

Lab-on-Chip-Based Platform for Fast Molecular Diagnosis of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Original: Cabibbe, A.M., Miotto, P., Moure, R., Alcaide, F., Feuerriegel, S., Pozzi, G., et al. (2015). Lab-on-Chip-Based Platform for Fast Molecular Diagnosis of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY, 53(12), 3876-3880 [10.1128/JCM.01824-15]. Availability: This version is availablehttp://hdl.handle.net/11365/996095.28 since 2018-07-20T12:43:42Z Published: DOI:10.1128/JCM.01824-15 Terms of use: Open Access The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

JCM Accepted Manuscript Posted Online 5 August 2015 J. Clin. Microbiol. doi:10.1128/JCM.01824-15 Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

1 A lab-on-chip based platform for fast molecular diagnosis of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis

2 Andrea M. Cabibbe^a, Paolo Miotto^a, Raquel Moure^b, Fernando Alcaide^b, Silke Feuerriegel^c, Gianni

3 Pozzi^d, Vladislav Nikolayevskyy^{e,f}, Francis Drobniewski^{e,f}, Stefan Niemann^c, Klaus Reither^{g,h}, Daniela

4 M. Cirillo^a #; TM-REST Consortium; TB-CHILD Consortium

5 6

7 Emerging Bacterial Pathogens Unit, Division of Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases,

8 IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy^a; Servei de Microbiologia, Hospital Universitari

9 de Bellvitge-IDIBELL, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain^b; Molecular Mycobacteriology,

10 Research Center Borstel, Borstel, Germany^c; Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and

11 Biotechnology, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena Siena, Italy^d; Clinical TB

and HIV Group, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom^e; Department

13 of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, Imperial College London, United Kingdom^f; Swiss Tropical and

14 Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland^g; Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, United Republic of

15 Tanzania^h

16

17 Running title: Lab-on-chip for detection of MDR-TB

18

19 Address correspondence to Daniela M. Cirillo, Emerging Bacterial Pathogens Unit, Division of

20 Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, via

21 Olgettina 58, 20132 Milano, ITALY; Telephone +39 02 2643 5684, Fax +39 02 2643 5183; mail:

22 <u>cirillo.daniela@hsr.it</u>

23

24 Abstract:

Journal of Clinical

We evaluated the performance of the molecular lab-on-chip-based VerePLEX Biosystem for detection 25 26 of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis, obtaining diagnostic accuracy over 97.8% compared to sequencing 27 and MTBDRplus for M. tuberculosis complex, rifampicin and isoniazid resistance detection on clinical isolates and smear-positive specimens. The fastness, user-friendly interface and versatility make it 28 suitable for routine laboratory use. 29

30

Text: 31

Multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) requires long and expensive treatment often resulting in 32

33 poor clinical outcome in both low- and high-income countries (1, 2). The World Health Organization

(WHO) has endorsed specific molecular diagnostics to improve fast diagnosis of MDR-TB (3-5). 34

However, the genotypic diversity and geographical distribution of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 35

36 complex (MTBC), together with the inability to provide appropriate interpretation of silent mutations

and the limited versatility represent some of the restraints undermining the effectiveness of the current 37

tools on global scale (6-13). 38

In the present study we evaluated a lab-on-chip (LoC) device, developed by STMicroelectronics 39

(Geneva, Switzerland) and marketed by Veredus Laboratories (Republic of Singapore) as the 40

41 VerePLEX Biosystem, for the diagnosis of MDR-TB and detection of common nontuberculous

mycobacteria (NTM). The molecular assay was evaluated on both clinical isolates and direct specimens 42

in low and high burden settings. 43

We tested 91 MTBC isolates (Table S1) harbouring different patterns of mutations in *rpoB* and 45 46 katG/inhA genes to evaluate the probes on the array listed in Table 1. Eighty respiratory specimens 47 positive for acid-fast bacilli by smear microscopy and MTBC culture-positive were decontaminated according to international guidelines and included in the study (Table S1) (14). Additional 116 MTBC 48 culture negative specimens were included in the analysis. DNA from isolates and specimens was 49 extracted by thermal lysis and sonication as described elsewhere (15). Phenotypic drug susceptibility 50 testing (DST) for rifampicin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH) was performed according to international 51 recommendations (16). Part of the specimens was tested in a representative high-burden setting in 52 53 Uganda, Nsambya Hospital, Kampala, by trained staff. DNA samples extracted from both isolates and specimens were tested in parallel and results compared 54 with GenoType® MTBDRplus (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany) assay and Sanger sequencing 55 56 performed as described elsewhere (17).

57

The VerePLEX consists of a single disposable device comprising microfluidic PCR and microarray 58 59 modules. The platform includes a temperature control system (TCS), and an optical reader (OR) which allows to automatically analyze the microarray, providing a user-friendly diagnostic report (Figure S2) 60 61 (18). The protocols for MDR-TB assay are described in Text S3, and Table S4. The assay allows to detect MTBC and other common NTM, together with the most frequent mutations affecting rpoB, katG 62 and inhA genes, involved in phenotypic resistance to RIF and INH in MTBC. 63

64

65 Analysis of the diagnostic performances of LoC assay on clinical isolates

66 MTBC was detected in all the 91 cases (Table 2). Concerning the *rpoB* and *inhA* targets, 100%

- concordance was observed between MTBDRplus and LoC assays. In one case the LoC revealed both 67
- WT and mutated signals from probes targeting positions 523-526 in *rpoB*, not confirmed by 68

69

70

over the ON/OFF cut-off but the MTBDRplus showed absence of signal from the WT probe. In other 71 two cases a double pattern (MUT+WT) was detected by the LoC but mutation only was identified by 72 MTBDR*plus*. 73 Other mutations identified by sequencing (rpoB: L530M, S531P and Q513; katG: S315N, S315R) were 74 75 correctly detected on the chip by the absence of signal from respective WT probes. Compared with DST, sensitivity and specificity were 98.53% and 100%, and 82.76% and 100% for 76 77 RIF and INH, respectively (Table 3). Analysis of the diagnostic performances of LoC assay on clinical specimens 78 DST results for RIF and INH were available for 58 and 57 samples, respectively. The chips presenting 79 80 incomplete results were repeated once and then included in the analysis (Table 4). Valid results were obtained in 99.00%, 95.80%, and 95.50% of the cases for MTBC, rpoB and katG-81 inhA targets, respectively. MTBC was detected with 100% sensitivity and specificity on the LoC, as 82 83 well as resistance to RIF (Table 3). One discrepant result was detected in *katG/inhA* genes leading to a sensitivity of 93.75%, and 90.91% compared to MTBDRplus, respectively. Overall, sensitivity and 84 85 specificity of *katG/inhA* targets was 73.33% and 100% compared to DST. Three specimens were invalid on LoC. One sample gave an invalid result for PCR controls possibly due to inhibitors affecting 86 the reaction in the microfluidic environment. The remaining two specimens resulted invalid also by 87 MTBDRplus. All 116 MTBC culture negative specimens were classified correctly. 88 89 90 In the current study we developed and evaluated a LoC-based assay for the diagnosis of MDR-TB. LoC devices represent promising tools to fill the diagnostic gap in low-income countries: they integrate 91 many of the laboratory components on a small chip, thus reducing infrastructure and technical 92

MTBDR*plus*, A 95.74% concordance was observed between MTBDR*plus* and LoC for *katG* target. In

two cases probes complementary to the WT sequence of the 315 codon of *katG* were detected slightly

lournal of Clinical Microbiology 96

tests (22-24).

110

116

JCM

ournal of Clinica Microbiology requirements but preserving analytical capabilities. In addition, operating speed, ease of modification
(addition/removal of probes), the ability to perform multiplex tests and to scale-down costs represent
other relevant features of LoCs (19, 20).

Our results showed high specificity and sensitivity of the semi-automated VerePLEX for the MDR-TB

targets, thus suggesting an usefulness of the platform for fast and simple diagnosis of MDR cases in 97 centralized laboratories. Sensitivity and specificity of NTM probes on the same platform were 98 evaluated by Lazzeri et al (21). The assay allowed to identify correctly MTBC in 100% of the smear 99 positive samples tested independently to the smear microscopy score, with a small number of 100 101 indeterminate results due most likely to a low quality of DNA extracted. Resistance to RIF and INH was detected by the chip with high sensitivity and specificity in agreement with the minimal 102 requirements established by the WHO for molecular tools, comparable to MTBDRplus (12). The limit 103 104 of detection of the assay was observed in the range of 10¹ genome copies/reaction, as reported in 105 Supplementary Table S5. 106 A separate array layout for spoligotyping of MTBC was also developed within the TM-REST Project (data not shown). The possibility to integrate the probes for spoligotyping, MDR- and extensively DR-107 TB in one medium-density microarray layout by using separate multiplex-PCR enhances the benefits of 108 the micro-array assays, and would enable the reduction of time-to-results compared to other available 109

The ease of customization of the array design makes the LoC a versatile tool for easy integration of relevant targets for local genetic variants, new genes and/or mutations, and novel key-drugs included in new therapeutic regimens. In addition, the LoC can be adapted for other diagnostic or research needs, thus providing a multi-purpose platform suitable for other relevant diseases (e.g. influenza, malaria, tropical diseases) (25, 26).

117 Acknowledgements

118This study was supported by FP7 EU grant TM-REST (HEALTH-F3-2008-202145) and European and

- 119 Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership as part of the TB CHILD project
- 120 (IP.2009.32040.007).
- 121 TM-REST: Patrizia Di Pietro, Floriana San Biagio, Enrico Alessi, Tony G. Barbuzzi (Analog, MEMS
- 122 & Sensor Group, HealthCare Business Development Unit, STMicroelectronics, Catania, Italy); Silva
- 123 Tafaj (University Hospital Shefqet Ndroqi, Tirana, Albania); Elizabetha Bachiyska (National Center of
- 124 Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria); Irina Kontsevaya (Samara TB Service, Samara,
- 125 Russian Federation); Yanina Balabanova (Clinical TB and HIV Group, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary
- 126 University of London, United Kingdom; Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, Imperial
- 127 College London, United Kingdom); Elisa Lazzeri (Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and
- 128 Biotechnology, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena Siena, Italy)
- 129 TB-CHILD: Joseph Sserunkuma, Francesco Aloi, Martin Nsubuga (Laboratory Department,
- 130 St.Raphael of St Francis Nsambya Hospital, AISPO, Kampala, Republic of Uganda); Mohamed
- 131 Sasamalo (Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, United Republic of Tanzania).
- 132We thank Tanja Ubben, and Tanja Struwe Sonnenschein for excellent technical assistance, and Enrico
- 133 Tortoli for the valuable support.
- 134

135 **References**

- 136 1. WHO. 2014. Global tuberculosis report 2014, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 137 2. ECDC/WHO. 2014. Tuberculosis surveillance and monitoring in Europe 2014
- Drobniewski F, Nikolayevskyy V, Balabanova Y, Bang D, Papaventsis D. 2012. Diagnosis
 of tuberculosis and drug resistance: what can new tools bring us? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 16:860 870.

141	4.	Lawn SD, Mwaba P, Bates M, Piatek A, Alexander H, Marais BJ, Cuevas LE, McHugh
142		TD, Zijenah L, Kapata N, Abubakar I, McNerney R, Hoelscher M, Memish ZA, Migliori
143		GB, Kim P, Maeurer M, Schito M, Zumla A. 2013. Advances in tuberculosis diagnostics: the
144		Xpert MTB/RIF assay and future prospects for a point-of-care test. Lancet Infect Dis 13:349-
145		361.
146	5.	Luetkemeyer AF, Kendall MA, Wu X, Lourenço MC, Jentsch U, Swindells S, Qasba SS,
147		Sanchez J, Havlir DV, Grinsztejn B, Sanne IM, Firnhaber C, Team AACTGAS. 2014.
148		Evaluation of two line probe assays for rapid detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis,
149		tuberculosis (TB) drug resistance, and non-TB Mycobacteria in HIV-infected individuals with
150		suspected TB. J Clin Microbiol 52:1052-1059.
151	6.	Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, Pai M, Boehme CC, Dendukuri N. 2014. Xpert®
152		MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. Cochrane
153		Database Syst Rev 1:CD009593.
154	7.	Bwanga F, Hoffner S, Haile M, Joloba ML. 2009. Direct susceptibility testing for multi drug
155		resistant tuberculosis: a meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis 9:67.
156	8.	Sanchez-Padilla E, Merker M, Beckert P, Jochims F, Dlamini T, Kahn P, Bonnet M,
157		Niemann S. 2015. Detection of drug-resistant tuberculosis by Xpert MTB/RIF in Swaziland. N
158		Engl J Med 372: 1181-1182.
159	9.	Köser CU, Feuerriegel S, Summers DK, Archer JA, Niemann S. 2012. Importance of the
160		genetic diversity within the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex for the development of novel
161		antibiotics and diagnostic tests of drug resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:6080-
162		6087.

Journal of Clinical Microbiology 10.

163

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

164		rifampicin resistance on Xpert® MTB/RIF caused by a silent mutation in the rpoB gene. Int J
165		Tuberc Lung Dis 18:1255-1257.
166	11.	Ocheretina O, Escuyer VE, Mabou MM, Royal-Mardi G, Collins S, Vilbrun SC, Pape JW,
167		Fitzgerald DW. 2014. Correlation between genotypic and phenotypic testing for resistance to
168		rifampin in Mycobacterium tuberculosis clinical isolates in Haiti: investigation of cases with
169		discrepant susceptibility results. PLoS One 9:e90569.
170	12.	WHO. 2014. High-priority target product profiles for new tuberculosis diagnostics: report of a
171		consensus meeting, Geneva, Switzerland.
172	13.	Miotto P, Cabibbe AM, Mantegani P, Borroni E, Fattorini L, Tortoli E, Migliori GB,
173		Cirillo DM. 2012. GenoType MTBDRsl performance on clinical samples with diverse genetic
174		background. Eur Respir J 40:690-698.
175	14.	WHO. 1998. LABORATORY SERVICES IN TUBERCULOSIS
176		CONTROL MICROSCOPY PART II Geneva, Swizerland.
177	15.	Miotto P, Saleri N, Dembelé M, Ouedraogo M, Badoum G, Pinsi G, Migliori GB, Matteelli
178		A, Cirillo DM. 2009. Molecular detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance to guide chronic
179		TB patient management in Burkina Faso. BMC Infect Dis 9:142.
180	16.	GLI. 2014. Mycobacteriology Laboratory Manual, First ed.
181	17.	Miotto P, Piana F, Penati V, Canducci F, Migliori GB, Cirillo DM. 2006. Use of genotype
182		MTBDR assay for molecular detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance in Mycobacterium
183		tuberculosis clinical strains isolated in Italy. J Clin Microbiol 44:2485-2491.
184	18.	Pernagallo S, Ventimiglia G, Cavalluzzo C, Alessi E, Ilyine H, Bradley M, Diaz-Mochon
185		JJ. 2012. Novel biochip platform for nucleic acid analysis. Sensors (Basel) 12:8100-8111.

Mathys V, van de Vyvere M, de Droogh E, Soetaert K, Groenen G. 2014. False-positive

186	19.	Sackmann EK, Fulton AL, Beebe DJ. 2014. The present and future role of microfluidics in	
187		biomedical research. Nature 507:181-189.	
188	20.	Foudeh AM, Fatanat Didar T, Veres T, Tabrizian M. 2012. Microfluidic designs and	
189		techniques using lab-on-a-chip devices for pathogen detection for point-of-care diagnostics. La	b
190		Chip 12: 3249-3266.	
191	21.	Lazzeri E, Santoro F, Oggioni MR, Iannelli F, Pozzi G. 2012. Novel primer-probe sets for	
192		detection and identification of mycobacteria by PCR-microarray assay. J Clin Microbiol	
193		50: 3777-3779.	
194	22.	Guo Y, Zhou Y, Wang C, Zhu L, Wang S, Li Q, Jiang G, Zhao B, Huang H, Yu H, Xing	
195		W, Mitchelson K, Cheng J, Zhao Y. 2009. Rapid, accurate determination of multidrug	
196		resistance in <i>M. tuberculosis</i> isolates and sputum using a biochip system. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis	s
197		13: 914-920.	
198	23.	Moure R, Tudó G, Medina R, Vicente E, Caldito JM, Codina MG, Coll P, Español M,	
199		Gonzalez-Martin J, Rey-Jurado E, Salvadó M, Tórtola MT, Alcaide F. 2013. Detection of	
200		streptomycin and quinolone resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis by a low-density DNA	
201		array. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 93:508-514.	
202	24.	Moure R, Español M, Tudó G, Vicente E, Coll P, Gonzalez-Martin J, Mick V, Salvadó M	,
203		Alcaide F. 2014. Characterization of the embB gene in Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates	
204		from Barcelona and rapid detection of main mutations related to ethambutol resistance using a	
205		low-density DNA array. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:947-954.	
206	25.	Tan JJ, Capozzoli M, Sato M, Watthanaworawit W, Ling CL, Mauduit M, Malleret B,	
207		Grüner AC, Tan R, Nosten FH, Snounou G, Rénia L, Ng LF. 2014. An integrated lab-on-	
208		chip for rapid identification and simultaneous differentiation of tropical pathogens. PLoS Negl	
209		Trop Dis 8:e3043.	
			9

210 26. Teo J, Di Pietro P, San Biagio F, Capozzoli M, Deng YM, Barr I, Caldwell N, Ong KL,

Sato M, Tan R, Lin R. 2011. VereFlu[™]: an integrated multiplex RT-PCR and microarray
assay for rapid detection and identification of human influenza A and B viruses using lab-onchip technology. Arch Virol 156:1371-1378.

214

- 215 Table 1. List of targeted mycobacterial species and MDR-TB targets included in the assay. Legend: the
- 216 name of probes spotted on the array is placed in brackets.

MICROARRAY LAYOUT
PROBES SPECIES IDENTIFICATION AND MDR-TB
M. avium (MYC4a)
M. intracellulare (MYC5a)
M. simiae, M. kansasii, M. scrofulaceum (MYC6a)
M. abscessus, M. chelonae (MYC8a)
M. xenopi (MYC17a)
M. haemophylum (MYC19a)
M. fortuitum (MYC31a)
M. tuberculosis complex (MYC15a-MYC16a)
rpoB WT codons 510-513 (L511_w3a)
<i>rpoB</i> L511P (L511P_m3)
<i>rpoB WT</i> codons 515-518 (D516_w5)
<i>rpoB</i> D516V (D516V_m1)
rpoB WT codons 523-526 (H526_w14)
<i>rpoB</i> H526D (H526D_m2)
<i>rpoB</i> H526Y (H526Y_m5)
rpoB WT codons 530-533 (S531L_w1)
rpoB \$531L (\$531L_m2)
katG WT codons 313-317 (S315_w2)
katG \$315T1 (\$315T1_m2)
katG \$315T2 (\$315T2_m1)
inhA WT nucleotides -21 to -7 (inhA_w3)
<i>inhA</i> t-8a (InhA – 8T>A_m2)
<i>inhA</i> t-8c (InhA – 8T>C_m2)
<i>inhA</i> c-15t (InhA – 15C>T_m3)

217

- 218 Table 2. Phenotypic DST, MTBDR*plus* and VereMTB results for the 91 MTBC clinical isolates
- included in the study. *: probe signal ON at the cut-off. Δ : no WT signal.

Phenoty	ypic DST	MTBDRplus/ sequencing				VereMTB			
RIF	INH	rpoB	katG	inhA	No.	rpoB	katG	inhA	No.

Postec	
uscript	
d Manu	
ccepted	
Å	

Online

-		-				-	A 100 March 1		÷
R	R	S531L	S315T1	WT	15	S531L	S315T1	WT	15
R	R	WT	WT	WT	1	WT	WT	WT	1
S	R	WT	WT	WT	5	WT	WT	WT	5
R	R	S531L	WT	C-15T	16	S531L	WT	C-15T	16
R	R	S531L	WT	WT	7	S531L	WT	WT	7
R	S	S531L	WT	WT	2	S531L	WT	WT	2
R	R	H526D	S315T1	WT	1	H526D	WT*+S315T1	WT	1
R	R	H526D	S315T1	WT	1	WT+H526D	WT+S315T1	WT	1
R	R	L511P	S315N	WT	1	L511P	WT*	WT	1
R	R	H526D	S315R	WT	1	H526D	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
R	R	H526Y	\$315N	WT	1	H526Y	WT*	WT	1
R	S	D516V	WT	WT	1	D516V	WT	WT	1
R	R	S531L	S315T1	T-8A	2	S531L	S315T1	T-8A	2
R	R	L530M+S531P	S315T1	T-8C	1	Δ 530-533 WT	S315T1	T-8C	1
R	R	S531L	S315T2	WT	2	S531L	S315T2	WT	2
R	R	D516V	S315T1	T-8A	3	D516V	S315T1	T-8A	3
R	R	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	1	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	1
S	R	WT	WT	C-15T	11	WT	WT	C-15T	1
R	R	D516V	S315T1	WT	5	D516V	S315T1	WT	5
S	R	WT	S315T1	WT	5	WT	S315T1	WT	5
R	R	H526D	S315T1	WT	1	H526D	S315T1	WT	1
R	R	S531L	S315T1	C-15T	3	S531L	S315T1	C-15T	3
R	R	Q513P	S315T1	WT	1	Δ 510-513 WT	S315T1	WT	1
S	R	WT	\$315N	WT	1	WT	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
R	R	H526Y	S315T1	C-15T	2	H526Y	S315T1	C-15T	2
S	S	WT	WT	WT	1	WT	WT	WT	1

were calculated according to the Wilson score (<u>www.OpenEpi.com</u>), as well as positive and negative 223

220

221

222

224 Likelihood ratios (with lower-upper 95% CIs). The effective number of samples considered for the

225 analysis is reported for each target. The positive likelihood ratio cannot be computed since specificity is

Table 3. Diagnostic performance of VereMTB on clinical isolates and specimens. Legend: Percentages

of sensitivity, specificity, positive (PPV) and negative (NPV) predictive values, diagnostic accuracy

always 100%. 226

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM

227

		Clinical isolates (No. 91)		Clinical	specimens (No. 80 MTB	+ smear pos / 116 MTB-)		
	MTBDRplus / seq			MTBDRplus / seq	/Xpert MTB-RIF	DST	Indeterminate	
RIFAMPICIN (rpoB)				tot N° 71		tot N° 58	Molecular	
Sensitivity	100.00 (94.	.58, 100.00)	98.53 (92.13, 99.74)	100.00 (77.	19, 100.00)	100.00 (75.75, 100.00)	3/71 (4.23%) Phenotypic	
Specificity	100.00 (86	.2, 100.00)	100.00 (85.69, 100.00)	100.00 (93.	47, 100.00)	100.00 (91.97, 100.00)	2/58 (3.45%)	
PPV	100.00 (94.	.58, 100.00)	100.00 (94.58, 100.00)	100.00 (77.	19, 100.00)	100.00 (75.75, 100.00)		
NPV	100.00 (86	.2, 100.00)	95.83 (79.76, 99.26)	100.00 (93.	47, 100.00)	100.00 (91.97, 100.00)		
Likelihood ratio pos	Unde	fined	Undefined	Unde	fined	Undefined		
Likelihood ratio neg	0.00 (0	0.00, ?)	0.01 (0.00, 0.10)	0.00 (0	0.00, ?)	0.00 (0.00, ?)		
Diagnostic accuracy	100.00 (95.	.95, 100.00)	98.90 (94.03, 99.81)	100.00 (95.	95, 100.00)	100.00 (93.58, 100.00)		
ISONIAZID (katG. inhA)	NIAZID (katG. inhA) katG inhA			katG (tot No. 67)	inhA (tot No. 67)	tot No. 57	Molecular	
Sensitivity	95.74 (87.75, 98.83)	100.00 (91.03, 100)	82.76 (73.48, 89.26)	93.75 (71.67, 98.89)	90.91 (62.26, 98.38)	73.33 (55.55, 85.82)	3/67 (4.48%) Phenotynic	
Specificity	100.00 (91.97, 100.00)	100.00 (93.12, 100.00)	100.00 (51.01, 100.00)	100.00 (92.59, 100.00)	100.00 (93.24, 100.00)	100.00 (86.68, 100.00)	2/57 (3.5%)	
PPV	100.00 (92.13, 100.00)	100.00 (91.03, 100.00)	100.00 (94.93, 100.00)	100.00 (79.61, 100.00)	100.00 (72.25, 100.00)	100.00 (85.13, 100.00)		
NPV	95.65 (85.47, 98.90)	100.00 (93.12, 100.00)	21.05 (8.51, 43.33)	97.96 (89.31, 99.64)	100.00 (90.23, 99.67)	75.76 (58.98, 87.17)		
Likelihood ratio pos	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined	Undefined		
Likelihood ratio neg	0.04 (0.02, 0.11)	0.00 (0.00, ?)	0.17 (0.15, 0.20)	0.07 (0.009, 0.44)	0.09 (0.01, 0.65)	0.26 (0.21, 0.34)		
Diagnostic accuracy	97.8 (92.34, 99.4)	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)	83.52 (74.57, 89.75)	98.44 (91.67, 99.72)	98.44 (91.67, 99.72)	85.45 (73.84, 92.44)		
мтв					tot No. 19	6		
Sensitivity	100.00 (95.	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)		100.00 (95.31, 100.00)			2/196 (1.02%)	
Specificity	Unde	fined	Undefined	100.00 (96.79, 100.00)				
PPV	100.00 (95.	.95, 100.00)	100.00 (95.95, 100.00)	100.00 (95.31, 100.00)				
NPV	Unde	fined	Undefined	100.00 (96.79, 100.00)				
Likelihood ratio pos	Unde	fined	Undefined	Undefined				
Likelihood ratio neg	Unde	fined	Undefined	0.00				
Diagnostic accuracy	Unde	efined	Undefined		100.00 (98.06, 100.00)			

229 Table 4. Phenotypic DST, MTBDRplus, Xpert MTB-RIF and VereMTB results for the 80 smear-

230 positive MTBC culture positive clinical specimens included in the study. Legend: ND: not detected. Δ :

231 no WT signal.

	DST		MTBDRplus/sequencing			Xpert MTB-RIF		VereMTB			
N°	RIF	INH	rpoB	katG	inhA	MTB	RIF	rpoB	katG	inhA	N°
9	S	R	WT	WT	C-15T	-	-	WT	WT	C-15T	9
2	R	R	S531L	S315T1	WT	-	-	\$531L	S315T1	WT	2
1	R	R	S531L	WT+S315T1	WT	-	-	S531L	WT+S315T1	WT	1
6	S	R	WT	WT	WT	-	-	WT	WT	WT	6
2	R	R	D516V	S315T1	WT	-	-	D516V	S315T1	WT	2
2	R	R	S531L	WT	WT	-	-	S531L	WT	WT	2
4	S	R	WT	S315T1	WT	-	-	WT	S315T1	WT	4
1	R	R	S531L	S315T1/T2	WT	-	-	S531L	S315T1/T2	WT	1
1	R	R	Q513P	S315T1	WT	-	-	Δ 510-513 WT	S315T1	WT	1
1	S	R	WT	S315N	WT	-	-	WT	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
1	R	S	S531L	WT	WT	-	-	S531L	WT	WT	1
1	R	R	S531L	WT	C-15T	-	-	S531L	Δ 313-317 WT	WT	1
15	S	S	WT	WT	WT	-	-	WT	WT	WT	15
1	R	R	Δ 518-525 WT, Δ 530-533 WT	S315T1	WT	-	-	Δ 523-526 WT, S531L	S315T1	WT	1
1	-	-	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	-	-	D516V	S315T1	T-8C	1
15	-	-	WT	WT	WT	-	-	WT	WT	WT	15
1	-	-	WT	S315T1	WT	-	-	WT	S315T1	WT	1
9	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	WT	WT	WT	9
4	-	-	-	-	-	pos	WT	WT	WT	WT	4
1	-	-	WT	WT	WT	-	-	PCR co	ntrols not valid		1
1	S	S	ND	S315T1	WT	-	-	N	ITB ND		1
1	S	S	ND	WT	WT	-	-	ND	ND ND ND		

232

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM