles of 63°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute and 30 seconds, 98°C for 10 seconds, and a final cycle of 63°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 3 minutes. The outer PCR was followed by an inner PCR consisting in 2 µl of the outer PCR, 6 µl of 5X Q5 Reaction Buffer (NEB), 0.5 mM of each dNTP, 3 pmol of each primer (P189, forward, 5'- TTCAGAGCAGACCAGAGCCAACAGC-3', HXB2 2135-2159; P215, reverse, 5'-CCTTCTAAATGTGTACAATCTARTTGCCA-3', HXB2 4410-4438), 1 U of Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB), and nuclease free water in a final volume of 30 µl. PCR conditions included an initial denaturation at 98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 35 cycles of 62°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute and 30 seconds, 98°C for 10 seconds, followed by 35 cycles of 62°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute and 30 seconds, 98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 35 cycles of 62°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute and 30 seconds, 98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 35 cycles of 62°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute and 30 seconds, 98°C for 10 seconds, and a final cycle of 62°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 3 minutes. Inner PCR was

loaded on a 1.5% Seakem agarose gel and ran at 6 V/cm for 50 minutes, then the presence of expected bands was checked in a transilluminator after gel staining in a solution with GelRed dye (Biotium).

Sequencing reactions were performed on a 3130 XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). PCR products were diluted to a final concentration of about 1-3 ng/ μ l, then 10 μ l were purified adding 2 μ l of ExoSAP-IT For PCR Product Clean-Up (Affimetrix) incubating at 37°C for 15 minutes, followed by an inactivation step at 80°C for 15 minutes. Each sequencing reactions included 3 µl of purified PCR product, 3.2 pmol of sequencing primer, 2 µl of BigDye® Terminator v1.1 Ready Reaction Mix (Life Technologies), 1 µl of 5x Sequencing Buffer and bi-distilled sterile water in a total volume of 10 µl. Thermal cycler profile for this reaction was: initial denaturation step at 94° for 4 minutes, followed by 25 cycles of 50°C for 1 minute, 68°C for 4 minutes, 94°C for 1 minute. The primer used were P214 (forward, 5'- TTTGCCAGGAAAATGGAAACCAAAAATGAT-3', HXB2 2363-2392), P192 (forward, 5'-GGGATTTACCACACCAGACAAAAAACATC-3', HXB2 3185-3213), P220 5'-(reverse, TTCTGCTATTAAGTCTTTTGMTGGGTCRTA-3', HXB2 3504-3533), and P215. Sequencing reactions were treated with X-Terminator® Purification kit (Applied Biosystems) in a 96-wells plate as suggested by manufacturer, then loaded in the capillary electrophoresis sequencer. Chromatograms were assembled and edited with the DNAStar 7.1.0 SegMan module.

- <u>Supplementary Table 1. Natural polymorphisms of samples included in the study according to the</u> <u>Stanford HIVdb algorithm</u>

	Codon	
Sample (subtype)		Polymorphisms compared to consensus subtype B reference sequence
	138	
		R83K, D123E, S162C, E248D, D250N, A272P, P294Q, L301LF, E302EK, E328EK,
52420 (B)	А	R356K, M357ML, G359S, A376S, T377S, E378EK, K390R, A400S, F416FL,
		R448K, D460N, S519N, K527S, A554S
60154 (B)	А	E6D, K20R, V35VI, D123E, I135IT, S162C, D177DE, E248D, A272P, K277R,

		1293V, P345Q, A360T, A376AS, A400S, T450S, L452I, V467I, S468P, H483Y,
		K512Q, S519N, K527KR, A554T, K558R
		P4T, V35T, S162A, K173T, Q174K, D177E, I178M, T200A, Q207E, R211K,
72009		F214L, P243T, V245Q, K281R, T286A, E291D, I293V, P294T, K311R, S322T,
(CRF02 AG)	А	I326V, G335D, R356K, M357R, G359S, T369A, A371V, I375V, K390R, A400T,
	0	T403M, E432D, L469I, D471E, Q480H, L491S, K512R, S519N, Q524K, K527E,
		E529D, A534S, V548I, A554S
		E6D, K20R, D123E, S162C, T200I, E248D, A272P, K277R, L283I, I293V,
81958 (B)	А	E344EK, A360T, A376V, T386A, A446AG, T450S, L452M, V467I, S468P,
		T477TS, H483Y, E492EQ, K512Q, S519N, A554S, K558KR
95551 (B)	Δ	R83K, K104R, K122E, I135M, T139I, A272P, P294T, E297D, T369A, A376T,
55551 (5)		T386I, K390R, E399D, A400T, R461K, S468C, L517V, A554N, V559I
		V35I, V60I, R83K, D121H, K122E, I135T, K173E, R211RK, A272P, P294AS,
100442 (B)	А	E297D, T369A, A376T, K390R, E399D, A400T, R461K, S468C, H483HY, A554N,
		V559VI
		K102Q, I135T, I142V, K173E, Q174QK, D177E, V245I, A272P, K277R, Q278H,
127757 (B)	А	R356K, G359S, A360T, S379G, V381I, T386I, K390R, K451KR, L452LI, S468SP,
		H483Y, L517LQ, A554N
		K102Q, D123E, I135T, I142V, K173E, Q174R, D177E, V245I, A272P, K277R,
138185 (B)	А	Q278H, R356K, G359S, A360T, S379G, T386I, K390R, L452I, L469I, H483Y,
		L517Q, A554N
		K122KE, K166R, F171Y, R199T, I202V, R211K, V245T, K277R, L283I, E297K,
138992 (B)	А	E312Q, I329V, S379G, T386TI, K390R, T403M, K431T, V435A, T477TA,
		H483HY, L491P, I495V, Q524L, V531T

		D123E, I135IT, I142IV, K173E, D177E, V245I, A272P, K277KR, Q278H, E297A,
141163 (B)	A	R356K, G359S, S379G, T386I, K390R, L452I, H483Y, L517Q, A554N
		V35T, K49R, K122E, I135T, S162C, E169D, K173A, Q174K, I178IL, Q207E,
0		R211K, V245Q, E248D, A272P, T286A, L289LI, V292I, I293V, E297V, D324E,
146472 (61)		Q334S, R356K, R358K, G359S, E370ED, A371AV, I375V, T377Q, Q394L,
140475 (F1)	6	A400T, K431T, I434V, V435P, G436R, A446S, L452Q, V466VA, V467VI, S468P,
		D471E, T477A, H483L, G490E, L491S, Q507H, K512R, L517I, S519N, Q524K,
		K527Q, K530KR, A534S
146446		V35IT, D123E, I142T, P176K, I178M, G196E, K249Q, A272P, K277KR, I293V,
(CRE12_BE)	E	E297A, V317A, I329V, G359S, A360AT, A376S, V381VM, E432D, V435E,
		A446S, R461K, A508G, L517I, S519N, K530KR, A554S
146440		V35T, D123E, P176K, I178M, G196E, Q207QE, K249Q, I293V, E297A, V317A,
140440	E	1329V, G359S, A360T, A376S, E432D, V435E, A446S, R461K, A508G, L517I,
(CRF12_BF)		S519N, A554S
		K49R, E53D, K122E, D123S, I135T, K166KR, E169D, I202V, Q207K, R211K,
146356 (B)	E	A272S, K277R, E297K, K311R, I329V, Q334Y, T338S, A360AT, A376T, T386I,
		K390R, T403M, V435I, S468P, H483Y, V548I, A554D
		K49R, E53D, K122E, D123S, I135T, T165I, E169D, T200A, I202V, Q207R,
146352 (B)	E	R211K, A272S, K277R, E297K, K311R, Q334Y, T338S, G359GS, A376AT, T386I,
		K390R, T403V, S468P, H483Y, V548I
		K20R, K122E, D123N, I135T, I244V, V245T, A272P, I293V, V317A, I326V,
146213 (B)	E	Q334Y, A355T, R356K, G359S, T362TS, T369V, Q373N, K390R, T403V, V435E,
		R461K, H483HY, V559I
146150 (F1)	E	I2IV, K22R, V35T, T39A, E40N, K46Q, D123E, I135L, K173E, Q174R, I178L,

		I195L, Q207E, R211K, V245Q, A272P, K277R, T286A, E291D, V292I, I293V,
		E297A, I329L, F346C, M357I, G359S, Q367E, E370D, I375V, T377R, S379C,
\mathbf{C}		K390R, E404D, K431T, V435I, A446S, L452K, V467T, S468T, L469LQ, T470L,
`O		D471KR, T472TP, T473TP, T477A, L491S, Q509K, S519N, Q520K, Q524E,
		K527Q, K530R, A534S, A554S
	0	V35VL, K64KR, A98S, D123E, K166R, I180V, T200A, R211K, A272S, P294Q,
143035 (B)	E	K311R, E312T, M357S, K366R, A376V, T386A, A400T, V435A, D460N, R461K,
		K476Q, H483Y, L517I, S519SN, K527GR
		K20R, D123E, I135T, T165I, T200A, I202V, F214L, V245E, A272P, V276I, L283I,
143026 (B)	E	A288T, V292I, I293V, E297A, R356K, K366R, A376T, T386I, K390R, E399EG,
110020 (8)	L	A400T, V435I, R461RK, S468SP, T470N, H483Y, L491S, L517I, I522V, V548I,
		A554D
		K20R, V60I, D123E, I142V, T165I, I178L, A272P, K277R, T286A, E291D, V292I,
146102 (B)	E	M357R, A360T, K390R, A400T, T403M, V435I, V466I, V467I, S468P, H483N,
		L491V, K512E, V548I, A554T