



Lab-on-Chip-Based Platform for Fast Molecular Diagnosis of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original:

Cabibbe, A.M., Miotto, P., Moure, R., Alcaide, F., Feuerriegel, S., Pozzi, G., et al. (2015). Lab-on-Chip-Based Platform for Fast Molecular Diagnosis of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY, 53(12), 3876-3880 [10.1128/JCM.01824-15].

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/11365/996095.28> since 2018-07-20T12:43:42Z

Published:

DOI:10.1128/JCM.01824-15

Terms of use:

Open Access

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license.

For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **A lab-on-chip based platform for fast molecular diagnosis of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis**

2 Andrea M. Cabibbe^a, Paolo Miotto^a, Raquel Moure^b, Fernando Alcaide^b, Silke Feuerriegel^c, Gianni
3 Pozzi^d, Vladislav Nikolayevskyy^{e,f}, Francis Drobniewski^{e,f}, Stefan Niemann^c, Klaus Reither^{g,h}, Daniela
4 M. Cirillo^a #; TM-REST Consortium; TB-CHILD Consortium

5

6

7 Emerging Bacterial Pathogens Unit, Division of Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases,
8 IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy^a; Servei de Microbiologia, Hospital Universitari
9 de Bellvitge-IDIBELL, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain^b; Molecular Mycobacteriology,
10 Research Center Borstel, Borstel, Germany^c; Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and
11 Biotechnology, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena Siena, Italy^d; Clinical TB
12 and HIV Group, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom^e; Department
13 of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, Imperial College London, United Kingdom^f; Swiss Tropical and
14 Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland^g; Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, United Republic of
15 Tanzania^h

16

17 Running title: Lab-on-chip for detection of MDR-TB

18

19 Address correspondence to Daniela M. Cirillo, Emerging Bacterial Pathogens Unit, Division of
20 Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, via
21 Olgettina 58, 20132 Milano, ITALY; Telephone +39 02 2643 5684, Fax +39 02 2643 5183; mail:

22 cirillo.daniela@hsr.it

23

24 **Abstract:**

25 We evaluated the performance of the molecular lab-on-chip-based VerePLEX Biosystem for detection
26 of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis, obtaining diagnostic accuracy over 97.8% compared to sequencing
27 and MTBDR*plus* for *M. tuberculosis* complex, rifampicin and isoniazid resistance detection on clinical
28 isolates and smear-positive specimens. The fastness, user-friendly interface and versatility make it
29 suitable for routine laboratory use.

30

31 **Text:**

32 Multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) requires long and expensive treatment often resulting in
33 poor clinical outcome in both low- and high-income countries (1, 2). The World Health Organization
34 (WHO) has endorsed specific molecular diagnostics to improve fast diagnosis of MDR-TB (3-5).

35 However, the genotypic diversity and geographical distribution of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
36 complex (MTBC), together with the inability to provide appropriate interpretation of silent mutations
37 and the limited versatility represent some of the restraints undermining the effectiveness of the current
38 tools on global scale (6-13).

39 In the present study we evaluated a lab-on-chip (LoC) device, developed by STMicroelectronics
40 (Geneva, Switzerland) and marketed by Veredus Laboratories (Republic of Singapore) as the
41 VerePLEX Biosystem, for the diagnosis of MDR-TB and detection of common nontuberculous
42 mycobacteria (NTM). The molecular assay was evaluated on both clinical isolates and direct specimens
43 in low and high burden settings.

44

45 We tested 91 MTBC isolates (Table S1) harbouring different patterns of mutations in *rpoB* and
46 *katG/inhA* genes to evaluate the probes on the array listed in Table 1. Eighty respiratory specimens
47 positive for acid-fast bacilli by smear microscopy and MTBC culture-positive were decontaminated
48 according to international guidelines and included in the study (Table S1) (14). Additional 116 MTBC
49 culture negative specimens were included in the analysis. DNA from isolates and specimens was
50 extracted by thermal lysis and sonication as described elsewhere (15). Phenotypic drug susceptibility
51 testing (DST) for rifampicin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH) was performed according to international
52 recommendations (16). Part of the specimens was tested in a representative high-burden setting in
53 Uganda, Nsambya Hospital, Kampala, by trained staff.

54 DNA samples extracted from both isolates and specimens were tested in parallel and results compared
55 with GenoType® MTBDR*plus* (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany) assay and Sanger sequencing
56 performed as described elsewhere (17).

57

58 The VerePLEX consists of a single disposable device comprising microfluidic PCR and microarray
59 modules. The platform includes a temperature control system (TCS), and an optical reader (OR) which
60 allows to automatically analyze the microarray, providing a user-friendly diagnostic report (Figure S2)
61 (18). The protocols for MDR-TB assay are described in Text S3, and Table S4. The assay allows to
62 detect MTBC and other common NTM, together with the most frequent mutations affecting *rpoB*, *katG*
63 and *inhA* genes, involved in phenotypic resistance to RIF and INH in MTBC.

64

65 *Analysis of the diagnostic performances of LoC assay on clinical isolates*

66 MTBC was detected in all the 91 cases (Table 2). Concerning the *rpoB* and *inhA* targets, 100%
67 concordance was observed between MTBDR*plus* and LoC assays. In one case the LoC revealed both
68 WT and mutated signals from probes targeting positions 523-526 in *rpoB*, not confirmed by

69 MTBDR*plus*. A 95.74% concordance was observed between MTBDR*plus* and LoC for *katG* target. In
70 two cases probes complementary to the WT sequence of the 315 codon of *katG* were detected slightly
71 over the ON/OFF cut-off but the MTBDR*plus* showed absence of signal from the WT probe. In other
72 two cases a double pattern (MUT+WT) was detected by the LoC but mutation only was identified by
73 MTBDR*plus*.

74 Other mutations identified by sequencing (*rpoB*: L530M, S531P and Q513; *katG*: S315N, S315R) were
75 correctly detected on the chip by the absence of signal from respective WT probes.

76 Compared with DST, sensitivity and specificity were 98.53% and 100%, and 82.76% and 100% for
77 RIF and INH, respectively (Table 3).

78 *Analysis of the diagnostic performances of LoC assay on clinical specimens*

79 DST results for RIF and INH were available for 58 and 57 samples, respectively. The chips presenting
80 incomplete results were repeated once and then included in the analysis (Table 4).

81 Valid results were obtained in 99.00%, 95.80%, and 95.50% of the cases for MTBC, *rpoB* and *katG*-
82 *inhA* targets, respectively. MTBC was detected with 100% sensitivity and specificity on the LoC, as
83 well as resistance to RIF (Table 3). One discrepant result was detected in *katG/inhA* genes leading to a
84 sensitivity of 93.75%, and 90.91% compared to MTBDR*plus*, respectively. Overall, sensitivity and
85 specificity of *katG/inhA* targets was 73.33% and 100% compared to DST. Three specimens were
86 invalid on LoC. One sample gave an invalid result for PCR controls possibly due to inhibitors affecting
87 the reaction in the microfluidic environment. The remaining two specimens resulted invalid also by
88 MTBDR*plus*. All 116 MTBC culture negative specimens were classified correctly.

89

90 In the current study we developed and evaluated a LoC-based assay for the diagnosis of MDR-TB. LoC
91 devices represent promising tools to fill the diagnostic gap in low-income countries: they integrate
92 many of the laboratory components on a small chip, thus reducing infrastructure and technical

93 requirements but preserving analytical capabilities. In addition, operating speed, ease of modification
94 (addition/removal of probes), the ability to perform multiplex tests and to scale-down costs represent
95 other relevant features of LoCs (19, 20).

96 Our results showed high specificity and sensitivity of the semi-automated VerePLEX for the MDR-TB
97 targets, thus suggesting an usefulness of the platform for fast and simple diagnosis of MDR cases in
98 centralized laboratories. Sensitivity and specificity of NTM probes on the same platform were
99 evaluated by Lazzeri *et al* (21). The assay allowed to identify correctly MTBC in 100% of the smear
100 positive samples tested independently to the smear microscopy score, with a small number of
101 indeterminate results due most likely to a low quality of DNA extracted. Resistance to RIF and INH
102 was detected by the chip with high sensitivity and specificity in agreement with the minimal
103 requirements established by the WHO for molecular tools, comparable to MTBDR*plus* (12). The limit
104 of detection of the assay was observed in the range of 10^1 genome copies/reaction, as reported in
105 Supplementary Table S5.

106 A separate array layout for spoligotyping of MTBC was also developed within the TM-REST Project
107 (data not shown). The possibility to integrate the probes for spoligotyping, MDR- and extensively DR-
108 TB in one medium-density microarray layout by using separate multiplex-PCR enhances the benefits of
109 the micro-array assays, and would enable the reduction of time-to-results compared to other available
110 tests (22-24).

111 The ease of customization of the array design makes the LoC a versatile tool for easy integration of
112 relevant targets for local genetic variants, new genes and/or mutations, and novel key-drugs included in
113 new therapeutic regimens. In addition, the LoC can be adapted for other diagnostic or research needs,
114 thus providing a multi-purpose platform suitable for other relevant diseases (e.g. influenza, malaria,
115 tropical diseases) (25, 26).

116

117 **Acknowledgements**

118 This study was supported by FP7 EU grant TM-REST (HEALTH-F3-2008-202145) and European and
119 Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership as part of the TB CHILD project
120 (IP.2009.32040.007).

121 TM-REST: Patrizia Di Pietro, Floriana San Biagio, Enrico Alessi, Tony G. Barbuzzi (Analog, MEMS
122 & Sensor Group, HealthCare Business Development Unit, STMicroelectronics, Catania, Italy); Silva
123 Tafaj (University Hospital Shefqet Ndroqi, Tirana, Albania); Elizabetha Bachiyska (National Center of
124 Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria); Irina Kontsevaya (Samara TB Service, Samara,
125 Russian Federation); Yanina Balabanova (Clinical TB and HIV Group, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary
126 University of London, United Kingdom; Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, Imperial
127 College London, United Kingdom); Elisa Lazzeri (Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and
128 Biotechnology, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena Siena, Italy)
129 TB-CHILD: Joseph Sserunkuma, Francesco Aloï, Martin Nsubuga (Laboratory Department,
130 St.Raphael of St Francis Nsambya Hospital, AISPO, Kampala, Republic of Uganda); Mohamed
131 Sasamalo (Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, United Republic of Tanzania).

132 We thank Tanja Ubben, and Tanja Struwe Sonnenschein for excellent technical assistance, and Enrico
133 Tortoli for the valuable support.

134

135 **References**

- 136 1. **WHO.** 2014. Global tuberculosis report 2014, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 137 2. **ECDC/WHO.** 2014. Tuberculosis surveillance and monitoring in Europe 2014
- 138 3. **Drobniewski F, Nikolayevskyy V, Balabanova Y, Bang D, Papaentsis D.** 2012. Diagnosis
139 of tuberculosis and drug resistance: what can new tools bring us? *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* **16**:860-
140 870.

- 141 4. **Lawn SD, Mwaba P, Bates M, Piatek A, Alexander H, Marais BJ, Cuevas LE, McHugh**
142 **TD, Zijenah L, Kapata N, Abubakar I, McNerney R, Hoelscher M, Memish ZA, Migliori**
143 **GB, Kim P, Maeurer M, Schito M, Zumla A.** 2013. Advances in tuberculosis diagnostics: the
144 Xpert MTB/RIF assay and future prospects for a point-of-care test. *Lancet Infect Dis* **13**:349-
145 361.
- 146 5. **Luetkemeyer AF, Kendall MA, Wu X, Lourenço MC, Jentsch U, Swindells S, Qasba SS,**
147 **Sanchez J, Havlir DV, Grinsztejn B, Sanne IM, Firnhaber C, Team AACTGAS.** 2014.
148 Evaluation of two line probe assays for rapid detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*,
149 tuberculosis (TB) drug resistance, and non-TB Mycobacteria in HIV-infected individuals with
150 suspected TB. *J Clin Microbiol* **52**:1052-1059.
- 151 6. **Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, Pai M, Boehme CC, Dendukuri N.** 2014. Xpert®
152 MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. *Cochrane*
153 *Database Syst Rev* **1**:CD009593.
- 154 7. **Bwanga F, Hoffner S, Haile M, Joloba ML.** 2009. Direct susceptibility testing for multi drug
155 resistant tuberculosis: a meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis* **9**:67.
- 156 8. **Sanchez-Padilla E, Merker M, Beckert P, Jochims F, Dlamini T, Kahn P, Bonnet M,**
157 **Niemann S.** 2015. Detection of drug-resistant tuberculosis by Xpert MTB/RIF in Swaziland. *N*
158 *Engl J Med* **372**:1181-1182.
- 159 9. **Köser CU, Feuerriegel S, Summers DK, Archer JA, Niemann S.** 2012. Importance of the
160 genetic diversity within the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex for the development of novel
161 antibiotics and diagnostic tests of drug resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **56**:6080-
162 6087.

- 163 10. **Mathys V, van de Vyvere M, de Droogh E, Soetaert K, Groenen G.** 2014. False-positive
164 rifampicin resistance on Xpert® MTB/RIF caused by a silent mutation in the rpoB gene. *Int J*
165 *Tuberc Lung Dis* **18**:1255-1257.
- 166 11. **Ocheretina O, Escuyer VE, Mabou MM, Royal-Mardi G, Collins S, Vilbrun SC, Pape JW,**
167 **Fitzgerald DW.** 2014. Correlation between genotypic and phenotypic testing for resistance to
168 rifampin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates in Haiti: investigation of cases with
169 discrepant susceptibility results. *PLoS One* **9**:e90569.
- 170 12. **WHO.** 2014. High-priority target product profiles for new tuberculosis diagnostics: report of a
171 consensus meeting, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 172 13. **Miotto P, Cabibbe AM, Mantegani P, Borroni E, Fattorini L, Tortoli E, Migliori GB,**
173 **Cirillo DM.** 2012. GenoType MTBDRsl performance on clinical samples with diverse genetic
174 background. *Eur Respir J* **40**:690-698.
- 175 14. **WHO.** 1998. LABORATORY SERVICES IN TUBERCULOSIS
176 CONTROL MICROSCOPY PART II Geneva, Switzerland.
- 177 15. **Miotto P, Saleri N, Dembelé M, Ouedraogo M, Badoum G, Pinsi G, Migliori GB, Matteelli**
178 **A, Cirillo DM.** 2009. Molecular detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance to guide chronic
179 TB patient management in Burkina Faso. *BMC Infect Dis* **9**:142.
- 180 16. **GLI.** 2014. *Mycobacteriology Laboratory Manual*, First ed.
- 181 17. **Miotto P, Piana F, Penati V, Canducci F, Migliori GB, Cirillo DM.** 2006. Use of genotype
182 MTBDR assay for molecular detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium*
183 *tuberculosis* clinical strains isolated in Italy. *J Clin Microbiol* **44**:2485-2491.
- 184 18. **Pernagallo S, Ventimiglia G, Cavalluzzo C, Alessi E, Ilyine H, Bradley M, Diaz-Mochon**
185 **JJ.** 2012. Novel biochip platform for nucleic acid analysis. *Sensors (Basel)* **12**:8100-8111.

- 186 19. **Sackmann EK, Fulton AL, Beebe DJ.** 2014. The present and future role of microfluidics in
187 biomedical research. *Nature* **507**:181-189.
- 188 20. **Foudeh AM, Fatanat Didar T, Veres T, Tabrizian M.** 2012. Microfluidic designs and
189 techniques using lab-on-a-chip devices for pathogen detection for point-of-care diagnostics. *Lab*
190 *Chip* **12**:3249-3266.
- 191 21. **Lazzeri E, Santoro F, Oggioni MR, Iannelli F, Pozzi G.** 2012. Novel primer-probe sets for
192 detection and identification of mycobacteria by PCR-microarray assay. *J Clin Microbiol*
193 **50**:3777-3779.
- 194 22. **Guo Y, Zhou Y, Wang C, Zhu L, Wang S, Li Q, Jiang G, Zhao B, Huang H, Yu H, Xing**
195 **W, Mitchelson K, Cheng J, Zhao Y.** 2009. Rapid, accurate determination of multidrug
196 resistance in *M. tuberculosis* isolates and sputum using a biochip system. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*
197 **13**:914-920.
- 198 23. **Moure R, Tudó G, Medina R, Vicente E, Caldito JM, Codina MG, Coll P, Español M,**
199 **Gonzalez-Martin J, Rey-Jurado E, Salvadó M, Tórtola MT, Alcaide F.** 2013. Detection of
200 streptomycin and quinolone resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by a low-density DNA
201 array. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* **93**:508-514.
- 202 24. **Moure R, Español M, Tudó G, Vicente E, Coll P, Gonzalez-Martin J, Mick V, Salvadó M,**
203 **Alcaide F.** 2014. Characterization of the embB gene in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates
204 from Barcelona and rapid detection of main mutations related to ethambutol resistance using a
205 low-density DNA array. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **69**:947-954.
- 206 25. **Tan JJ, Capozzoli M, Sato M, Watthanaworawit W, Ling CL, Mauduit M, Malleret B,**
207 **Grüner AC, Tan R, Nosten FH, Snounou G, Rénia L, Ng LF.** 2014. An integrated lab-on-
208 chip for rapid identification and simultaneous differentiation of tropical pathogens. *PLoS Negl*
209 *Trop Dis* **8**:e3043.

- 210 26. **Teo J, Di Pietro P, San Biagio F, Capozzoli M, Deng YM, Barr I, Caldwell N, Ong KL,**
 211 **Sato M, Tan R, Lin R.** 2011. VereFlu™: an integrated multiplex RT-PCR and microarray
 212 assay for rapid detection and identification of human influenza A and B viruses using lab-on-
 213 chip technology. *Arch Virol* **156**:1371-1378.

214

215 **Table 1.** List of targeted mycobacterial species and MDR-TB targets included in the assay. Legend: the
 216 name of probes spotted on the array is placed in brackets.

MICROARRAY LAYOUT PROBES SPECIES IDENTIFICATION AND MDR-TB
<i>M. avium</i> (MYC4a)
<i>M. intracellulare</i> (MYC5a)
<i>M. simiae</i> , <i>M. kansasii</i> , <i>M. scrofulaceum</i> (MYC6a)
<i>M. abscessus</i> , <i>M. chelonae</i> (MYC8a)
<i>M. xenopi</i> (MYC17a)
<i>M. haemophilum</i> (MYC19a)
<i>M. fortuitum</i> (MYC31a)
<i>M. tuberculosis</i> complex (MYC15a-MYC16a)
<i>rpoB</i> WT codons 510-513 (L511_w3a)
<i>rpoB</i> L511P (L511P_m3)
<i>rpoB</i> WT codons 515-518 (D516_w5)
<i>rpoB</i> D516V (D516V_m1)
<i>rpoB</i> WT codons 523-526 (H526_w14)
<i>rpoB</i> H526D (H526D_m2)
<i>rpoB</i> H526Y (H526Y_m5)
<i>rpoB</i> WT codons 530-533 (S531L_w1)
<i>rpoB</i> S531L (S531L_m2)
<i>katG</i> WT codons 313-317 (S315_w2)
<i>katG</i> S315T1 (S315T1_m2)
<i>katG</i> S315T2 (S315T2_m1)
<i>inhA</i> WT nucleotides -21 to -7 (<i>inhA</i> _w3)
<i>inhA</i> t-8a (<i>InhA</i> – 8T>A_m2)
<i>inhA</i> t-8c (<i>InhA</i> – 8T>C_m2)
<i>inhA</i> c-15t (<i>InhA</i> – 15C>T_m3)

217

218 **Table 2.** Phenotypic DST, MTBDR*plus* and VereMTB results for the 91 MTBC clinical isolates
 219 included in the study. *: probe signal ON at the cut-off. Δ: no WT signal.

Phenotypic DST		MTBDR <i>plus</i> / sequencing				VereMTB			
RIF	INH	<i>rpoB</i>	<i>katG</i>	<i>inhA</i>	No.	<i>rpoB</i>	<i>katG</i>	<i>inhA</i>	No.

10

R	R	S531L	S315T1	WT	15	S531L	S315T1	WT	15
R	R	WT	WT	WT	1	WT	WT	WT	1
S	R	WT	WT	WT	5	WT	WT	WT	5
R	R	S531L	WT	C-15T	16	S531L	WT	C-15T	16
R	R	S531L	WT	WT	7	S531L	WT	WT	7
R	S	S531L	WT	WT	2	S531L	WT	WT	2
R	R	H526D	S315T1	WT	1	H526D	WT*+S315T1	WT	1
R	R	H526D	S315T1	WT	1	WT+H526D	WT+S315T1	WT	1
R	R	L511P	S315N	WT	1	L511P	WT*	WT	1
R	R	H526D	S315R	WT	1	H526D	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
R	R	H526Y	S315N	WT	1	H526Y	WT*	WT	1
R	S	D516V	WT	WT	1	D516V	WT	WT	1
R	R	S531L	S315T1	T-8A	2	S531L	S315T1	T-8A	2
R	R	L530M+S531P	S315T1	T-8C	1	Δ 530-533 WT	S315T1	T-8C	1
R	R	S531L	S315T2	WT	2	S531L	S315T2	WT	2
R	R	D516V	S315T1	T-8A	3	D516V	S315T1	T-8A	3
R	R	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	1	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	1
S	R	WT	WT	C-15T	11	WT	WT	C-15T	11
R	R	D516V	S315T1	WT	5	D516V	S315T1	WT	5
S	R	WT	S315T1	WT	5	WT	S315T1	WT	5
R	R	H526D	S315T1	WT	1	H526D	S315T1	WT	1
R	R	S531L	S315T1	C-15T	3	S531L	S315T1	C-15T	3
R	R	Q513P	S315T1	WT	1	Δ 510-513 WT	S315T1	WT	1
S	R	WT	S315N	WT	1	WT	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
R	R	H526Y	S315T1	C-15T	2	H526Y	S315T1	C-15T	2
S	S	WT	WT	WT	1	WT	WT	WT	1

220

221 **Table 3.** Diagnostic performance of VereMTB on clinical isolates and specimens. Legend: Percentages
 222 of sensitivity, specificity, positive (PPV) and negative (NPV) predictive values, diagnostic accuracy
 223 were calculated according to the Wilson score (www.OpenEpi.com), as well as positive and negative
 224 Likelihood ratios (with lower-upper 95% CIs). The effective number of samples considered for the
 225 analysis is reported for each target. The positive likelihood ratio cannot be computed since specificity is
 226 always 100%.

227

	Clinical isolates (No. 91)			Clinical specimens (No. 80 MTB+ smear pos / 116 MTB-)			
	MTBDR _{plus} / seq		DST	MTBDR _{plus} / seq / Xpert MTB-RIF		DST	Indeterminate
RIFAMPICIN (<i>rpoB</i>)				tot N° 71		tot N° 58	
Sensitivity	100.00 (94.58, 100.00)		98.53 (92.13, 99.74)	100.00 (77.19, 100.00)		100.00 (75.75, 100.00)	
Specificity	100.00 (86.2, 100.00)		100.00 (85.69, 100.00)	100.00 (93.47, 100.00)		100.00 (91.97, 100.00)	
PPV	100.00 (94.58, 100.00)		100.00 (94.58, 100.00)	100.00 (77.19, 100.00)		100.00 (75.75, 100.00)	
NPV	100.00 (86.2, 100.00)		95.83 (79.76, 99.26)	100.00 (93.47, 100.00)		100.00 (91.97, 100.00)	
Likelihood ratio pos	Undefined		Undefined	Undefined		Undefined	
Likelihood ratio neg	0.00 (0.00, ?)		0.01 (0.00, 0.10)	0.00 (0.00, ?)		0.00 (0.00, ?)	
Diagnostic accuracy	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)		98.90 (94.03, 99.81)	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)		100.00 (93.58, 100.00)	
ISONIAZID (<i>katG</i>, <i>inhA</i>)	<i>katG</i>	<i>inhA</i>		<i>katG</i> (tot No. 67)	<i>inhA</i> (tot No. 67)	tot No. 57	
Sensitivity	95.74 (87.75, 98.83)	100.00 (91.03, 100)	82.76 (73.48, 89.26)	93.75 (71.67, 98.89)	90.91 (62.26, 98.38)	73.33 (55.55, 85.82)	
Specificity	100.00 (91.97, 100.00)	100.00 (93.12, 100.00)	100.00 (51.01, 100.00)	100.00 (92.59, 100.00)	100.00 (93.24, 100.00)	100.00 (86.68, 100.00)	
PPV	100.00 (92.13, 100.00)	100.00 (91.03, 100.00)	100.00 (94.93, 100.00)	100.00 (79.61, 100.00)	100.00 (72.25, 100.00)	100.00 (85.13, 100.00)	
NPV	95.65 (85.47, 98.90)	100.00 (93.12, 100.00)	21.05 (8.51, 43.33)	97.96 (89.31, 99.64)	100.00 (90.23, 99.67)	75.76 (58.98, 87.17)	
Likelihood ratio pos	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	
Likelihood ratio neg	0.04 (0.02, 0.11)	0.00 (0.00, ?)	0.17 (0.15, 0.20)	0.07 (0.009, 0.44)	0.09 (0.01, 0.65)	0.26 (0.21, 0.34)	
Diagnostic accuracy	97.8 (92.34, 99.4)	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)	83.52 (74.57, 89.75)	98.44 (91.67, 99.72)	98.44 (91.67, 99.72)	85.45 (73.84, 92.44)	
MTB				tot No. 196			
Sensitivity	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)		100.00 (95.95, 100.00)	100.00 (95.31, 100.00)			2/196 (1.02%)
Specificity	Undefined		Undefined	100.00 (96.79, 100.00)			
PPV	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)		100.00 (95.95, 100.00)	100.00 (95.31, 100.00)			
NPV	Undefined		Undefined	100.00 (96.79, 100.00)			
Likelihood ratio pos	Undefined		Undefined	Undefined			
Likelihood ratio neg	Undefined		Undefined	0.00			
Diagnostic accuracy	Undefined		Undefined	100.00 (98.06, 100.00)			

228

12

229 **Table 4.** Phenotypic DST, MTBDR*plus*, Xpert MTB-RIF and VereMTB results for the 80 smear-
 230 positive MTBC culture positive clinical specimens included in the study. Legend: ND: not detected. Δ:
 231 no WT signal.

N°	DST		MTBDR <i>plus</i> /sequencing			Xpert MTB-RIF		VereMTB			N°
	RIF	INH	<i>rpoB</i>	<i>katG</i>	<i>inhA</i>	MTB	RIF	<i>rpoB</i>	<i>katG</i>	<i>inhA</i>	
9	S	R	WT	WT	C-15T	-	-	WT	WT	C-15T	9
2	R	R	S531L	S315T1	WT	-	-	S531L	S315T1	WT	2
1	R	R	S531L	WT+S315T1	WT	-	-	S531L	WT+S315T1	WT	1
6	S	R	WT	WT	WT	-	-	WT	WT	WT	6
2	R	R	D516V	S315T1	WT	-	-	D516V	S315T1	WT	2
2	R	R	S531L	WT	WT	-	-	S531L	WT	WT	2
4	S	R	WT	S315T1	WT	-	-	WT	S315T1	WT	4
1	R	R	S531L	S315T1/T2	WT	-	-	S531L	S315T1/T2	WT	1
1	R	R	Q513P	S315T1	WT	-	-	Δ 510-513 WT	S315T1	WT	1
1	S	R	WT	S315N	WT	-	-	WT	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
1	R	S	S531L	WT	WT	-	-	S531L	WT	WT	1
1	R	R	S531L	WT	C-15T	-	-	S531L	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
15	S	S	WT	WT	WT	-	-	WT	WT	WT	15
1	R	R	Δ 518-525 WT, Δ 530-533 WT	S315T1	WT	-	-	Δ 523-526 WT, S531L	S315T1	WT	1
1	-	-	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	-	-	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	1
15	-	-	WT	WT	WT	-	-	WT	WT	WT	15
1	-	-	WT	S315T1	WT	-	-	WT	S315T1	WT	1
9	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	WT	WT	WT	9
4	-	-	-	-	-	pos	WT	WT	WT	WT	4
1	-	-	WT	WT	WT	-	-	PCR controls not valid			1
1	S	S	ND	S315T1	WT	-	-	MTB ND			1
1	S	S	ND	WT	WT	-	-	ND	ND	ND	1

232