# CERTIFICATO DI FIRMA DIGITALE

Si certifica che questo documento informatico

### phd\_unisi\_076750\_2.pdf

composto da nº56pagine

È stato firmato digitalmente in data odierna con Firma Elettronica Qualificata (FEQ), avente l'efficacia e gli effetti giuridici equivalenti a quelli di una firma autografa, ai sensi dell'art. 2702 del Codice Civile e dell'art. 25 del Regolamento UE n. 910/2014 eIDAS (electronic IDentification Authentication and Signature).

### PROCESSI INFORMATICI COMPLETATI

- Apposizione di Firma Elettronica Qualificata Remota emessa da Intesi Group S.p.A. in qualità di prestatore di servizi fiduciari qualificati autorizzato da AgID, per garantire con certezza l'autenticità, l'integrità, il non ripudio e l'immodificabilità del documento informatico e la sua riconducibilità in maniera manifesta e inequivoca all'autore, ai sensi dell'art. 20 comma 2 del CAD - D.lgs 82/2005.
- Apposizione di Marca Temporale Qualificata emessa da Intesi Group S.p.A. in qualità di prestatore di servizi fiduciari qualificati autorizzato da AgID, per attribuire una data e un orario opponibile a terzi, ai sensi dell'art. 20 comma 3 del CAD - D.lgs 82/2005 e per far sì che la Firma Elettronica Qualificata apposta su questo documento informatico, risulti comunque valida per i prossimi 20 anni a partire dalla data odierna, anche nel caso in cui il relativo certificato risultasse scaduto, sospeso o revocato.
- Apposizione di Contrassegno Elettronico, l'unica soluzione tecnologica che permette di prorogare la validità giuridica di un documento informatico sottoscritto con firma digitale e/o marcato temporalmente, rendendolo inalterabile, certo e non falsificabile, una volta stampato su supporto cartaceo, ai sensi dell'art. 23 del CAD D.lgs 82/2005.



Per risalire all'originale informatico è necessario scansionare il Contrassegno Elettronico, utilizzando l'applicazione HONOS, disponibile per dispositivi Android e iOS.

### Chapter III. Reprogramming of amino acid transporters to support aspartate and glutamate dependency sustains endocrine resistance in breast cancer

#### 1. Introduction

The majority of breast cancers are positive for ER and/or PR and negative for HER2. These tumors depend on estrogen for their growth and survival and ET is the standard of care. However, around 40% of patients treated with ET relapse with a resistant disease and understanding the molecular and metabolic mechanisms underlying such resistance is an important need. We have previously shown that ET resistant cells are characterized by high glucose metabolic plasticity orchestrated by a miRNA-dependent regulation of key metabolic players (i.e., HK2) and that targeting this rewiring re-sensitizes ET resistant cells to the initial therapy [352].

miRNA are short (~22 nucleotides), noncoding RNA that mediate a post-transcriptional gene silencing by binding a complementary sequence in the 3' untranslated region (3' UTR) of the mRNA and impair its translation [388, 389], thus acting as regulators of cellular processes including proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis and could also been involved in cancer initiation and progression [390], representing potential therapeutic targets [391]. Aberrant miRNA expression patterns have been observed in human breast cancer and are associated with tumorigenesis, metastasis, and poor prognosis. Particularly, a significant deregulation of miR-10, miR-125, miR-145, miR-21, miR-155, and miR-23b expression has been reported in breast cancer where they can act as tumor suppressors or oncomiR [392]. miRNA also exert a crucial role in ET response and resistance. For example, it has been demonstrated that miR-221/222 sustain SERD resistance by promoting  $\beta$ -catenin activation and the subsequent repression of the TGF-β-mediated growth inhibition [393]. More recently, miRNA have also been involved in the regulation of cancer metabolism [394]. For instance, miR-143 downregulates HK2 thus blocking glucose catabolism in breast cancer. Therefore, miR-155 promotes the transcription of HK2 by repressing miR-143, inducing a glycolytic phenotype in breast cancer cells [395]. However, it is still unclear whether the altered expression of miRNA is a causative event or the consequence of a pathological process. Defining the functional networks connecting miRNA and mRNA will contribute to their usefulness as therapeutic targets and will open novel opportunities for the development of diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.

Here we found that the enhanced miR-23b-3p expression induces a downregulation of the neutral and basic amino acid transporter SLC6A14, resulting in impaired amino acid metabolism in ET resistant cells. This alteration is supported first by the activation of autophagy and second by the selective import of aspartate and glutamate mediated by SLC1A2 transporter. The

metabolic drivers involved in this metabolic reprogramming are of clinical relevance and have prognostic and predictive value. Targeting these amino acid metabolic dependencies resensitizes ET resistant cells to the therapy and is essential to impair their aggressive features.

#### 2. Results

### 2.1 Global genome analysis reveals a deregulated metabolic miR-23b-3p/SLC6A14 node with prognostic value in endocrine therapy resistant ER+ breast cancer

To uncover potential metabolic nodes related to AI resistance, we performed a genome-wide and miRNA profiling in an isogenic cellular model of breast cancer including (i) MCF7 parental cells cultured in the presence of estrogen (E2) to model a patient at the first diagnosis, (ii) MCF7 in the absence of E2 to mimic the reduction of E2 levels during response to AI, and (iii) MCF7 LTED (long-term estrogen deprived) cells which represent an established model of AI resistance. This global transcription analysis identified 3,568 mRNA and 62 miRNA significantly deregulated in MCF7 LTED compared to parental cells, both in the presence and absence of E2. A supervised hierarchical clustering analysis revealed a clear segregation between MCF7 and MCF7 LTED and, within the parental cells, there was an additional separation into E2 treated and shortly deprived (3 days) samples (Figure 1A). However, we decided to focus on MCF7 in presence of E2 and MCF7 LTED to mimic the clinical scenario of a postmenopausal woman who relapses after therapy with an AI. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showed that the majority of the differentially regulated gene sets in LTED versus parental cells are connected to metabolic pathways (Figure 1A) and, in particular, that LTED profile is inversely correlated with the gene set "amino acid transport across the plasma membrane" (Figure 1B). Since miRNA can regulate the target mRNA to impair its translation, their expression profiles are expected to be inversely correlated. Therefore, we generated a correlative network illustrating the potential miRNA-mRNA nodes deregulated in our model (Figure 1C). Since GSEA previously revealed a deregulated amino acid transport in MCF7 LTED cells, we focused on a metabolic hub involving miR-23b-3p [396], which showed a negative correlation with SLC6A14, a sodium-chloride-dependent transporter of all the amino acids across the plasma membrane with the exception of glutamate and aspartate [397, 398].

The clinical relevance of this deregulated metabolic node has been validated in retrospective clinical data showing that high expression of *miR-23b-3p* (**Figure 1D**) and low levels of *SLC6A14* (**Figure 1E**) identify a subset of ER+ breast cancer patients with poor prognosis.



Figure 1. Global genome analysis reveals a deregulated miR-23b-3p/SLC6A14 node with prognostic value in endocrine therapy resistant ER+ breast cancer. (A) Supervised hierarchical clustering of RNA transcripts (left) and miRNA (right) of 3 biological replicates of MCF7, MCF7 deprived from E2 for 3 days, and LTED cells that were subjected to gene or miRNA expression profiling using an Agilent Technologies assay. Differentially expressed genes

(n = 3.568; ANOVA) and miRNA (n = 62; ANOVA) were used for heatmap generation. High and low expression is normalized to the average expression across all the samples. On the left side, association of the genes in the heatmap with leading edge genes resulting from GSEA analysis on the specified gene sets is identified. (**B**) GSEA enrichment plot of amino acid transport dataset showing a negative association between the MSigDb M188 dataset and the LTED gene expression profile. NES, normalized enrichment score. (**C**) Anti-correlation network of differentially expressed miRNA and mRNA. Edge color is proportional to the strength of the anti-correlation (white to red = low to high). Node color is proportional to the LTED versus MCF7 log fold change (red, downregulated; green, upregulated). SLC genes are labeled in black; other miRNA or mRNA are labeled in gray. miR-23b and SLC6A14 nodes are highlighted as large circles. (**D**,**E**) Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival of BRCA TCGA cohort of ER+ patients divided into high and low expressing, as described in Methods, for miR-23b-3p (D: TGCA, high expressing, n = 68; low expressing, n = 475) or SLC6A14 (E: TGCA, high expressing, n = 257; low expressing, n = 467) expression.

## 2.2 Expression levels of miR-23b-3p and SLC6A14 are deregulated in endocrine therapy resistant cells

Notably, we found that the deregulation of the miR-23b-3p/SLC6A14 axis was not a prerequisite of cells that are resistant to estrogen deprivation but also concurred to tamoxifen and fulvestrant resistance, thus suggesting a potential metabolic vulnerability that could be exploited for therapeutic or prognostic purposes. Indeed, qRT-PCR revealed enhanced expression of miR-23b-3p (**Figure 2A**) and reduced levels of SLC6A14 (**Figure 2B**) in MCF7 LTED, MCF7 TAMR, and MCF7 FULVR that are MCF7 derivatives mimicking tamoxifen and fulvestrant acquired resistance, respectively. Similar results were also obtained in LTED derivatives of the HCC1428 and ZR75.1 breast cancer cells (**Figure 2C,D**). Additionally, the induced overexpression of miR-23b-3p in the parental cells promoted the downregulation of the amino acid transporter (**Figure 2E**); conversely, miR-23b-3p silencing into LTED cells increased SLC6A14 levels (**Figure 2E**), validating the functional relation between these two molecular players.

Accordingly, all the ET resistant cells analyzed are characterized by a reduced uptake of exogenous <sup>14</sup>C-radiolabeled amino acid pool compared to the parental counterpart (**Figure 2F-2H**) and this impaired amino acid upload was reverted through miR-23b-3p silencing (**Figure 2I**). However, no significant changes were reported in *de novo* protein synthesis (**Figure 2J**) between sensitive and resistant cells, thereby suggesting no effect of protein availability to support ET resistant cell growth.



**Figure 2. Expression levels of miR-23b-3p and SLC6A14 are deregulated in endocrine therapy resistant cells.** (**A–D**) ET resistant derived and parental MCF7 (A,B), HCC1428 (C), and ZR75.1 (D) cells were subjected to qRT-PCR analysis using the assays described in the figure. Relative expression is shown using the parental cells as comparator. Data represent means ± SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. Each dot represents a biological replicate. (**E**) Total protein lysates from MCF7 and LTED cells transfected with the oligos as described in the figure for 72 h were subjected to western blot analysis, as indicated. (**F–H**) <sup>14</sup>C-amino acid mixture upload was measured in ET resistant and parental MCF7 (F), HCC1428 (G), and ZR75.1 (H) cells. The relative upload capacity is shown using parental cells as comparator. Data represent means ± SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. Each dot represents a biological replicate. (**I**) <sup>14</sup>C-amino acid mixture upload was measured in ET resistant and parental MCF7 (F), HCC1428 (G), and ZR75.1 (H) cells. The relative upload capacity is shown using parental cells as comparator. Data represent means ± SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. Each dot represents a biological replicate. (**I**) <sup>14</sup>C-amino acids mixture upload was measured in ET resistant derived MCF7 cells transfected for 72 h with either antimiR-CTR or anti-miR-23b-3p oligos. The relative upload capacity is shown using anti-miR-CTR transfected cells as comparator. Data represent means ± SEM. Student's t test \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. Each dot represents a biological replicate. (**J**) LTED derivatives and parental counterparts of MCF7 and ZR75.1 cells were subjected to protein synthesis assay, as described in Methods. No differences were observed between parental and LTED cells in the absence or presence of the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX, 50 mg/mL for 30 min). Data represent mea

### 2.3 Endocrine therapy resistant cells display enhanced autophagic flux essential for their survival

Since we did not observe any reduction in protein synthesis (**Figure 2J**) and hypothetically in cell growth between MCF7 parental and LTED cells, despite the reduced amino acid uptake in the resistant models, we postulated that resistant cells may activate autophagy to compensate the decreased amino acid upload. Autophagy is an intracellular lysosomal self-digestion process consisting of the fusion of damaged/dysfunctional or superfluous subcellular components with lysosomes and their subsequent degradation. This pathway is responsible for the quality control of vital cellular organelles and is essential to fuel anabolic (including protein synthesis) and catabolic pathways in order to maintain homeostasis and support survival of normal and cancer cells during stress conditions such as nutrient deprivation or drug-induced cytotoxicity [399, 400]. The role of autophagy in cancer is still controversial and context-dependent [401]. In addition, autophagy and metabolism are intimately interconnected and it has been reported that the downregulation of SLC6A14 may induce autophagy activation [402].

Protein analysis by western blotting showed that LTED cells are characterized by enhanced levels of the microtubule-associated protein 1A-1B-light chain 3 (LC3), an established marker of autophagy activation, and that its expression is further increased after the administration of CQ (**Figure 3A**), which is able to inhibit lysosomal hydrolases by preventing endosomal acidification. Thus, CQ administration causes the accumulation of autophagosomes and avoids their degradation resulting in enhanced autophagic flux that may explain the further increased levels of LC3-II [403]. Autophagy activation is also regulated by beclin-1 [404] that is a pivotal scaffold protein for the autophagosome formation and resulted upregulated in all the ET resistant cells together with the LC3-II expression (**Figure 3B**).

Subsequently, we demonstrated that miR-23b-3p expression and autophagy induction are functionally related since the ectopic overexpression of miR-23b-3p in the parental cells improved LC3-II levels (**Figure 3C**); conversely, treating LTED cells with a miR-23b-3p inhibitor significantly impaired the expression of LC3-II (**Figure 3D**). Furthermore, ET resistant cells displayed a greater number of LC3 puncta after CQ treatment when compared to the parental cells (**Figure 3E,F**) and similar results were obtained in HCC1428 and ZR75.1 LTED models (**Figure 3F**). Based on the observation that ET resistant cells are characterized by increased autophagic flux than the parental counterpart, we performed a longer CQ treatment (120 hours) and the silencing of ATG7 (not shown), that is an E1-like activating enzyme essential for autophagy and involved in autophagosome formation and transport [405], and we observed a selectively and significantly impairment of cell survival in ET resistant cells (**Figure 3G**) with no effect on the parental counterpart, suggesting that autophagy targeting may re-sensitize ET resistant cells to the initial therapy.

An additional link between miR-23b-3p and autophagy comes from the notion that miR-23b-3p can act as regulator of beclin-1 [406]. Ubiquitination of beclin-1 on Lys63 (K63) sustains autophagy and is under the control of the balancing between the ubiquitinating enzyme tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR)-associated factor (TRAF6) and the deubiquitinating enzyme tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 3 (TNFAIP3, also known as A20) [407]. *TNFAIP3* is a predictive target gene of miR-23b-3p [408, 409], thus supporting the relation between autophagy and ET resistance. Accordingly, qRT-PCR showed reduced TNFAIP3 mRNA levels in LTED cells (**Figure 3H**) and western blotting and immunoprecipitation analyses revealed an increase of beclin-1 and K63-ubiquitinated beclin-1 in ET resistant cells (**Figure 3J**). The clinical relevance of this finding is supported by the association between low levels of *TNFAIP3* and poor prognosis in a cohort of ER+ breast cancer patients from independent studies (**Figure 3I**).



Figure 3. Endocrine therapy resistant cells display enhanced autophagic flux essential for their survival. (A) LTED and parental MCF7 cells were subjected to 25 mmol/L CQ for 16 h. Total protein lysates were analyzed by western blotting with the antibody indicated. (B) Total protein lysates from ET resistant and parental MCF7 cells were subjected to western blotting analysis with the antibodies indicated. (C,D) Total protein lysates from MCF7 (C) and LTED (D) cells transfected with the oligos as described in the figure for 72 h were subjected to western blot analysis, as indicated. (E,F) MCF7 ET resistant and parental cells were treated with 25 mmol/L of CQ for 16 h and subjected to confocal analysis (E, green: LC3; blue: TOPRO-3, nuclei). Comparable analysis was performed for HCC1428 LTED and ZR75.1 LTED (F), and LC3 puncta were quantified (F) as described in Methods. Representative images are shown; scale bar, 10 mm. Data represent means ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (G) ET resistant and MCF7 parental cells were grown either in the absence (vehicle) or presence of 50 mmol/L CQ treatment for 120 h before subjecting them to cell viability assay. Data are presented as fold change survival fraction of CQ treated versus vehicle treated cells. Representative images are shown. Data represent means ± SEM and were compared to parental cells using 1-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (H) LTED and MCF7 parental cells were subjected to gRT-PCR using the assay indicated. Data represent means ± SEM. Student's t test; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (I) Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival of BRCA The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort of ER+ patients divided into high and low expressing, as described in Methods, for TNFAIP3 expression (high expressing, n = 231; low expressing, n = 493). The HR and log-rank Mantel-Cox p value are shown. (J) Total protein lysates from ET resistant

and parental MCF7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) using an anti-beclin-1 antibody and then subjected to immunoblotting (IB), as reported in the figure (top). Total lysates were also subjected to western blot analysis, as indicated (bottom).

## 2.4 Aspartate and glutamate intracellular levels correlate with the aggressive features of endocrine therapy resistant cells

Despite the significant reduction of SLC6A14 expression in all the resistant cells (Figure 2B-2D), we observed only a slight decrease in amino acid uptake (Figure 2F-2H) and no changes in *de novo* protein biosynthesis (Figure 2J) compared to parental cells, suggesting that protein availability does not influence ET resistant cell growth. Thus, we checked wheatear there was an imbalance in the intracellular amino acid levels and we performed a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. This analysis revealed increased intracellular levels of aspartate and glutamate in MCF7 LTED versus parental cells (Figure 4A), with no major changes in the levels of other amino acids. In line with the previously shown data, gene expression analysis revealed 57 significantly deregulated SLC genes (Figure 4B), 9 of which encoding for amino acid transporters, in LTED versus parental cells. Of these, 8 were downregulated in resistant cells, including SLC6A14, in line with the GSEA data analysis, and only a sodium-dependent acidic amino acid transporter, SLC1A2, was expressed at higher levels in LTED model (Figure 4B) to provide the increased intracellular content of aspartate and glutamate. This upregulation of SLC1A2 was confirmed by both western blot and qRT-PCR analysis in LTED compared to the corresponding parental cells (Figure 4B,C).

Silencing SLC1A2 in ET resistant cells further impaired amino acid upload (**Figure 4D,E**) and, in particular, reduced the incorporation of <sup>14</sup>C-glutamate (**Figure 4F**) and <sup>14</sup>C-aspartate (**Figure 4G**). Importantly, silencing SLC1A2 affected the survival of ET resistant cells (**Figure 4H**) and the selective deprivation of aspartate and glutamate negatively influenced the colony formation ability of LTED cells (**Figure 4I**), thus reinforcing our hypothesis that the specific dependency from aspartate and glutamate sustains the aggressive features of the resistant cells.



Figure 4. Aspartate and glutamate intracellular levels correlate with the aggressive features of endocrine therapy resistant cells. (A) Intracellular amino acids were extracted from LTED and parental MCF7 cells. Amino acid derivatization was performed using 4-N,N-dimethylaminoazobenzene-40-sulfonyl chloride (DABS). DABS-amino acids were detected at visible light wavelengths using HPLC. A representative chromatogram is reported, and the quantification of aspartate (Asp) and glutamate (Glu) from 4 biological replicates is represented in a box and whiskers graph (mean, 25th percentile, and 75th percentile are shown; + indicates the median of the values). Student's t test; \*\*p < 0.001. (B) Gene expression analysis of the significantly deregulated SLC transporters in LTED cells when compared to parental cells. Of the 57 significantly deregulated SLC genes, 9 amino acid transporters are shown in red. Each dot represents the mean value of the fold change derived by the analysis of 3 biological replicates (see Figure 1). Western blot analysis of total lysates from parental and LTED MCF7 cells for SLC1A2 is reported in the inset. (C) LTED and parental MCF7, HCC1428, and ZR75.1 cells were subjected to qRT-PCR using the assay indicated. Data represent means ± SEM, n = 3. Student's t test; \*p < 0.05; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (D-G) MCF7 ET resistant derivatives (D), HCC1428 LTED (E), and MCF7 LTED (F,G) were silenced using the non-targeting control (siCTR) or siRNA targeting SLC1A2 (siSLC1A2) for 72 h. <sup>14</sup>C-amino acid (D,E), <sup>14</sup>C-glutamate (F), and <sup>14</sup>C-aspartate (G) uptake was measured, and the radioactive signal normalized on protein content is shown using siCTR treated cells as comparators. Data represent means ± SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (H) Complementarily, cell viability was measured using crystal violet staining and reported as fold change using parental

cells as comparator. Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Student's t test; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (I) ET resistant and parental cells were subjected to colony formation assay either in the presence of all of the amino acids (all) or in the absence of both aspartate and glutamate (-Asp, -Glu), as described in Method. Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM of 3 biological replicates. Two-way ANOVA; Bonferroni corrected; \*p < 0.05.

## 2.5 Aspartate and glutamate confer metabolic plasticity to endocrine therapy resistant cells

To identify the destiny of the uploaded aspartate and glutamate, we performed a radioactive tracing analysis. The enhanced uptake of radioactive aspartate (**Figure 5A**) was paralleled by an increased incorporation of carbon units derived from aspartate into lipids (**Figure 5B**), proteins (**Figure 5C**), and DNA (**Figure 5D**) in LTED cells. Similarly, the high levels of radioactive glutamate uploaded in the resistant cells (**Figure 5E**) were paralleled by a significant incorporation of labelled carbon units only in DNA (**Figure 5H**), although a tendency towards increased radioactive signal in the extracted lipids and proteins was also observed (**Figure 5F,G**).

To further dissect the contribution of aspartate and glutamate in the metabolism of LTED cells. we performed a liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) culturing cells in a medium containing uniformly (U) labeled <sup>13</sup>C-aspartate (Figure 5I) or <sup>13</sup>C-glutamate (Figure 5J). Consequently, we analyzed the <sup>13</sup>C-labeling of TCA cycle intermediates and metabolites that could act as precursors of anaplerotic processes (e.g., uridine-5'-triphosphate, UTP, for DNA synthesis and glutamine for protein synthesis). When cells were cultured in the presence of U-<sup>13</sup>C-aspartate, we observed a significant increase in <sup>13</sup>C-labeled citrate,  $\alpha$ -KG, succinate, fumarate, and malate in LTED versus parental cells together with a significant enhanced labeling of UTP and glutamine (Figure 5I), suggesting that aspartate is an important source of carbons for TCA cycle and anabolic pathways. Isotopologues analysis revealed that LTED cells mainly exploit the canonical activity of the TCA cycle, since a substantial fraction of citrate was 4 atoms of <sup>13</sup>C, whereas the fraction of 5 atoms was limited, suggesting that the reductive carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -KG is not the predominant pathway (**Figure 5I**). Comparably, when we used a medium containing U-<sup>13</sup>C-glutamate, we noted a significant increase in <sup>13</sup>C-labeled citrate,  $\alpha$ -KG, succinate, fumarate, and malate in LTED cells compared to the parental counterpart (Figure 5J). These observations were paralleled by a significant enhanced labeling of UTP and glutamine (Figure 5J), implying that also glutamate is an essential source of carbons for TCA cycle and anabolic pathways.

Since we know that ET resistant cells display higher metabolic plasticity [353], we asked whether the increased aspartate and glutamate content was used not only for anabolic purposes but also to produce energy. Seahorse XFe Mito Stress Test analysis by monitoring oxygen consumption rate (OCR) revealed no significant changes in basal and maximal

respiration of MCF7 parental cells both in the presence and absence of single or both amino acids (**Figure 5K,L**). Conversely, the resistant cells significantly impaired their respiratory capacity after the simultaneous deprivation of aspartate and glutamate both in basal and under metabolic stress condition (**Figure 5K,L**). In contrast, the withdrawal of a single amino acid was not sufficient to impair the mitochondrial function in LTED cells, indicating that ET resistant cells can replenish the TCA cycle with aspartate or glutamate, when the other amino acid is deficient. These results are in line with the metabolomic analysis using U-<sup>13</sup>C-glutamate and U-<sup>13</sup>C-asparate (**Figure 5I,J**).



**Figure 5.** Aspartate and glutamate confer metabolic plasticity to endocrine therapy resistant cells. (A–D) LTED and MCF7 cells were subjected to <sup>14</sup>C radioactive aspartate uptake (A) or cultured for 24 h in a medium containing radioactive aspartate. Lipids, proteins, or DNA were extracted in parallel and radioactive signal measured to monitor the amount of aspartate that is incorporated into lipids (B), proteins (C), and DNA (D), as described in Methods. Each value was normalized on protein content. (**E–H**) LTED and MCF7 cells were subjected to <sup>14</sup>C

radioactive glutamate uptake (E) or cultured for 24 h in a medium containing radioactive glutamate. Lipids, proteins, or DNA were extracted in parallel and the radioactive signal was measured to monitor the amount of glutamate that is incorporated into lipids (F), proteins (G), and DNA (H), as described in Methods. Each value was normalized on protein content. Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM, n = 3. Student's t test; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; ns, not significant. (I,J) Schematic overview of the metabolism of downstream <sup>13</sup>C-labeled aspartate and fluxes and relative incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C carbons derived from aspartate in the metabolites (I). Schematic overview of metabolism of downstream <sup>13</sup>C-labeled glutamate and fluxes and relative incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C carbons derived from glutamate in the metabolites (J). Two-way ANOVA, Sidak corrected; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; ns, not significant. (K,L) Parental and LTED MCF7 cells were subjected to Seahorse XFe96 Mito Stress Test analysis and OCR was measured in real-time in the presence or absence of the indicated amino acids. Basal (K) and maximal (L) respiration was calculated as described in Methods, based on the OCR after the administration of the ATP synthase inhibitor oligomycin, the proton uncoupler carbonilcyanide p-triflouromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP), and the respiratory complex I inhibitor rotenone, together with the respiratory complex III inhibitor antimycin A. Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett's corrected; \*\*p < 0.01.

## 2.6 Impairing the transport of aspartate and glutamate affects the metastatic potential of endocrine therapy resistant cells *in vivo*

To further validate the amino acid metabolic reprogramming in our ET resistant models, we used an established ER+ breast cancer patient derived xenograft (PDX) model (HBCx34 TAMR) with acquired tamoxifen resistance [410]. qRT-PCR analysis showed reduced levels of SLC6A14 and, concomitantly, increased expression of SLC1A2 in TAMR PDX compared to the parental PDX (**Figure 6A,B**). In addition, resistant PDX were characterized by enhanced intracellular levels of glutamate and aspartate (**Figure 6C,D**) assessed by gas chromatography-MS (GC-MS) analysis.

Moreover, since we have previously demonstrated that ET resistant cells display enhanced metastatic potential [352], we evaluated if SLC1A2 or miR-23b-3p targeting affected the invasive abilities in LTED cells. To directly consider the -3p isoform of the miR-23b, without the confounding effect of the -5p, we could not stably express the pre-miR-23b construct, since this would determine the downregulation of both the isoforms. Consequently, we transfected cells with either a control non-targeting miRNA (anti-miR-CTR) or an anti-miR-23b-3p in a short-term *in vivo* assay, which has been demonstrated to reflect the metastatic potential of breast cancer cells [411]. The invasive capacity depends on the cell ability to survive in circulation and colonize secondary sites. Thus, we transfected and labeled cells with different cell tracker dyes and injected them into the tail vein of SCID mice. Lung images 1-hour post-injection proved that an equivalent number of cells were inoculated. Imaging lungs 5 hours post-injection revealed a diminished number of anti-miR-23b-3p transfected cells retained into the lungs compared to the anti-miR-CTR treated cells (**Figure 6E**). Importantly, similar results were achieved when cells were transfected with siSLC1A2 (**Figure 6F**), suggesting that both miR-23b-3p and SLC1A2 are required for an efficient survival in circulation and for the metastatic ability of ET resistant cells.

Finally, we evaluated the clinical relevance of our findings by assessing the prognostic and predictive value of the molecular drivers involved in the amino acid metabolic reprogramming of ET resistant cells. We analyzed a curated subset of around 800 ER+ breast cancer patients from different retrospective studies, previously treated with adjuvant tamoxifen. Kaplan-Meier

analysis revealed that *TNFAIP3* (**Figure 6G**) and *SLC6A14* (**Figure 6H**) lowering-expressing patients showed poor relapse-free survival (RFS) when compared with higher-expressing tumor women. The predictive value of *SLC1A2* in response and resistance to ET was corroborated by an *in silico* analysis, which was done on publicly available gene expression data from 52 ER+ breast cancer patients. Biopsies were taken before and after two weeks of neo-adjuvant letrozole therapy [412] and patients were classified into responders and non-responders, based on a >50% and a <50% reduction in tumor volume following 3 months of letrozole treatment. The responder cohort significantly decreased *SLC1A2* expression after two week-letrozole treatment while no changes were observed in the non-responder subgroup (**Figure 6I**). To seek of completeness, we validated the clinical relevance of *SLC1A2* in a small cohort of ER+ breast cancer patients in response to adjuvant fulvestrant. Since the clinical outcome was not available, we exploited the variations in the proliferation related gene *AURKA* (aurora kinase A) as a readout of therapy response and we found that changes in *SLC1A2* expression induced by fulvestrant positively correlated with alterations in *AURKA* (**Figure 6J**), corroborating the link between SLC1A2 levels and the changes in proliferation induced by fulvestrant.

In conclusion, these data support the involvement of the amino acid metabolic reprogramming in ET resistance, identifying miR-23b-3p and SLC1A2 as crucial molecular players with predictive value in ER+ breast cancer.



Figure 6. Impairing the transport of aspartate and glutamate affects the metastatic potential of endocrine therapy resistant cells *in vivo*. (A–D) ET (i.e., tamoxifen) sensitive and tamoxifen resistant PDX (HBCx34) were obtained from ER+ breast cancer specimens previously characterized as described in Methods. Tumor tissues were excised, and total RNA was extracted and subjected to qRT-PCR using the assay to detect SLC6A14 (A) and SLC1A2 (B). Each dot represents an independent mouse. Alternatively, tumor tissues were extracted and subjected to GC-MS (as detailed in Methods) to evaluate the intratumoral levels of glutamate (C) and aspartate (D). Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Student's t test; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (E,F) MCF7 LTED cells were transfected with either anti-miR-23b-3p or a non-targeting control (anti-miR-CTR, E). Cells were labeled with CellTracker dyes (green for anti-miR-CTR and red for anti-miR-23b-3p) before injecting a mixture of anti-miR-23b-3p and anti-miR-CTR transfected cells in a 1:1 ratio into the tail vein of SCID mice (n = 4 per group), as described in Methods. Similarly, SLC1A2 silenced (red) and siCTR transfected cells (green) were injected (F). The lungs were recovered at the time indicated and imaged to analyze the number of fluorescent cells that colonize the lungs. Data shown are means for tumor cell coverage per field of view (FOV); n = 4 mice per group per time point  $\pm$  SEM.

Representative images are shown; scale bar, 200 mm. \*\*\*p < 0.001. (**G**,**H**) Kaplan-Meier analysis of RFS of a curated cohort of ER+ patients treated with tamoxifen in the adjuvant setting and divided into high and low expressing as described in Methods for TNFAIP3 (G) and SLC6A14 expression (H). HR and log-rank Mantel-Cox p values are shown. (**I**) Correlation of SLC1A2 expression with response to AI in 52 paired ER+ breast cancer samples pre- and post-2-week letrozole treatment. A significant decrease in SLC1A2 expression was observed in the responder group, but no difference was found in the non-responder group (Wilcoxon paired t test). ns, not significant. Each dot represents the value derived by a patient's specimen. Red lines connect the mean values pre- and post-letrozole treatment. (**J**) Correlation of the change in the SLC1A2 and AURKA expression levels following adjuvant fulvestrant treatment (Geo: GSE33658, n = 11; Spearman correlation and p value are indicated in the figure).

#### 3. Discussion

Our study focused on an extensively characterized model of ET resistance that we and others proved to have clinical relevance [342, 413-415]. We demonstrated that the amino acid metabolic reprogramming is involved in the onset of ET resistance in ER+ breast cancer. Particularly, a reorganization of the amino acid transporters supports catabolic and anabolic processes by (i) the autophagy activation and (ii) a selective import of aspartate and glutamate in ET resistant breast cancer cells. Importantly, we validated our findings in PDX, *in vivo* assay, and retrospective clinical data derived from ET treated patients.

miR-23b, a member of the miR-23b/-27b/-24-1 cluster, has been found deregulated in several cancers (e.g., breast cancer, lymphoma, non-small-cell lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, colon cancer, and multiple myeloma) and its role as oncomiR or tumor suppressor is still controversial and seems to be context-dependent. For instance, in gastric and pancreatic cancer, it has been shown that reduced levels of miR-23b-3p sustain chemo- and radio-resistance, respectively [416, 417]. An additional complication is linked to the existence of the -5p and -3p isoforms that could play individual and/or opposite effects in a tissue-dependent manner. Since several studies did not explore which isoform was predominant in the subset of tumors analyzed, this bias may be the reason of the discrepancies observed among different works.

Here, we found that enhanced expression of miR-23b-3p orchestrates an amino acid metabolic reprogramming in ET resistant breast cancer cells and in a subset of patients with poor prognosis. Since a stable knockdown of this miRNA may be reached *in vivo* only through the parallel silencing of the two isoforms, we decided to perform a short-term lung retention assay consisting of the quantification of the residing cells in the lung after a tail vein injection. Such assay has the limitation of not recapitulating the intricate mechanism of the metastatic cascade; however, we have meticulously chosen the time points of the assay so that the cell ability to be retained in the lung corresponds to the capacity in surviving and colonizing the organ.

SLC6A14 is an ER-dependent amino acid transporter whose expression is exclusive of ER+ breast cancer where its targeting causes an autophagy related cell death [418]. Downregulated SLC6A14 is found in our models of ET resistance and is compatible with their survival. Thus, it is reasonable that the metabolic adaptation orchestrated by miR-23b-3p induces the impairment of SLC6A14, promoting a resistant phenotype characterized by potentiated pro-survival autophagy and enhanced dependency from aspartate and glutamate import which compensate the reduced amino acid upload. Nevertheless, parental and resistant cells growth similarly and exhibit comparable rate of protein synthesis, thereby suggesting that autophagy may counteract the impaired amino acid dependency. However, although significantly diminished, the amino acid uptake was not abolished in ET resistant cells, indicating that other important amino acid transporters are still functioning. It has already been reported that the c-Myc-dependent glutamine transport contributes to ET resistant cell survival [348] and that autophagy activation may sustain tamoxifen resistance [419], that could be also supported by the LLGL2-dependent import of leucine [420]. Our investigation showed that SLC1A2 expression and the subsequent intracellular level of aspartate and glutamate are increased in ET resistant cells and PDX models, conferring them anabolic and catabolic advantages.

In summary, we demonstrated that both autophagy and aspartate and glutamate content are essential supporters of ET resistance. In a such complex scenario, the levels of aspartate and glutamate are not altered by autophagy inhibition and may be exploited to produce the other missing amino acids, limiting the dangerous reduction of the intracellular amino acid levels, that is a recognized activator of autophagy [421].

It is important to mention that SLC1A2 is involved in several types of cancer. For instance, in gastric and colorectal cancer, it has been observed a genomic breakpoint in the 5' region of SLC1A2 that allows a fusion with CD44 [422, 423]. The aberrant formation of CD44-SLC1A2 increases the intracellular levels of glutamate and targeting SLC1A2 re-sensitizes tumors to chemotherapy [422]. Correspondingly, in our model, the upregulation of SLC1A2 results in enhanced intracellular levels of aspartate and glutamate and targeting this dependency impairs the aggressive features and re-sensitizes ET resistant breast cancer to the therapy. Despite we did not explore the possibility of a genomic rearrangement between CD44 and SLC1A2 in our model, we have evidence that CD44 is upregulated in the resistant cells compared to the parental counterpart, thereby making attractive the investigation of a potential gene fusion.

Vander Heiden and colleagues have recently and extensively explored the role of aspartate in cancer. Firstly, they showed that OXPHOS-competent cells exploit mitochondrial respiration to boost aspartate biosynthesis and that aspartate addition is necessary for the proliferation of OXPHOS-defective cells [424]. Subsequently, it has been demonstrated that SLC1A3-mediated aspartate uptake [425] or the conversion of asparagine into aspartate [426] are useful events that allow to overcome the anabolic limitation that tumors experience and to sustain their growth. Additionally, aspartate limitation appears to be necessary for the anti-tumoral activity of metformin [427] and potentially other biguanides. Accordingly, we have previously proven that metformin is ineffective in AI resistant cells [352] and now this could be justified by the enhanced intratumoral aspartate levels exhibited by these cells.

SLC1A2 also functions as glutamate importer and, despite glutamate contribution to DNA, lipid, and protein biosynthesis is lower than that of aspartate in our model, it appears fundamental in sustaining anabolic pathways since only the simultaneous withdrawal of both the amino acids is

able to impair the respiratory capacity in ET resistant cells. Finally, this biosynthetic supportive role of aspartate and glutamate is endorsed by the observation that most carbons in cells originate from amino acids rather than glucose and glutamine [428].

In conclusion, our findings highlight an amino acid metabolic deregulation that sustains ET resistance and identify molecular drivers as predictive biomarkers and/or potential targets to be exploited to combat or delay ET resistance in ER+ breast cancer.

# Chapter IV. Glucose metabolic reprogramming of ER+ breast cancer in acquired resistance to the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib

### 1. Introduction

Most breast cancers are ER+ and HER2-, thus depending on estrogen for their growth and survival. Targeting ER pathway through ET is the standard of care for these tumors but the emergence of resistance still represents a critical issue. Recently, the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib has shown improved prognosis in patients with ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer treated in combination with ET both as first- and second-line therapy. However, despite clinical benefits, 15% of patients display innate or early resistance and 50% acquire resistance with progression within 25 months [138]. I have extensively described the molecular mechanisms underlying CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance in Chapter I (e.g., Rb loss, CDK2/4/6, CCNE1/2, and p16 amplification) and here we explored the metabolic adaptation that could emerge at the time of resistance based on recent evidence suggesting that the combination of autophagy and CDK4/6 inhibition has a synergistic anti-tumoral effect both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [224]. In particular, we investigated the glucose exploitation in a panel of isogenic ER+ breast cancer cell lines sensitive to palbociclib (PDS) and in their resistant derivatives (PDR) to identify potential metabolic drivers with prognostic value and propose novel combinatorial strategies to combat or delay the onset of resistance.

2. Results

# 2.1 Palbociclib affects the expression of key metabolic players implicated in glucose catabolism

To explore the metabolic changes in glucose-dependent catabolism occurring during response and resistance to palbociclib, we focused on a series of PDS and PDR ER+ isogenic breast cancer cell lines, showing a different *HER2* status, that have been previously characterized by gene expression profiling by our collaborators [429]: ZR75-1 and T47D cells that are HER2-, BT474 and MDA-MB-361 that are HER2+. Firstly, we performed a gene expression and protein analysis of the most important glycolytic drivers since CDK4/6 inhibitors have been suggested as perturbers of glucose metabolism [430].

GLUT1 is a mediator of glucose uptake and has been found upregulated in many cancers [431]. qRT-PCR analysis showed increased levels of GLUT1 in all the resistant cells (**Figure 1A**). Surprisingly, HK2, that is the rate-limiting glycolytic enzyme, appeared differentially expressed in PDR cells changing on the basis of the genetic background (i.e., HER2 status): HER2- PDR cells moderately but significantly reduced HK2 levels, whereas HER2+ resistant counterparts enhanced its expression (Figure 1B) compared to the parental cells. Notably, drug administration (+PD) to PDS cells promoted a similar gene expression pattern (Figure 1A,B), indicating that a palbociclib acute treatment for 3 days may induce a metabolic shift towards the resistant phenotype in the parental cells. Changes in GLUT1 and HK2 expression were confirmed also at protein level both in PDS+PD and PDR cells (Figure 1C). These unexpected discrepancies between glucose transporter and HK2 render complicated the definition of the glucose-dependent metabolism and need to be validated at functional level. Indeed, HK2 expression is not an index of its enzymatic action and also different isoforms of HK exist thus potentially explaining the differences observed. To conclude the glucose metabolic characterization at protein level, we evaluated the expression of MCT1 and MCT4 that have been shown to act both as lactate exporters in Warburg-like cells [432] and we observed enhanced levels of MCT1 or MCT4 in HER2+ PDR cells together with a slight increase in PD treated parental cells compared to the sensitive counterpart. Conversely, HER2- PDR and PDS+PD cells decreased either MCT1 or MCT4 expression (Figure 1C).

Figure 1



**Figure 1. Palbociclib affects the expression of key metabolic players implicated in glucose catabolism. (A,B)** PDR and PDS cells, in presence or absence of 1  $\mu$ M palbociclib (PD), were subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Relative expression is shown using PDS cells as comparator. Each dot represents a biological replicate. Gray shades are used for PDS cells, blue shades when PD is administrated to HER2– cells (either PDS or PDR), red shades when PD is administrated to HER2– cells (either PDS or PDR), red shades when PD is administrated to HER2– cells (either PDS or PDR). Data represent means ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); Bonferroni corrected; \* *p* < 0.05; \*\* *p* < 0.01; \*\*\* *p* < 0.001; \*\*\*\* *p* < 0.0001. (**C**) Total protein lysates from PDS (either in presence or absence of 1  $\mu$ M PD) and PDR cells were subjected to western blot analysis, as indicated.

## 2.2 Palbociclib resistant cells show growth rates similar to the sensitive counterpart while enhancing glucose uptake

Then, we questioned whether the metabolic changes observed at molecular level had a functional consequence. According to our hypothesis, the enhanced expression of GLUT1 in the resistant cells was associated with improved glucose uptake, assessed by radioactive glucose tracing analysis (**Figure 2A**). However, despite we previously showed that palbociclib acute treatment significantly increased GLUT1 mRNA expression levels in the parental cells, we did

not register any significant changes in glucose upload after drug administration (**Figure 2A**). Interestingly, these alterations are not proliferation-dependent since comparable growth rates were reported between sensitive and resistant cells (**Figure 2B**) and, to prevent any confounding effects related to proliferation, all the metabolic assays were completed in 24 or 72 hours.



Figure 2. Palbociclib resistant cells show growth rates similar to the sensitive counterpart while enhancing glucose uptake. (A) <sup>14</sup>C-glucose uptake was measured in PDS (either in presence or absence of 1  $\mu$ M PD) and PDR cells. The relative uptake capacity is shown using PDS cells as comparator. Each dot represents a biological replicate. Gray shades are used for PDS cells, blue shades when PD is administrated to HER2– cells (either PDS or PDR), red shades when PD is administrated to HER2+ cells (either PDS or PDR). Data represent means ± SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001. (B) No significant reduction in cell survival between PDS and PDR cells was observed by cell counting within the 5-day time range. Data represent means ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA; Bonferroni corrected.

## 2.3 *HER2* status impacts on glucose catabolism and defines distinct glucose dependencies during acute and chronic drug administration

To assess whether the enhanced glucose intake was paralleled by an improved glucose exploitation in the resistant cells, we performed Seahorse XFe analysis. Specifically, we used the Glycolytic Rate Assay which discriminates ECAR (extracellular acidification rate) from OCR following the serial injection of a mix of rotenone and antimycin A (Rot/AA), that inhibit the mitochondrial respiration by blocking ETC complex I and III respectively, and 2-DG to impair glycolysis. ER+/HER2- ZR75-1 and T47D cells significantly reduced glycoPER (glycolytic proton efflux rate – see Materials and Methods for more details) (**Figure 3A,C**) and consequently basal and compensatory glycolysis when resistant (**Figure 3B,D**). Conversely, ER+/HER2+ BT474 and MDA-MB-361 PDR cells increased glycoPER (**Figure 3E,G**) and accordingly also their basal and compensatory glycolysis when compared to the sensitive counterpart (**Figure 3F,H**). Additionally, palbociclib acute treatment impaired both these glycolytic parameters in the parental cells, regardless of *HER2* status, suggesting that the metabolic changes induced by drug administration may differ from those of the resistant cells, at least in the HER2+ subgroup.



Figure 3. HER2 status impacts on glucose catabolism and defines distinct glucose dependency during acute and chronic drug administration. (A, C, E, G) PDS (either in presence or absence of 1  $\mu$ M PD) and PDR cells were subjected to seahorse XFe96 Glycolytic Rate Assay and glycoPER was measured in real-time and normalized on protein levels. (B, D, F, H) Basal and compensatory glycolytic capacity was calculated as described in Methods, based on ECAR and OCR after the administration of the respiratory complex I inhibitor rotenone, together with the respiratory complex III inhibitor antimycin A following by the glycolysis inhibitor 2-DG. Data represent means ± SEM. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates. Blue dots are from HER2– cells, red from HER2+. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett's corrected; \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

We also performed a Mitochondrial Stress Test to evaluate whether resistant and parental cells may exploit the OXPHOS to meet the energetic demand under metabolic stress condition. Compared to the Glycolytic Rate Assay, different drugs were serially injected in the Mitochondrial Stress Test: oligomycin that inhibits mitochondrial complex V, the OXPHOS uncoupler FCCP (carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazone), and a mix of Rot/AA. In line with the results derived from the Glycolytic Rate Assay, HER2- PDR cells significantly increased OCR level and accordingly basal and maximal respiration compared to PDS (**Figure 4A-D**). In contrast, HER2+ cells displayed impaired OCR and related parameters when become resistant (**Figure 4E-H**).

These results suggest that the mitochondrial respiration is inversely correlated to the aerobic glycolysis in our models and that the glucose metabolic reprogramming is influenced by *HER2* status. Therefore, potential combination strategies with metabolic poisons may be designed based on the different genetic background.



Figure 4. HER2 status impacts on glucose-dependent mitochondrial respiration during palbociclib resistance. (A, C, E, G) PDS and PDR cells were subjected to seahorse XFe96 Mito Stress Test analysis and OCR was measured in real-time and normalized on protein levels. (B, D, F, H) Basal and maximal respiration was calculated as described in Methods, based on the OCR after the administration of the ATP synthase inhibitor oligomycin, the proton uncoupler FCCP, and the respiratory complex I inhibitor rotenone, together with the respiratory complex III inhibitor antimycin A. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates. Data represent means ± SEM. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett's corrected; \*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

## 2.4 Targeting glucose catabolism re-sensitizes ER+/HER2+ palbociclib resistant cells to the drug

Since our data suggested that the glycolytic pathway could be involved in palbociclib response and resistance, we decided to interfere with glucose catabolism using various approaches. Firstly, we used 2-DG, a compound that is phosphorylated by HK2 but is not further metabolized thus acting as a glycolysis inhibitor. 2-DG slightly affected the survival fraction in HER2- PDR cells with a percentage of 20% compared to untreated resistant cells (**Figure 5A**). A more pronounced effect was observed in HER2+ PDR derivatives showing a reduction in cell survival fraction after 2-DG administration of 48% in BT474 and 64% in MDA-MB-361 compared to the control (**Figure 5A**). Similar results have been achieved when cells were cultured in the absence of glucose (**Figure 5B**) and in a galactose-containing/glucose-deprived medium (**Figure 5C**), a condition that forces the OXPHOS dependency of the cells [433]. In addition to the pharmacological approach, we confirmed the involvement of glucose catabolism in palbociclib resistance of the HER2+ subset also through the genetic interference. Importantly, HK2 silencing (**Figure 5D**) significantly impaired cell survival in BT474 (**Figure 5E**) and MDA-MB-361 (**Figure 5F**) resistant cells. These results support the hypothesis that glycolysis targeting may re-sensitize HER2+ resistant cells to palbociclib.



**Figure 5. Targeting glucose catabolism re-sensitizes ER+/HER2+ palbociclib resistant cells to the drug.** PDR cells were either treated for 3 days in the presence of 2-DG (**A**), in a glucose deprived medium (**B**) or in a medium in which glucose is replaced by galactose (**C**). Data are presented as fold change survival fraction of treated versus vehicle treated or basal-cultured cells. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates. Shades of blue dots are for HER2- PDR cells, shades of red dots for HER2+ PDR cells. Data represent means ± SEM and were compared to vehicle treated or basal-cultured conditions using one-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001. (**D**) Total protein lysates from ER+/HER2+ PDR cells transfected with the oligos as described in the figure for 72 h were subjected to western blot analysis, as indicated. (**E**, **F**) Survival fraction changes were measured in cells transfected as indicated in the Figure. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates. Data represent means ± SEM and were compared to cells using Student t test; \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

### 2.5 Targeting glycolysis increases the effect of palbociclib on ER+/HER2parental cells

Since the above-described metabolic characterization revealed enhanced glucose capacity also in ER+/HER2- parental cells (**Figures 1-3**), we hypothesized that glycolysis targeting may be effective in this condition and potentiate the effect of the drug, in the palbociclib sensitive setting. According to our supposition, 2-DG treatment (**Figure 6A**), glucose deprived medium (**Figure 6B**), and the replacement of glucose with galactose (**Figure 6C**) exerted a major effect

in impairing the survival fraction of ER+/HER2- parental cells when compared to the HER2+ sensitive counterpart. Based on these observations, we expected that the combination of glycolysis targeting and palbociclib treatment may be more effective than monotherapies. Indeed, 2-DG treatment (**Figure 6D,G**), glucose deprived medium (**Figure 6E,H**), and galactose-containing medium (**Figure 6F,I**) potentiated the impairment of the survival fraction mediated by palbociclib in ER+/HER2- parental cells.



**Figure 6. Targeting glycolysis increases palbociclib effect on ER+/HER2- parental cells.** PDS cells were either treated for 3 days in the presence of 2-DG (**A**), in a glucose deprived medium (**B**) or in a medium in which glucose is replaced by galactose (**C**). Data are presented as fold change survival fraction of treated versus vehicle treated or basal-cultured cells. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates. Data represent means  $\pm$  SEM and were compared to vehicle treated or basal-cultured conditions using one-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \* *p* < 0.05; \*\* *p* < 0.01; \*\*\* *p* < 0.001; \*\*\*\* *p* < 0.0001. ER+/HER2- PDS cells were either treated for 3 days in the presence of 2-DG (**D**, **G**), in a glucose deprived medium (**E**, **H**) or in a medium in which glucose is replaced by galactose (**F**, **I**) either in presence or absence of 1 µM PD. Data are presented as fold change of the survival fraction of treated versus vehicle treated or basal-cultured cells. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates is replaced by galactose (**F**, **I**) either in presence or absence of 1 µM PD. Data are presented as fold change of the survival fraction of treated versus vehicle treated or basal-cultured cells. Each dot represents at least three technical replicates from three biological replicates. Gray shades are used for PDS cells, blue dots when PD is administrated to

HER2- PDS cells. Data represent means ± SEM and were compared to vehicle treated or basal-cultured conditions using one-way ANOVA; Dunnett corrected; \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\*\* p < 0.001.

## 2.6 Metabolomic analysis shows a different intracellular metabolite profile between HER2- and HER2+ palbociclib resistant cells

To assess whether the different metabolic behavior at the time of resistance between HER2and HER2+ PDR cells resulted in a differential intracellular metabolite composition, we performed a GC-MS analysis that, using the Mass Profiler Profession software and matching the *m/z* entities of each sample with the Fiehn library, identified around 1.000 metabolites [434]. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis revealed a clear division between HER2- and HER2+ cell lines according to their intracellular differentially regulated metabolites and, within these two subsets, we observed that samples clustered together based on their palbociclib sensitivity or resistance (**Figure 7A**). These data reinforce the concept that both the genetic background and the drug resistance consistently alter the metabolomic pattern.

To confirm the different glucose dependencies observed *in vitro*, we monitored the level of G6P, pyruvate, and lactate that are critical intermediates of the glycolytic pathway. Metabolomic analysis revealed that the relative abundance of G6P and lactate decreased in HER2- T47D PDR cells (**Figure 7B**) while increasing in MDA-MB-361 resistant models (**Figure 7C**) compared to the sensitive counterpart. An opposite trend was observed in the pyruvate levels that were increased in HER2- PDR (**Figure 7B**) and reduced in HER2+ PDR cells (**Figure 7C**) compared to the parental PDS.

These data are in line the prior metabolic characterization and highlight the enhanced glycolytic dependency of the HER2+ PDR cells compared to the resistant derivatives that do not express *HER2*.



Figure 7. Metabolomic analysis shows a different intracellular metabolite profile between HER2- and HER2+ palbociclib resistant cells. (A) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering and heat map of the entities derived by the cell lysis, metabolites extraction, and subsequent derivatization before processing to GC–MS from three independent biological replicates of PDS and PDR cell lines. (B, C) Intracellular relative abundance of the following metabolites in ER+/HER2- (B) and in ER+/HER2+ cells (C): G6P, lactate, and pyruvate. \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

### 2.7 High expression levels of HK2 identify a subset of patients with poor prognosis

We have previously reported that higher expression of *HK2* correlates with worse prognosis in ET treated ER+ breast cancer patients [352]. Finally, to investigate the clinical relevance of our findings we focused on a cohort of ER+/HER2+ breast cancer patients treated with ET since a dataset of patients receiving palbociclib together with the related clinical follow-up were not available. Thus, to assess whether the glucose dependency observed *in vitro* when resistance occurs in HER2+ models is associated with poor prognosis in ER+/HER2+ patients, we examined the prognostic value of *HK2* that is an establish hallmark of glucose metabolism. We found that breast cancers with higher levels of *HK2* displayed reduced OS (**Figure 8A**) and RFS than lowering-expressing tumors (**Figure 8B**).

### Figure 8



**Figure 8. High expression levels of HK2 identify a subset of patients with poor prognosis.** Kaplan–Meier analysis of OS (**A**) and RFS (**B**) of a curated cohort of ER+/HER2+ patients divided into high- and low-expressing, as described in Methods, for HK2 expression. The hazard ratio (HR), interval of confidence and log-rank Mantel–Cox p value are shown.

Importantly, a multivariate cox analysis revealed that the prognostic value of HK2 was independent from *ESR1*, *HER2*, and tumor proliferation assessed by *MKI67* (**Table 1**). In summary, our data propose *HK2* as a potential metabolic marker of tumor aggressiveness in the ER+/HER2+ subset and suggest a novel potential combination strategy for those patients.

	Parameters	p Value	Hazard Ratio (HR)
Α	MKI67	0.909	0.93 (0.28-3.12)
	ESR1	0.118	0.11 (0.01-1.75)
	HER2 (ERBB2)	0.340	0.55 (0.16-1.88)
	HK2	0.0082	16.67 (2.07-134.21)
В	MKI67	0.739	0.87 (0.37-2.03)
	ESR1	0.0192	0.15 (0.03-0.73)
	HER2 (ERBB2)	0.3505	0.66 (0.27-1.59)
	HK2	0.0188	2.99 (1.2-7.47)

Table 1. Multivariate Cox regression analysis (OS, A) and (RFS, B).

#### 3. Discussion

Metabolic reprogramming and deregulation are established hallmarks of cancer. These alterations are not exclusively secondary effects of increased energetic and anabolic demands of the proliferating or invasive tumor cells. Instead, these traits alone are able to support and drive tumor initiation and progression and are associated with enhanced metastatic potential [435], the acquisition of stem-like properties [436], and most recently, therapy resistance [353].

Our experimental model was a previously characterized panel of isogenic ER+ breast cancer cell lines sensitive to palbociclib together with their resistant derivatives [429]. CDK4/6 inhibitors have been approved in combination with ET for the treatment of patients with ER+/HER2-locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. However, we decided to use cellular models that are resistant only to palbociclib in order to prevent the confounding effect of a combinatorial treatment with ET or HER2 targeted therapy. This approach has already been adopted and generated clinically relevant data recognizing *CCNE1* overexpression and *Rb* loss as genetic alterations involved in palbociclib resistance [195, 221, 429]. In addition, mTOR pathway has been reported to enhance the glycolytic dependency and exert a role in therapy resistance [430]. Thus, the combination of PI3K inhibitors blocking mTOR pathway and CDK4/6 inhibitors may be a potential strategy to improve prognosis and clinical trials are currently in progress [437].

Here we investigate the glucose-dependent metabolic reprogramming that could emerge in response and resistance to palbociclib. It is well known that cancer cells preferentially oxidize glucose to pyruvate that is subsequently fermented to lactate even in presence of oxygen [230, 236], providing energy and building blocks that are fundamental in tumorigenesis and cancer progression. Our data propose a different glucose dependency in palbociclib resistant cells based on their distinct genetic background. Indeed, ER+/HER2- and ER+/HER2+ breast cancer cells differentially depend on aerobic glycolysis when mimicking a sensitive or resistant scenario: ER+/HER2- models display increased glucose exploitation when sensitive;

conversely, ER+/HER2+ cell lines are characterized by an enhanced glycolytic catabolism at the time of palbociclib resistance. Accordingly, targeting glycolysis by several approaches is effective in potentiating the anti-tumoral effect of palbociclib in ER+/HER2- parental cells and resensitizing ER+/HER2+ resistant cells to the initial therapy.

The rationale for studying the glucose metabolic reprogramming in palbociclib resistance is supported by several observations: (i) the complexes cyclin D1/CDK4 and cyclin D3/CDK6 have been reported to be involved in glucose metabolism [61], (ii) CDK4/6 inhibitors may sustain central carbon metabolism by enhancing mitochondria number and ROS production in pancreatic cancer [430], (iii) the combination of CDK4/6 and autophagy inhibitors has a synergistic effect in Rb positive solid tumors [224].

Our initial and basal characterization based on the results from glucose uptake and GLUT1 gene expression and protein analysis suggested a mechanism of palbociclib resistance commonly shared by all the resistant cell lines showing higher glucose dependency then the sensitive counterparts, independently of *HER2* status. However, a more detailed functional metabolic analysis performed by the Seahorse platform revealed a different metabolic behavior between HER2- and HER2+ resistant cells. Indeed, ER+/HER2- resistant cells reduced their glycolytic dependency and in line increased the mitochondrial function both in basal and under metabolic stress condition. Conversely, ER+/HER2+ resistant derivatives were characterized by higher aerobic glycolysis and accordingly impaired respiratory capacity. Thus, the exploitations of glucose through lactic fermentation or oxidative phosphorylation are inversely correlated in our models as monitored by the measurement of ECAR and OCR.

The discrepancy between glucose uptake and Seahorse analysis (i.e., the enhanced glucose intake did not result in enhanced glucose catabolism in the HER2- subset) could be due to the fact that the glucose uploaded may fuel alternative anabolic pathways, for example diverting glycolytic intermediates into PPP, hexosamine and/or serine biosynthetic pathways. In support of this hypothesis, it has been shown that palbociclib acute treatment enhances pentose levels, as a readout of enhanced PPP flux [438] thus providing building blocks necessary for tumor growth and proliferation, and NADPH essential to counteract the oxidative stress caused by exposure to the drug.

The differential metabolic profiles identified have been therapeutically exploited by various approaches: (i) blocking the first rate-limiting step of glycolysis using 2-DG, (ii) culturing cells in a medium deprived from glucose, (iii) replacing glucose with galactose. We found that glycolysis targeting is more effective in the HER2- sensitive setting while can re-sensitize the HER2+ resistant subset to palbociclib. Our data also suggest that targeting oxidative metabolism (e.g., blocking the complexes of the ETC) may be a further interesting investigation that could resensitize ER+/HER2- resistant breast cancer to palbociclib. This represents a plausible clinical scenario since palbociclib is currently used only in ER+/HER2- patients.

Indeed, CDK4/6 inhibitors are not yet approved for the treatment of ER+/HER2+ breast cancer [160] although preclinical data have proposed the deregulated cyclin D1/CDK4/6/pRb axis as a mechanism of anti-HER2 therapy resistance [439] and showed that breast cancer cells surviving the therapeutic intervention with the EGFR inhibitor lapatinib are characterized by enhanced activation of the cyclin D1/CDK4 complex. Several clinical trials enrolling ER+/HER2+ breast cancer patients are currently evaluating the efficacy and safety of combining palbociclib and anti-HER2 therapies also with the addition of ET [156, 440].

The clinical relevance of our findings is supported by Kaplan-Meier analyses showing that *HK2* higher-expressing ER+/HER2+ breast cancer patients are characterized by poor prognosis (i.e., reduced OS and RFS) independently of ESR1, HER2, and tumor proliferation, according to the *in vitro* positive correlation between enhanced glycolysis dependency and palbociclib resistance in the HER2+ subset. To definitively prove *HK2* as a predictive biomarker we should validate its role in matched tissue biopsies obtained at diagnosis and at the time of disease progression after therapy.

To conclude, the implications of our study are: (i) glucose catabolism sustains resistance to palbociclib and its targeting is effective in preclinical models; (ii) since glucose metabolism can be monitored by <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG) PET/CT in patients, understanding the correlation between <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT and palbociclib response may help to monitor therapy response and personalize treatment; (iii) further investigation could help to understand the prognostic role of *HK2* and to discover other potential metabolic vulnerabilities involved in palbociclib resistance in order to develop novel therapeutic strategies useful to combat or delay the onset of resistance.

### References

1. Bray, F. et al. (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 68 (6), 394-424.

2. Malvezzi, M. et al. (2019) European cancer mortality predictions for the year 2019 with focus on breast cancer. Ann Oncol 30 (5), 781-787.

3. Kuchenbaecker, K.B. et al. (2017) Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. JAMA 317 (23), 2402-2416.

4. Makki, J. (2015) Diversity of Breast Carcinoma: Histological Subtypes and Clinical Relevance. Clin Med Insights Pathol 8, 23-31.

5. Sørlie, T. et al. (2001) Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98 (19), 10869-74.

6. Iqbal, N. (2014) Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) in Cancers: Overexpression and Therapeutic Implications. Mol Biol Int 2014, 852748.

7. Nielsen, T.O. et al. (2004) Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basal-like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 10 (16), 5367-74.

8. Schnitt, S.J. (2010) Classification and prognosis of invasive breast cancer: from morphology to molecular taxonomy. Mod Pathol 23 Suppl 2, S60-4.

9. Prat, A. et al. (2010) Phenotypic and molecular characterization of the claudin-low intrinsic subtype of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 12 (5), R68.

10. Sommer, S. and Fuqua, S.A. (2001) Estrogen receptor and breast cancer. Semin Cancer Biol 11 (5), 339-52.

11. Russo, J. et al. (1999) Pattern of distribution of cells positive for estrogen receptor alpha and progesterone receptor in relation to proliferating cells in the mammary gland. Breast Cancer Res Treat 53 (3), 217-27.

12. Putti, T.C. et al. (2005) Estrogen receptor-negative breast carcinomas: a review of morphology and immunophenotypical analysis. Mod Pathol 18 (1), 26-35.

13. Sunderland, M.C. and Osborne, C.K. (1991) Tamoxifen in premenopausal patients with metastatic breast cancer: a review. J Clin Oncol 9 (7), 1283-97.

14. Gustafsson, J.A. (2003) What pharmacologists can learn from recent advances in estrogen signalling. Trends Pharmacol Sci 24 (9), 479-85.

15. Yaşar, P. et al. (2017) Molecular mechanism of estrogen-estrogen receptor signaling. Reprod Med Biol 16 (1), 4-20.

16. Simpson, E.R. (2003) Sources of estrogen and their importance. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 86 (3-5), 225-30.

17. Yager, J.D. and Davidson, N.E. (2006) Estrogen carcinogenesis in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 354 (3), 270-82.

18. Pike, M.C. et al. (1993) Estrogens, progestogens, normal breast cell proliferation, and breast cancer risk. Epidemiol Rev 15 (1), 17-35.

19. Clemons, M. and Goss, P. (2001) Estrogen and the risk of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 344 (4), 276-85.

20. Duffy, M.J. (2006) Estrogen receptors: role in breast cancer. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 43 (4), 325-47.

21. Tworoger, S.S. and Hankinson, S.E. (2006) Prolactin and breast cancer risk. Cancer Lett 243 (2), 160-9.

22. Lillie, E.O. et al. (2003) The role of androgens and polymorphisms in the androgen receptor in the epidemiology of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 5 (3), 164-73.

23. Levin, E.R. (2009) Plasma membrane estrogen receptors. Trends Endocrinol Metab 20 (10), 477-82.

24. Cheung, E. et al. (2003) Chromatin exposes intrinsic differences in the transcriptional activities of estrogen receptors alpha and beta. EMBO J 22 (3), 600-11.

25. Beekman, J.M. et al. (1993) Transcriptional activation by the estrogen receptor requires a conformational change in the ligand binding domain. Mol Endocrinol 7 (10), 1266-74.

26. Kraus, W.L. and Kadonaga, J.T. (1998) p300 and estrogen receptor cooperatively activate transcription via differential enhancement of initiation and reinitiation. Genes Dev 12 (3), 331-42.

27. Lim, E. et al. (2016) Pushing estrogen receptor around in breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 23 (12), T227-T241.

28. Fuentes, N. and Silveyra, P. (2019) Estrogen receptor signaling mechanisms. Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol 116, 135-170.

29. Gibson, D.A. and Saunders, P.T. (2012) Estrogen dependent signaling in reproductive tissues - a role for estrogen receptors and estrogen related receptors. Mol Cell Endocrinol 348 (2), 361-72.

30. Grober, O.M. et al. (2011) Global analysis of estrogen receptor beta binding to breast cancer cell genome reveals an extensive interplay with estrogen receptor alpha for target gene regulation. BMC Genomics 12, 36.

31. Hewitt, S.C. and Korach, K.S. (2018) Estrogen Receptors: New Directions in the New Millennium. Endocr Rev 39 (5), 664-675.

32. Powell, E. et al. (2012) Identification of estrogen receptor dimer selective ligands reveals growth-inhibitory effects on cells that co-express ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$ . PLoS One 7 (2), e30993.

33. Helguero, L.A. et al. (2005) Estrogen receptors alfa (ERalpha) and beta (ERbeta) differentially regulate proliferation and apoptosis of the normal murine mammary epithelial cell line HC11. Oncogene 24 (44), 6605-16.

34. Kwakowsky, A. et al. (2016) Effect of Estradiol on Neurotrophin Receptors in Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Neurons: Relevance for Alzheimer's Disease. Int J Mol Sci 17 (12).

35. Jameera Begam, A. et al. (2017) Estrogen receptor agonists/antagonists in breast cancer therapy: A critical review. Bioorg Chem 71, 257-274.

36. Thomas, C. and Gustafsson, J. (2011) The different roles of ER subtypes in cancer biology and therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 11 (8), 597-608.

37. Wang, C. et al. (2011) Estrogen induces c-myc gene expression via an upstream enhancer activated by the estrogen receptor and the AP-1 transcription factor. Mol Endocrinol 25 (9), 1527-38.

38. Musgrove, E.A. et al. (2011) Cyclin D as a therapeutic target in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 11 (8), 558-72.

39. Hamilton, E. and Infante, J.R. (2016) Targeting CDK4/6 in patients with cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 45, 129-38.

40. Michaloglou, C. et al. (2018) Combined Inhibition of mTOR and CDK4/6 Is Required for Optimal Blockade of E2F Function and Long-term Growth Inhibition in Estrogen Receptor-positive Breast Cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 17 (5), 908-920.

41. Satyanarayana, A. and Kaldis, P. (2009) Mammalian cell-cycle regulation: several Cdks, numerous cyclins and diverse compensatory mechanisms. Oncogene 28 (33), 2925-39.

42. Hayashi, M.T. and Karlseder, J. (2013) DNA damage associated with mitosis and cytokinesis failure. Oncogene 32 (39), 4593-601.

43. Nurse, P. et al. (1998) Understanding the cell cycle. Nat Med 4 (10), 1103-6.

44. El-Aouar Filho, R.A. et al. (2017) Heterogeneous Family of Cyclomodulins: Smart Weapons That Allow Bacteria to Hijack the Eukaryotic Cell Cycle and Promote Infections. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7, 208.

45. Morgan, D.O. (1997) Cyclin-dependent kinases: engines, clocks, and microprocessors. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 13, 261-91.

46. Malumbres, M. (2014) Cyclin-dependent kinases. Genome Biol 15 (6), 122.

47. Kalucka, J. et al. (2015) Metabolic control of the cell cycle. Cell Cycle 14 (21), 3379-88.

48. Aarts, M. et al. (2013) Tumour selective targeting of cell cycle kinases for cancer treatment. Curr Opin Pharmacol 13 (4), 529-35.

49. Sherr, C.J. (1994) G1 phase progression: cycling on cue. Cell 79 (4), 551-5.

50. Dyson, N. (1998) The regulation of E2F by pRB-family proteins. Genes Dev 12 (15), 2245-62.

51. Coverley, D. et al. (2002) Distinct roles for cyclins E and A during DNA replication complex assembly and activation. Nat Cell Biol 4 (7), 523-8.

52. Pagano, M. et al. (1992) Cyclin A is required at two points in the human cell cycle. EMBO J 11 (3), 961-71.

53. Fisher, R.P. and Morgan, D.O. (1994) A novel cyclin associates with MO15/CDK7 to form the CDK-activating kinase. Cell 78 (4), 713-24.

54. Quereda, V. et al. (2016) An essential role for Ink4 and Cip/Kip cell-cycle inhibitors in preventing replicative stress. Cell Death Differ 23 (3), 430-41.

55. Sherr, C.J. and Roberts, J.M. (2004) Living with or without cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases. Genes Dev 18 (22), 2699-711.

56. Sabbah, M. et al. (1999) Estrogen induction of the cyclin D1 promoter: involvement of a cAMP response-like element. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96 (20), 11217-22.

57. Anders, L. et al. (2011) A systematic screen for CDK4/6 substrates links FOXM1 phosphorylation to senescence suppression in cancer cells. Cancer Cell 20 (5), 620-34.

58. The, I. et al. (2015) Rb and FZR1/Cdh1 determine CDK4/6-cyclin D requirement in C. elegans and human cancer cells. Nat Commun 6, 5906.

59. Matsuura, I. et al. (2004) Cyclin-dependent kinases regulate the antiproliferative function of Smads. Nature 430 (6996), 226-31.

60. Sherr, C.J. and Roberts, J.M. (1999) CDK inhibitors: positive and negative regulators of G1-phase progression. Genes Dev 13 (12), 1501-12.

61. Wang, H. et al. (2017) The metabolic function of cyclin D3-CDK6 kinase in cancer cell survival. Nature 546 (7658), 426-430.

62. Moo, T.A. et al. (2018) Overview of Breast Cancer Therapy. PET Clin 13 (3), 339-354.

63. Nounou, M.I. et al. (2015) Breast Cancer: Conventional Diagnosis and Treatment Modalities and Recent Patents and Technologies. Breast Cancer (Auckl) 9 (Suppl 2), 17-34.

64. Sainsbury, R. (2013) The development of endocrine therapy for women with breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 39 (5), 507-17.

65. Goldhirsch, A. et al. (2002) Endocrine therapy of breast cancer. Ann Oncol 13 Suppl 4, 61-8.

66. Avioli, L.V. (1999) SERM Drugs for the Prevention of Osteoporosis. Trends Endocrinol Metab 10 (8), 317-319.

67. Ward, H.W. (1973) Anti-oestrogen therapy for breast cancer: a trial of tamoxifen at two dose levels. Br Med J 1 (5844), 13-4.

68. An, K.C. (2016) Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators. Asian Spine J 10 (4), 787-91.

69. LERNER, L.J. et al. (1958) A non-steroidal estrogen antiagonist 1-(p-2-diethylaminoethoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-2-p-methoxyphenyl ethanol. Endocrinology 63 (3), 295-318.

70. Osborne, C.K. (1998) Tamoxifen in the treatment of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 339 (22), 1609-18.

71. Dowsett, M. et al. (2005) Biological characteristics of the pure antiestrogen fulvestrant: overcoming endocrine resistance. Breast Cancer Res Treat 93 Suppl 1, S11-8.

72. Xiong, R. et al. (2017) Novel Selective Estrogen Receptor Downregulators (SERDs) Developed against Treatment-Resistant Breast Cancer. J Med Chem 60 (4), 1325-1342.

73. Croxtall, J.D. and McKeage, K. (2011) Fulvestrant: a review of its use in the management of hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer in postmenopausal women. Drugs 71 (3), 363-80.

74. McDonnell, D.P. et al. (2015) Oral Selective Estrogen Receptor Downregulators (SERDs), a Breakthrough Endocrine Therapy for Breast Cancer. J Med Chem 58 (12), 4883-7.

75. Lumachi, F. et al. (2013) Treatment of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Curr Med Chem 20 (5), 596-604.

76. Geisler, J. (2011) Differences between the non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors anastrozole and letrozole--of clinical importance? Br J Cancer 104 (7), 1059-66.

77. Swaby, R.F. et al. (2007) SERMs for the treatment and prevention of breast cancer. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 8 (3), 229-39.

78. Yu, D.D. and Forman, B.M. (2003) Simple and efficient production of (Z)-4-hydroxytamoxifen, a potent estrogen receptor modulator. J Org Chem 68 (24), 9489-91.

79. Shang, Y. et al. (2000) Cofactor dynamics and sufficiency in estrogen receptor-regulated transcription. Cell 103 (6), 843-52.

80. Ellis, P.A. et al. (1997) Induction of apoptosis by tamoxifen and ICI 182780 in primary breast cancer. Int J Cancer 72 (4), 608-13.

81. Kohli, L. et al. (2013) 4-Hydroxytamoxifen induces autophagic death through K-Ras degradation. Cancer Res 73 (14), 4395-405.

82. Cardoso, C.M. et al. (2002) 4-Hydroxytamoxifen induces slight uncoupling of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation system in relation to the deleterious effects of tamoxifen. Toxicology 179 (3), 221-32.

83. Moreira, P.I. et al. (2006) Tamoxifen and estradiol interact with the flavin mononucleotide site of complex I leading to mitochondrial failure. J Biol Chem 281 (15), 10143-52.

84. Hu, R. et al. (2015) Molecular mechanisms of tamoxifen-associated endometrial cancer (Review). Oncol Lett 9 (4), 1495-1501.

85. Shang, Y. and Brown, M. (2002) Molecular determinants for the tissue specificity of SERMs. Science 295 (5564), 2465-8.

86. (1998) Tamoxifen for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Lancet 351 (9114), 1451-67.

87. Bergman, L. et al. (2000) Risk and prognosis of endometrial cancer after tamoxifen for breast cancer. Comprehensive Cancer Centres' ALERT Group. Assessment of Liver and Endometrial cancer Risk following Tamoxifen. Lancet 356 (9233), 881-7.

88. Tzukerman, M.T. et al. (1994) Human estrogen receptor transactivational capacity is determined by both cellular and promoter context and mediated by two functionally distinct intramolecular regions. Mol Endocrinol 8 (1), 21-30.

89. Sestak, I. (2014) Preventative therapies for healthy women at high risk of breast cancer. Cancer Manag Res 6, 423-30.

90. Fisher, B. et al. (1998) Tamoxifen for prevention of breast cancer: report of the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project P-1 Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 90 (18), 1371-88.

91. Fisher, B. et al. (1999) Tamoxifen in treatment of intraductal breast cancer: National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-24 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 353 (9169), 1993-2000.

92. Chang, M. (2012) Tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. Biomol Ther (Seoul) 20 (3), 256-67.

93. Ali, S. et al. (2016) Molecular mechanisms and mode of tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. Bioinformation 12 (3), 135-139.

94. Milani, A. et al. (2014) Overcoming endocrine resistance in metastatic breast cancer: Current evidence and future directions. World J Clin Oncol 5 (5), 990-1001.

95. Buzdar, A.U. (2001) Endocrine therapy in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Semin Oncol 28 (3), 291-304.

96. Al-Mubarak, M. et al. (2013) Fulvestrant for advanced breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Cancer Treat Rev 39 (7), 753-8.

97. Gradishar, W. (2005) Fulvestrant in the treatment of postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 5 (3), 445-53.

98. Osborne, C.K. et al. (2004) Fulvestrant: an oestrogen receptor antagonist with a novel mechanism of action. Br J Cancer 90 Suppl 1, S2-6.

99. Wakeling, A.E. et al. (1991) A potent specific pure antiestrogen with clinical potential. Cancer Res 51 (15), 3867-73.

100. Wakeling, A.E. and Bowler, J. (1987) Steroidal pure antioestrogens. J Endocrinol 112 (3), R7-10.

101. Wakeling, A.E. (2000) Similarities and distinctions in the mode of action of different classes of antioestrogens. Endocr Relat Cancer 7 (1), 17-28.

102. Howell, A. et al. (2004) Comparison of fulvestrant versus tamoxifen for the treatment of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women previously untreated with endocrine therapy: a multinational, double-blind, randomized trial. J Clin Oncol 22 (9), 1605-13.

103. Jones, S.E. and Pippen, J. (2005) Effectiveness and tolerability of fulvestrant in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 6 Suppl 1, S9-14.

104. Liu, J. et al. (2019) Clinical and genetic risk factors for Fulvestrant treatment in post-menopause ER-positive advanced breast cancer patients. J Transl Med 17 (1), 27.

105. Dowsett, M. and Haynes, B.P. (2003) Hormonal effects of aromatase inhibitors: focus on premenopausal effects and interaction with tamoxifen. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 86 (3-5), 255-63.

106. Nelson, L.R. and Bulun, S.E. (2001) Estrogen production and action. J Am Acad Dermatol 45 (3 Suppl), S116-24.

107. Pasqualini, J.R. et al. (1996) Concentrations of estrone, estradiol, and estrone sulfate and evaluation of sulfatase and aromatase activities in pre- and postmenopausal breast cancer patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 81 (4), 1460-4.

108. Chetrite, G.S. et al. (2000) Comparison of estrogen concentrations, estrone sulfatase and aromatase activities in normal, and in cancerous, human breast tissues. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 72 (1-2), 23-7.

109. Smith, I.E. and Dowsett, M. (2003) Aromatase inhibitors in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 348 (24), 2431-42.

110. Fabian, C.J. (2007) The what, why and how of aromatase inhibitors: hormonal agents for treatment and prevention of breast cancer. Int J Clin Pract 61 (12), 2051-63.

111. Jänicke, F. (2004) Are all aromatase inhibitors the same? A review of the current evidence. Breast 13 Suppl 1, S10-8.

112. Mouridsen, H. et al. (2001) Superior efficacy of letrozole versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy for postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer: results of a phase III study of the International Letrozole Breast Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 19 (10), 2596-606.

113. Buzdar, A.U. (2004) Data from the Arimidex, tamoxifen, alone or in combination (ATAC) trial: implications for use of aromatase inhibitors in 2003. Clin Cancer Res 10 (1 Pt 2), 355S-61S.

114. Anderson, H. et al. (2007) Predictors of response to aromatase inhibitors. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 106 (1-5), 49-54.

115. Gutschner, T. and Diederichs, S. (2012) The hallmarks of cancer: a long non-coding RNA point of view. RNA Biol 9 (6), 703-19.

116. Udvardy, A. (1996) The role of controlled proteolysis in cell-cycle regulation. Eur J Biochem 240 (2), 307-13.

117. Stadler, W.M. et al. (2000) Flavopiridol, a novel cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, in metastatic renal cancer: a University of Chicago Phase II Consortium study. J Clin Oncol 18 (2), 371-5.

118. Sedlacek, H. et al. (1996) Flavopiridol (L86 8275; NSC 649890), a new kinase inhibitor for tumor therapy. Int J Oncol 9 (6), 1143-68.

119. Wu, K. et al. (2002) Flavopiridol and trastuzumab synergistically inhibit proliferation of breast cancer cells: association with selective cooperative inhibition of cyclin D1-dependent kinase and Akt signaling pathways. Mol Cancer Ther 1 (9), 695-706.

120. Mahoney, E. et al. (2013) Autophagy and ER stress play an essential role in the mechanism of action and drug resistance of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor flavopiridol. Autophagy 9 (3), 434-5.

121. Carlson, B.A. et al. (1996) Flavopiridol induces G1 arrest with inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 2 and CDK4 in human breast carcinoma cells. Cancer Res 56 (13), 2973-8.

122. Kelland, L.R. (2000) Flavopiridol, the first cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor to enter the clinic: current status. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 9 (12), 2903-11.

123. Bose, P. et al. (2013) Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor therapy for hematologic malignancies. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 22 (6), 723-38.

124. Roberts, P.J. et al. (2012) Multiple roles of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitors in cancer therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 104 (6), 476-87.

125. Guarducci, C. et al. (2017) Mechanisms of Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibitors in Breast Cancer and Potential Biomarkers of Response. Breast Care (Basel) 12 (5), 304-308.

126. Chen, P. et al. (2016) Spectrum and Degree of CDK Drug Interactions Predicts Clinical Performance. Mol Cancer Ther 15 (10), 2273-2281.

127. Fry, D.W. et al. (2004) Specific inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 by PD 0332991 and associated antitumor activity in human tumor xenografts. Mol Cancer Ther 3 (11), 1427-38.

128. Toogood, P.L. (2001) Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors for treating cancer. Med Res Rev 21 (6), 487-98.

129. Gelbert, L.M. et al. (2014) Preclinical characterization of the CDK4/6 inhibitor LY2835219: in-vivo cell cycle-dependent/independent anti-tumor activities alone/in combination with gemcitabine. Invest New Drugs 32 (5), 825-37.

130. Sun, W. et al. (2017) Impact of Acid-Reducing Agents on the Pharmacokinetics of Palbociclib, a Weak Base With pH-Dependent Solubility, With Different Food Intake Conditions. Clin Pharmacol Drug Dev 6 (6), 614-626.

131. Hortobagyi, G.N. et al. (2018) Updated results from MONALEESA-2, a phase III trial of first-line ribociclib plus letrozole versus placebo plus letrozole in hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer. Ann Oncol 29 (7), 1541-1547.

132. Slamon, D.J. et al. (2018) Phase III Randomized Study of Ribociclib and Fulvestrant in Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer: MONALEESA-3. J Clin Oncol 36 (24), 2465-2472.

133. Tripathy, D. et al. (2018) Ribociclib plus endocrine therapy for premenopausal women with hormonereceptor-positive, advanced breast cancer (MONALEESA-7): a randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 19 (7), 904-915.

134. Dickler, M.N. et al. (2017) MONARCH 1, A Phase II Study of Abemaciclib, a CDK4 and CDK6 Inhibitor, as a Single Agent, in Patients with Refractory HR. Clin Cancer Res 23 (17), 5218-5224.

135. Sledge, G.W. et al. (2017) MONARCH 2: Abemaciclib in Combination With Fulvestrant in Women With HR+/HER2- Advanced Breast Cancer Who Had Progressed While Receiving Endocrine Therapy. J Clin Oncol 35 (25), 2875-2884.

136. Goetz, M.P. et al. (2017) MONARCH 3: Abemaciclib As Initial Therapy for Advanced Breast Cancer. J Clin Oncol 35 (32), 3638-3646.

137. Fujiwara, Y. et al. (2016) Phase 1 study of abemaciclib, an inhibitor of CDK 4 and 6, as a single agent for Japanese patients with advanced cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 78 (2), 281-8.

138. Finn, R.S. et al. (2016) Palbociclib and Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 375 (20), 1925-1936.

139. (2018) Ribociclib as First-Line Therapy for HR-Positive, Advanced Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 379 (26), 2582.

140. Patnaik, A. et al. (2016) Efficacy and Safety of Abemaciclib, an Inhibitor of CDK4 and CDK6, for Patients with Breast Cancer, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, and Other Solid Tumors. Cancer Discov 6 (7), 740-53.

141. Finn, R.S. et al. (2016) Efficacy and safety of palbociclib in combination with letrozole as first-line treatment of ER-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer: expanded analyses of subgroups from the randomized pivotal trial PALOMA-1/TRIO-18. Breast Cancer Res 18 (1), 67.

142. Bisi, J.E. et al. (2016) Preclinical Characterization of G1T28: A Novel CDK4/6 Inhibitor for Reduction of Chemotherapy-Induced Myelosuppression. Mol Cancer Ther 15 (5), 783-93.

143. Bisi, J.E. et al. (2017) Preclinical development of G1T38: A novel, potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin dependent kinases 4/6 for use as an oral antineoplastic in patients with CDK4/6 sensitive tumors. Oncotarget 8 (26), 42343-42358.

144. Wedam, S. et al. (2020) FDA Approval Summary: Palbociclib for Male Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 26 (6), 1208-1212.

145. Dean, J.L. et al. (2010) Therapeutic CDK4/6 inhibition in breast cancer: key mechanisms of response and failure. Oncogene 29 (28), 4018-32.

146. Rivadeneira, D.B. et al. (2010) Proliferative suppression by CDK4/6 inhibition: complex function of the retinoblastoma pathway in liver tissue and hepatoma cells. Gastroenterology 138 (5), 1920-30.

147. Choi, Y.J. et al. (2012) The requirement for cyclin D function in tumor maintenance. Cancer Cell 22 (4), 438-51.

148. Liu, F. and Korc, M. (2012) Cdk4/6 inhibition induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and enhances invasiveness in pancreatic cancer cells. Mol Cancer Ther 11 (10), 2138-48.

149. Battisti, N.M.L. et al. (2018) Use of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitors in older patients with ER-positive HER2-negative breast cancer: Young International Society of Geriatric Oncology review paper. Ther Adv Med Oncol 10, 1758835918809610.

150. Rader, J. et al. (2013) Dual CDK4/CDK6 inhibition induces cell-cycle arrest and senescence in neuroblastoma. Clin Cancer Res 19 (22), 6173-82.

151. Young, R.J. et al. (2014) Loss of CDKN2A expression is a frequent event in primary invasive melanoma and correlates with sensitivity to the CDK4/6 inhibitor PD0332991 in melanoma cell lines. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 27 (4), 590-600.

152. Trapé, A.P. et al. (2016) Effects of CDK4/6 Inhibition in Hormone Receptor-Positive/Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Breast Cancer Cells with Acquired Resistance to Paclitaxel. J Cancer 7 (8), 947-56.

153. Finn, R.S. et al. (2015) The cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in combination with letrozole versus letrozole alone as first-line treatment of oestrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer (PALOMA-1/TRIO-18): a randomised phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol 16 (1), 25-35.

154. Cristofanilli, M. et al. (2016) Fulvestrant plus palbociclib versus fulvestrant plus placebo for treatment of hormone-receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer that progressed on previous endocrine therapy (PALOMA-3): final analysis of the multicentre, double-blind, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 17 (4), 425-439.

155. Ma, C.X. et al. (2017) NeoPalAna: Neoadjuvant Palbociclib, a Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 Inhibitor, and Anastrozole for Clinical Stage 2 or 3 Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 23 (15), 4055-4065.

156. Gianni, L. et al. (2018) Neoadjuvant treatment with trastuzumab and pertuzumab plus palbociclib and fulvestrant in HER2-positive, ER-positive breast cancer (NA-PHER2): an exploratory, open-label, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol 19 (2), 249-256.

157. Finn, R.S. et al. (2009) PD 0332991, a selective cyclin D kinase 4/6 inhibitor, preferentially inhibits proliferation of luminal estrogen receptor-positive human breast cancer cell lines in vitro. Breast Cancer Res 11 (5), R77.

158. Yu, Q. et al. (2001) Specific protection against breast cancers by cyclin D1 ablation. Nature 411 (6841), 1017-21.

159. Landis, M.W. et al. (2006) Cyclin D1-dependent kinase activity in murine development and mammary tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell 9 (1), 13-22.

160. Corona, S.P. et al. (2017) CDK4/6 inhibitors in HER2-positive breast cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 112, 208-214.

161. Fan, W. et al. (2015) Endocrine therapy resistance in breast cancer: current status, possible mechanisms and overcoming strategies. Future Med Chem 7 (12), 1511-9.

162. (EBCTCG), E.B.C.T.C.G. (2005) Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 365 (9472), 1687-717.

163. Ellis, M.J. et al. (2015) Fulvestrant 500 mg Versus Anastrozole 1 mg for the First-Line Treatment of Advanced Breast Cancer: Overall Survival Analysis From the Phase II FIRST Study. J Clin Oncol 33 (32), 3781-7.

164. Shaikh, A.J. et al. (2012) Adjuvant Hormonal Therapy in Postmenopausal Women with Breast Cancer: Physician's Choices. Int J Breast Cancer 2012, 849592.

165. De Marchi, T. et al. (2016) Endocrine therapy resistance in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer. Drug Discov Today 21 (7), 1181-8.

166. Hayes, E.L. and Lewis-Wambi, J.S. (2015) Mechanisms of endocrine resistance in breast cancer: an overview of the proposed roles of noncoding RNA. Breast Cancer Res 17, 40.

167. Shou, J. et al. (2004) Mechanisms of tamoxifen resistance: increased estrogen receptor-HER2/neu cross-talk in ER/HER2-positive breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 96 (12), 926-35.

168. Lipton, A. et al. (2003) Serum HER-2/neu and response to the aromatase inhibitor letrozole versus tamoxifen. J Clin Oncol 21 (10), 1967-72.

169. Benz, C.C. et al. (1992) Estrogen-dependent, tamoxifen-resistant tumorigenic growth of MCF-7 cells transfected with HER2/neu. Breast Cancer Res Treat 24 (2), 85-95.

170. Ellis, M. (2004) Overcoming endocrine therapy resistance by signal transduction inhibition. Oncologist 9 Suppl 3, 20-6.

171. Turner, N. et al. (2010) FGFR1 amplification drives endocrine therapy resistance and is a therapeutic target in breast cancer. Cancer Res 70 (5), 2085-94.

172. Fox, E.M. et al. (2011) A kinome-wide screen identifies the insulin/IGF-I receptor pathway as a mechanism of escape from hormone dependence in breast cancer. Cancer Res 71 (21), 6773-84.

173. Hennessy, B.T. et al. (2005) Exploiting the PI3K/AKT pathway for cancer drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 4 (12), 988-1004.

174. Levine, D.A. et al. (2005) Frequent mutation of the PIK3CA gene in ovarian and breast cancers. Clin Cancer Res 11 (8), 2875-8.

175. Lauring, J. et al. (2013) The phosphoinositide-3-kinase-Akt-mTOR pathway as a therapeutic target in breast cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 11 (6), 670-8.

176. Gnant, M. et al. (2013) Everolimus in postmenopausal, hormone receptor-positive advanced breast cancer: summary and results of an austrian expert panel discussion. Breast Care (Basel) 8 (4), 293-9.

177. Pardee, A.B. (1989) G1 events and regulation of cell proliferation. Science 246 (4930), 603-8.

178. Murphy, C.G. and Dickler, M.N. (2015) The Role of CDK4/6 Inhibition in Breast Cancer. Oncologist 20 (5), 483-90.

179. Shapiro, G.I. (2006) Cyclin-dependent kinase pathways as targets for cancer treatment. J Clin Oncol 24 (11), 1770-83.

180. Network, C.G.A. (2012) Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 490 (7418), 61-70.

181. Watts, C.K. et al. (1995) Antiestrogen inhibition of cell cycle progression in breast cancer cells in associated with inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase activity and decreased retinoblastoma protein phosphorylation. Mol Endocrinol 9 (12), 1804-13.

182. Thangavel, C. et al. (2011) Therapeutically activating RB: reestablishing cell cycle control in endocrine therapy-resistant breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 18 (3), 333-45.

183. Coqueret, O. (2002) Linking cyclins to transcriptional control. Gene 299 (1-2), 35-55.

184. Ishii, Y. et al. (2008) Tamoxifen stimulates the growth of cyclin D1-overexpressing breast cancer cells by promoting the activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3. Cancer Res 68 (3), 852-60.

185. Musgrove, E.A. and Sutherland, R.L. (2009) Biological determinants of endocrine resistance in breast cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 9 (9), 631-43.

186. Chen, Y. et al. (2009) Combined Src and aromatase inhibition impairs human breast cancer growth in vivo and bypass pathways are activated in AZD0530-resistant tumors. Clin Cancer Res 15 (10), 3396-405.

187. Miller, T.E. et al. (2008) MicroRNA-221/222 confers tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer by targeting p27Kip1. J Biol Chem 283 (44), 29897-903.

188. Yang, Z. and Klionsky, D.J. (2010) Mammalian autophagy: core molecular machinery and signaling regulation. Curr Opin Cell Biol 22 (2), 124-31.

189. Maycotte, P. and Thorburn, A. (2014) Targeting autophagy in breast cancer. World J Clin Oncol 5 (3), 224-40.

190. Cook, K.L. et al. (2014) Hydroxychloroquine inhibits autophagy to potentiate antiestrogen responsiveness in ER+ breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 20 (12), 3222-32.

191. Konecny, G.E. et al. (2011) Expression of p16 and retinoblastoma determines response to CDK4/6 inhibition in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 17 (6), 1591-602.

192. Portman, N. et al. (2019) Overcoming CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance in ER-positive breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 26 (1), R15-R30.

193. O'Leary, B. et al. (2016) Treating cancer with selective CDK4/6 inhibitors. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 13 (7), 417-30.

194. Wiedemeyer, W.R. et al. (2010) Pattern of retinoblastoma pathway inactivation dictates response to CDK4/6 inhibition in GBM. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (25), 11501-6.

195. Herrera-Abreu, M.T. et al. (2016) Early Adaptation and Acquired Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibition in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer. Cancer Res 76 (8), 2301-13.

196. Malorni, L. et al. (2016) A gene expression signature of retinoblastoma loss-of-function is a predictive biomarker of resistance to palbociclib in breast cancer cell lines and is prognostic in patients with ER positive early breast cancer. Oncotarget 7 (42), 68012-68022.

197. Dean, J.L. et al. (2012) Therapeutic response to CDK4/6 inhibition in breast cancer defined by ex vivo analyses of human tumors. Cell Cycle 11 (14), 2756-61.

198. Cen, L. et al. (2012) p16-Cdk4-Rb axis controls sensitivity to a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor PD0332991 in glioblastoma xenograft cells. Neuro Oncol 14 (7), 870-81.

199. Condorelli, R. et al. (2018) Polyclonal RB1 mutations and acquired resistance to CDK 4/6 inhibitors in patients with metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol 29 (3), 640-645.

200. Witkiewicz, A.K. et al. (2011) The meaning of p16(ink4a) expression in tumors: functional significance, clinical associations and future developments. Cell Cycle 10 (15), 2497-503.

201. Liu, Y. et al. (2014) p16(INK4a) expression in retinoblastoma: a marker of differentiation grade. Diagn Pathol 9, 180.

202. Finn, R.S. et al. (2020) Biomarker Analyses of Response to Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 Inhibition and Endocrine Therapy in Women with Treatment-Naïve Metastatic Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 26 (1), 110-121.

203. Yang, C. et al. (2017) Acquired CDK6 amplification promotes breast cancer resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors and loss of ER signaling and dependence. Oncogene 36 (16), 2255-2264.

204. Wu, A. et al. (2011) Elevated expression of CDK4 in lung cancer. J Transl Med 9, 38.

205. Olanich, M.E. et al. (2015) CDK4 Amplification Reduces Sensitivity to CDK4/6 Inhibition in Fusion-Positive Rhabdomyosarcoma. Clin Cancer Res 21 (21), 4947-59.

206. Alves, C.L. et al. (2016) High CDK6 Protects Cells from Fulvestrant-Mediated Apoptosis and is a Predictor of Resistance to Fulvestrant in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 22 (22), 5514-5526.

207. Kollmann, K. et al. (2013) A kinase-independent function of CDK6 links the cell cycle to tumor angiogenesis. Cancer Cell 24 (2), 167-81.

208. Tigan, A.S. et al. (2016) CDK6-a review of the past and a glimpse into the future: from cell-cycle control to transcriptional regulation. Oncogene 35 (24), 3083-91.

209. Gladden, A.B. and Diehl, J.A. (2003) Cell cycle progression without cyclin E/CDK2: breaking down the walls of dogma. Cancer Cell 4 (3), 160-2.

210. Caldon, C.E. et al. (2012) Cyclin E2 overexpression is associated with endocrine resistance but not insensitivity to CDK2 inhibition in human breast cancer cells. Mol Cancer Ther 11 (7), 1488-99.

211. Turner, N.C. et al. (2019) Cyclin E1 Expression and Palbociclib Efficacy in Previously Treated Hormone Receptor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. J Clin Oncol 37 (14), 1169-1178.

212. Pandey, K. et al. (2019) Molecular mechanisms of resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors in breast cancer: A review. Int J Cancer 145 (5), 1179-1188.

213. Zupkovitz, G. et al. (2010) The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 is a crucial target for histone deacetylase 1 as a regulator of cellular proliferation. Mol Cell Biol 30 (5), 1171-81.

214. Ramanujan, A. and Tiwari, S. (2016) APC/C and retinoblastoma interaction: cross-talk of retinoblastoma protein with the ubiquitin proteasome pathway. Biosci Rep 36 (5).

215. Jeselsohn, R. et al. (2015) ESR1 mutations—a mechanism for acquired endocrine resistance in breast cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 12 (10), 573-83.

216. Wardell, S.E. et al. (2015) Efficacy of SERD/SERM Hybrid-CDK4/6 Inhibitor Combinations in Models of Endocrine Therapy-Resistant Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 21 (22), 5121-5130.

217. Ladd, B. et al. (2016) Effective combination therapies in preclinical endocrine resistant breast cancer models harboring ER mutations. Oncotarget 7 (34), 54120-54136.

218. Mao, P. et al. (2020) Acquired FGFR and FGF Alterations Confer Resistance to Estrogen Receptor (ER) Targeted Therapy in ER. Clin Cancer Res 26 (22), 5974-5989.

219. Formisano, L. et al. (2019) Aberrant FGFR signaling mediates resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors in ER+ breast cancer. Nat Commun 10 (1), 1373.

220. Takeshita, T. et al. (2018) Clinical significance of plasma cell-free DNA mutations in PIK3CA, AKT1, and ESR1 gene according to treatment lines in ER-positive breast cancer. Mol Cancer 17 (1), 67.

221. Jansen, V.M. et al. (2017) Kinome-Wide RNA Interference Screen Reveals a Role for PDK1 in Acquired Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibition in ER-Positive Breast Cancer. Cancer Res 77 (9), 2488-2499.

222. Tobin, N.P. et al. (2011) Cyclin D1, Id1 and EMT in breast cancer. BMC Cancer 11, 417.

223. Du, B. and Shim, J.S. (2016) Targeting Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) to Overcome Drug Resistance in Cancer. Molecules 21 (7).

224. Vijayaraghavan, S. et al. (2017) CDK4/6 and autophagy inhibitors synergistically induce senescence in Rb positive cytoplasmic cyclin E negative cancers. Nat Commun 8, 15916.

225. Lorito, N. et al. (2020) Glucose Metabolic Reprogramming of ER Breast Cancer in Acquired Resistance to the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Palbociclib. Cells 9 (3).

226. Qie, S. et al. (2019) Targeting glutamine-addiction and overcoming CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Nat Commun 10 (1), 1296.

227. Salk, J.J. et al. (2010) Mutational heterogeneity in human cancers: origin and consequences. Annu Rev Pathol 5, 51-75.

228. Vander Heiden, M.G. et al. (2009) Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. Science 324 (5930), 1029-33.

229. Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144 (5), 646-74.

230. WARBURG, O. (1956) On the origin of cancer cells. Science 123 (3191), 309-14.

231. Weinhouse, S. (1976) The Warburg hypothesis fifty years later. Z Krebsforsch Klin Onkol Cancer Res Clin Oncol 87 (2), 115-26.

232. Fantin, V.R. et al. (2006) Attenuation of LDH-A expression uncovers a link between glycolysis, mitochondrial physiology, and tumor maintenance. Cancer Cell 9 (6), 425-34.

233. Moreno-Sánchez, R. et al. (2007) Energy metabolism in tumor cells. FEBS J 274 (6), 1393-418.

234. Lunt, S.Y. and Vander Heiden, M.G. (2011) Aerobic glycolysis: meeting the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 27, 441-64.

235. Marie, S.K. and Shinjo, S.M. (2011) Metabolism and brain cancer. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 66 Suppl 1, 33-43.

236. Liberti, M.V. and Locasale, J.W. (2016) The Warburg Effect: How Does it Benefit Cancer Cells? Trends Biochem Sci 41 (3), 211-218.

237. Végran, F. et al. (2011) Lactate influx through the endothelial cell monocarboxylate transporter MCT1 supports an NF-κB/IL-8 pathway that drives tumor angiogenesis. Cancer Res 71 (7), 2550-60.

238. Draoui, N. and Feron, O. (2011) Lactate shuttles at a glance: from physiological paradigms to anticancer treatments. Dis Model Mech 4 (6), 727-32.

239. Bonuccelli, G. et al. (2010) Ketones and lactate "fuel" tumor growth and metastasis: Evidence that epithelial cancer cells use oxidative mitochondrial metabolism. Cell Cycle 9 (17), 3506-14.

240. Shi, Q. et al. (2001) Regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor expression by acidosis in human cancer cells. Oncogene 20 (28), 3751-6.

241. Estrella, V. et al. (2013) Acidity generated by the tumor microenvironment drives local invasion. Cancer Res 73 (5), 1524-35.

242. Giannoni, E. et al. (2015) Targeting stromal-induced pyruvate kinase M2 nuclear translocation impairs oxphos and prostate cancer metastatic spread. Oncotarget 6 (27), 24061-74.

243. Vander Heiden, M.G. et al. (2011) Metabolic pathway alterations that support cell proliferation. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 76, 325-34.

244. Dang, C.V. (2012) Links between metabolism and cancer. Genes Dev 26 (9), 877-90.

245. Wilson, W.R. and Hay, M.P. (2011) Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 11 (6), 393-410.

246. Boroughs, L.K. and DeBerardinis, R.J. (2015) Metabolic pathways promoting cancer cell survival and growth. Nat Cell Biol 17 (4), 351-9.

247. Morani, F. et al. (2014) PTEN regulates plasma membrane expression of glucose transporter 1 and glucose uptake in thyroid cancer cells. J Mol Endocrinol 53 (2), 247-58.

248. Farwell, K.D. et al. (2015) Enhanced utility of family-centered diagnostic exome sequencing with inheritance model-based analysis: results from 500 unselected families with undiagnosed genetic conditions. Genet Med 17 (7), 578-86.

249. D'Amico, A.V. et al. (2015) Long-term Follow-up of a Randomized Trial of Radiation With or Without Androgen Deprivation Therapy for Localized Prostate Cancer. JAMA 314 (12), 1291-3.

250. Hay, N. (2016) Reprogramming glucose metabolism in cancer: can it be exploited for cancer therapy? Nat Rev Cancer 16 (10), 635-49.

251. Wang, L. et al. (2014) Hexokinase 2-mediated Warburg effect is required for PTEN- and p53deficiency-driven prostate cancer growth. Cell Rep 8 (5), 1461-74.

252. Christofk, H.R. et al. (2008) The M2 splice isoform of pyruvate kinase is important for cancer metabolism and tumour growth. Nature 452 (7184), 230-3.

253. Mazurek, S. (2011) Pyruvate kinase type M2: a key regulator of the metabolic budget system in tumor cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 43 (7), 969-80.

254. Lee, J. et al. (2008) Pyruvate kinase isozyme type M2 (PKM2) interacts and cooperates with Oct-4 in regulating transcription. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 40 (5), 1043-54.

255. Cortés-Cros, M. et al. (2013) M2 isoform of pyruvate kinase is dispensable for tumor maintenance and growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110 (2), 489-94.

256. Israelsen, W.J. et al. (2013) PKM2 isoform-specific deletion reveals a differential requirement for pyruvate kinase in tumor cells. Cell 155 (2), 397-409.

257. Dang, C.V. (2009) PKM2 tyrosine phosphorylation and glutamine metabolism signal a different view of the Warburg effect. Sci Signal 2 (97), pe75.

258. Mazurek, S. (2007) Pyruvate kinase type M2: a key regulator within the tumour metabolome and a tool for metabolic profiling of tumours. Ernst Schering Found Symp Proc (4), 99-124.

259. Luo, W. et al. (2011) Pyruvate kinase M2 is a PHD3-stimulated coactivator for hypoxia-inducible factor 1. Cell 145 (5), 732-44.

260. Tamada, M. et al. (2012) Pyruvate kinase M2: multiple faces for conferring benefits on cancer cells. Clin Cancer Res 18 (20), 5554-61.

261. Ye, J. et al. (2012) Pyruvate kinase M2 promotes de novo serine synthesis to sustain mTORC1 activity and cell proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (18), 6904-9.

262. Hitosugi, T. et al. (2009) Tyrosine phosphorylation inhibits PKM2 to promote the Warburg effect and tumor growth. Sci Signal 2 (97), ra73.

263. Anastasiou, D. et al. (2011) Inhibition of pyruvate kinase M2 by reactive oxygen species contributes to cellular antioxidant responses. Science 334 (6060), 1278-83.

264. Lv, L. et al. (2011) Acetylation targets the M2 isoform of pyruvate kinase for degradation through chaperone-mediated autophagy and promotes tumor growth. Mol Cell 42 (6), 719-30.

265. DeBerardinis, R.J. and Chandel, N.S. (2020) We need to talk about the Warburg effect. Nat Metab 2 (2), 127-129.

266. Barthel, A. et al. (1999) Regulation of GLUT1 gene transcription by the serine/threonine kinase Akt1. J Biol Chem 274 (29), 20281-6.

267. Taha, C. et al. (1999) Opposite translational control of GLUT1 and GLUT4 glucose transporter mRNAs in response to insulin. Role of mammalian target of rapamycin, protein kinase b, and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in GLUT1 mRNA translation. J Biol Chem 274 (46), 33085-91.

268. Deprez, J. et al. (1997) Phosphorylation and activation of heart 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase by protein kinase B and other protein kinases of the insulin signaling cascades. J Biol Chem 272 (28), 17269-75.

269. Rathmell, J.C. et al. (2003) Akt-directed glucose metabolism can prevent Bax conformation change and promote growth factor-independent survival. Mol Cell Biol 23 (20), 7315-28.

270. Albanell, J. et al. (2007) mTOR signalling in human cancer. Clin Transl Oncol 9 (8), 484-93.

271. Dowling, R.J. et al. (2010) Dissecting the role of mTOR: lessons from mTOR inhibitors. Biochim Biophys Acta 1804 (3), 433-9.

272. Semenza, G.L. et al. (1994) Transcriptional regulation of genes encoding glycolytic enzymes by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. J Biol Chem 269 (38), 23757-63.

273. Isaacs, J.S. et al. (2005) HIF overexpression correlates with biallelic loss of fumarate hydratase in renal cancer: novel role of fumarate in regulation of HIF stability. Cancer Cell 8 (2), 143-53.

274. Pollard, P.J. et al. (2007) Targeted inactivation of fh1 causes proliferative renal cyst development and activation of the hypoxia pathway. Cancer Cell 11 (4), 311-9.

275. Selak, M.A. et al. (2005) Succinate links TCA cycle dysfunction to oncogenesis by inhibiting HIFalpha prolyl hydroxylase. Cancer Cell 7 (1), 77-85.

276. Vander Heiden, M.G. et al. (2010) Evidence for an alternative glycolytic pathway in rapidly proliferating cells. Science 329 (5998), 1492-9.

277. Kim, J.W. et al. (2006) HIF-1-mediated expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase: a metabolic switch required for cellular adaptation to hypoxia. Cell Metab 3 (3), 177-85.

278. Papandreou, I. et al. (2006) HIF-1 mediates adaptation to hypoxia by actively downregulating mitochondrial oxygen consumption. Cell Metab 3 (3), 187-97.

279. Dang, C.V. et al. (1997) Oncogenes in tumor metabolism, tumorigenesis, and apoptosis. J Bioenerg Biomembr 29 (4), 345-54.

280. Meyer, N. and Penn, L.Z. (2008) Reflecting on 25 years with MYC. Nat Rev Cancer 8 (12), 976-90.

281. Trumpp, A. et al. (2001) c-Myc regulates mammalian body size by controlling cell number but not cell size. Nature 414 (6865), 768-73.

282. Dang, C.V. (2012) MYC on the path to cancer. Cell 149 (1), 22-35.

283. Levine, A.J. and Puzio-Kuter, A.M. (2010) The control of the metabolic switch in cancers by oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. Science 330 (6009), 1340-4.

284. Wise, D.R. et al. (2008) Myc regulates a transcriptional program that stimulates mitochondrial glutaminolysis and leads to glutamine addiction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105 (48), 18782-7.

285. Gao, P. et al. (2009) c-Myc suppression of miR-23a/b enhances mitochondrial glutaminase expression and glutamine metabolism. Nature 458 (7239), 762-5.

286. Son, J. et al. (2013) Glutamine supports pancreatic cancer growth through a KRAS-regulated metabolic pathway. Nature 496 (7443), 101-5.

287. Degenhardt, K. et al. (2006) Autophagy promotes tumor cell survival and restricts necrosis, inflammation, and tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell 10 (1), 51-64.

288. Yang, S. et al. (2011) Pancreatic cancers require autophagy for tumor growth. Genes Dev 25 (7), 717-29.

289. Li, Z. and Zhang, H. (2016) Reprogramming of glucose, fatty acid and amino acid metabolism for cancer progression. Cell Mol Life Sci 73 (2), 377-92.

290. Yuneva, M. et al. (2007) Deficiency in glutamine but not glucose induces MYC-dependent apoptosis in human cells. J Cell Biol 178 (1), 93-105.

291. Rubin, A.L. (1990) Suppression of transformation by and growth adaptation to low concentrations of glutamine in NIH-3T3 cells. Cancer Res 50 (9), 2832-9.

292. Hassanein, M. et al. (2013) SLC1A5 mediates glutamine transport required for lung cancer cell growth and survival. Clin Cancer Res 19 (3), 560-70.

293. Matés, J.M. et al. (2013) Glutaminase isoenzymes as key regulators in metabolic and oxidative stress against cancer. Curr Mol Med 13 (4), 514-34.

294. Suzuki, S. et al. (2010) Phosphate-activated glutaminase (GLS2), a p53-inducible regulator of glutamine metabolism and reactive oxygen species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (16), 7461-6.

295. Moreadith, R.W. and Lehninger, A.L. (1984) The pathways of glutamate and glutamine oxidation by tumor cell mitochondria. Role of mitochondrial NAD(P)+-dependent malic enzyme. J Biol Chem 259 (10), 6215-21.

296. DeBerardinis, R.J. et al. (2008) The biology of cancer: metabolic reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. Cell Metab 7 (1), 11-20.

297. Friday, E. et al. (2011) Glutaminolysis and glycolysis regulation by troglitazone in breast cancer cells: Relationship to mitochondrial membrane potential. J Cell Physiol 226 (2), 511-9.

298. Yang, C. et al. (2014) Glutamine oxidation maintains the TCA cycle and cell survival during impaired mitochondrial pyruvate transport. Mol Cell 56 (3), 414-24.

299. Gameiro, P.A. et al. (2013) In vivo HIF-mediated reductive carboxylation is regulated by citrate levels and sensitizes VHL-deficient cells to glutamine deprivation. Cell Metab 17 (3), 372-85.

300. Wise, D.R. et al. (2011) Hypoxia promotes isocitrate dehydrogenase-dependent carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate to citrate to support cell growth and viability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 (49), 19611-6.

301. Metallo, C.M. et al. (2011) Reductive glutamine metabolism by IDH1 mediates lipogenesis under hypoxia. Nature 481 (7381), 380-4.

302. Mullen, A.R. et al. (2011) Reductive carboxylation supports growth in tumour cells with defective mitochondria. Nature 481 (7381), 385-8.

303. Lane, A.N. and Fan, T.W. (2015) Regulation of mammalian nucleotide metabolism and biosynthesis. Nucleic Acids Res 43 (4), 2466-85.

304. Welbourne, T.C. (1979) Ammonia production and glutamine incorporation into glutathione in the functioning rat kidney. Can J Biochem 57 (3), 233-7.

305. Jiang, L. et al. (2016) Reductive carboxylation supports redox homeostasis during anchorage-independent growth. Nature 532 (7598), 255-8.

306. Jin, L. et al. (2015) Glutamate dehydrogenase 1 signals through antioxidant glutathione peroxidase 1 to regulate redox homeostasis and tumor growth. Cancer Cell 27 (2), 257-70.

307. Adam, J. et al. (2011) Renal cyst formation in Fh1-deficient mice is independent of the Hif/Phd pathway: roles for fumarate in KEAP1 succination and Nrf2 signaling. Cancer Cell 20 (4), 524-37.

308. Dang, C.V. (2010) Glutaminolysis: supplying carbon or nitrogen or both for cancer cells? Cell Cycle 9 (19), 3884-6.

309. Medina, M.A. and Núñez de Castro, I. (1990) Glutaminolysis and glycolysis interactions in proliferant cells. Int J Biochem 22 (7), 681-3.

310. Gross, M.I. et al. (2014) Antitumor activity of the glutaminase inhibitor CB-839 in triple-negative breast cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 13 (4), 890-901.

311. Amelio, I. et al. (2014) Serine and glycine metabolism in cancer. Trends Biochem Sci 39 (4), 191-8.

312. Pollari, S. et al. (2011) Enhanced serine production by bone metastatic breast cancer cells stimulates osteoclastogenesis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 125 (2), 421-30.

313. MacFarlane, A.J. et al. (2008) Cytoplasmic serine hydroxymethyltransferase regulates the metabolic partitioning of methylenetetrahydrofolate but is not essential in mice. J Biol Chem 283 (38), 25846-53.

314. Yang, M. and Vousden, K.H. (2016) Serine and one-carbon metabolism in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 16 (10), 650-62.

315. Lewis, C.A. et al. (2014) Tracing compartmentalized NADPH metabolism in the cytosol and mitochondria of mammalian cells. Mol Cell 55 (2), 253-63.

316. Nilsson, R. et al. (2014) Metabolic enzyme expression highlights a key role for MTHFD2 and the mitochondrial folate pathway in cancer. Nat Commun 5, 3128.

317. Ye, J. et al. (2014) Serine catabolism regulates mitochondrial redox control during hypoxia. Cancer Discov 4 (12), 1406-17.

318. Labuschagne, C.F. et al. (2014) Serine, but not glycine, supports one-carbon metabolism and proliferation of cancer cells. Cell Rep 7 (4), 1248-58.

319. Phang, J.M. (2019) Proline Metabolism in Cell Regulation and Cancer Biology: Recent Advances and Hypotheses. Antioxid Redox Signal 30 (4), 635-649.

320. Phang, J.M. et al. (2012) The proline regulatory axis and cancer. Front Oncol 2, 60.

321. D'Aniello, C. et al. (2020) Proline Metabolism in Tumor Growth and Metastatic Progression. Front Oncol 10, 776.

322. Togashi, Y. et al. (2014) Frequent amplification of ORAOV1 gene in esophageal squamous cell cancer promotes an aggressive phenotype via proline metabolism and ROS production. Oncotarget 5 (10), 2962-73.

323. Dillon, B.J. et al. (2004) Incidence and distribution of argininosuccinate synthetase deficiency in human cancers: a method for identifying cancers sensitive to arginine deprivation. Cancer 100 (4), 826-33.

324. Feun, L.G. et al. (2015) Arginine deprivation in cancer therapy. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 18 (1), 78-82.

325. Lien, E.C. et al. (2016) Metabolic Reprogramming by the PI3K-Akt-mTOR Pathway in Cancer. Recent Results Cancer Res 207, 39-72.

326. Camarda, R. et al. (2017) Reprogramming of Cancer Metabolism by MYC. Front Cell Dev Biol 5, 35.

327. Wickramasekera, N.T. and Das, G.M. (2014) Tumor suppressor p53 and estrogen receptors in nuclear-mitochondrial communication. Mitochondrion 16, 26-37.

328. Kumar, P. et al. (2012) Cooperativity of Rb, Brca1, and p53 in malignant breast cancer evolution. PLoS Genet 8 (11), e1003027.

329. O'Mahony, F. et al. (2012) Estrogen modulates metabolic pathway adaptation to available glucose in breast cancer cells. Mol Endocrinol 26 (12), 2058-70.

330. Yang, J. et al. (2015) Estrogen receptor- $\alpha$  directly regulates the hypoxia-inducible factor 1 pathway associated with antiestrogen response in breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112 (49), 15172-7.

331. Timmerman, L.A. et al. (2013) Glutamine sensitivity analysis identifies the xCT antiporter as a common triple-negative breast tumor therapeutic target. Cancer Cell 24 (4), 450-65.

332. Lloyd, S.M. et al. (2015) Metabolomic profiling of hormone-dependent cancers: a bird's eye view. Trends Endocrinol Metab 26 (9), 477-85.

333. Kulkoyluoglu-Cotul, E. et al. (2019) Crosstalk between Estrogen Signaling and Breast Cancer Metabolism. Trends Endocrinol Metab 30 (1), 25-38.

334. Cappelletti, V. et al. (2017) Metabolic Footprints and Molecular Subtypes in Breast Cancer. Dis Markers 2017, 7687851.

335. Chen, Z. et al. (2019) Metabolomics: a promising diagnostic and therapeutic implement for breast cancer. Onco Targets Ther 12, 6797-6811.

336. Tan, J. and Le, A. (2018) Breast Cancer Metabolism. Adv Exp Med Biol 1063, 83-93.

337. Eastlack, S.C. et al. (2018) Suppression of PDHX by microRNA-27b deregulates cell metabolism and promotes growth in breast cancer. Mol Cancer 17 (1), 100.

338. Gandhi, N. and Das, G.M. (2019) Metabolic Reprogramming in Breast Cancer and Its Therapeutic Implications. Cells 8 (2).

339. Beloribi-Djefaflia, S. et al. (2016) Lipid metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells. Oncogenesis 5, e189.

340. Balaban, S. et al. (2018) Heterogeneity of fatty acid metabolism in breast cancer cells underlies differential sensitivity to palmitate-induced apoptosis. Mol Oncol 12 (9), 1623-1638.

341. Long, J.P. et al. (2016) Targeting metabolism in breast cancer: How far we can go? World J Clin Oncol 7 (1), 122-30.

342. Nguyen, V.T. et al. (2015) Differential epigenetic reprogramming in response to specific endocrine therapies promotes cholesterol biosynthesis and cellular invasion. Nat Commun 6, 10044.

343. Martínez-Zaguilán, R. et al. (1996) Acidic pH enhances the invasive behavior of human melanoma cells. Clin Exp Metastasis 14 (2), 176-86.

344. Constant, J.S. et al. (2000) Lactate elicits vascular endothelial growth factor from macrophages: a possible alternative to hypoxia. Wound Repair Regen 8 (5), 353-60.

345. Pavlides, S. et al. (2009) The reverse Warburg effect: aerobic glycolysis in cancer associated fibroblasts and the tumor stroma. Cell Cycle 8 (23), 3984-4001.

346. Martinez-Outschoorn, U.E. et al. (2010) Oxidative stress in cancer associated fibroblasts drives tumor-stroma co-evolution: A new paradigm for understanding tumor metabolism, the field effect and genomic instability in cancer cells. Cell Cycle 9 (16), 3256-76.

347. Zhao, Y. et al. (2013) Targeting cellular metabolism to improve cancer therapeutics. Cell Death Dis 4, e532.

348. Shajahan-Haq, A.N. et al. (2014) MYC regulates the unfolded protein response and glucose and glutamine uptake in endocrine resistant breast cancer. Mol Cancer 13, 239.

349. Woo, Y.M. et al. (2015) Inhibition of Aerobic Glycolysis Represses Akt/mTOR/HIF-1α Axis and Restores Tamoxifen Sensitivity in Antiestrogen-Resistant Breast Cancer Cells. PLoS One 10 (7), e0132285.

350. Zinger, L. et al. (2019) Ligand-binding Domain-activating Mutations of ESR1 Rewire Cellular Metabolism of Breast Cancer Cells. Clin Cancer Res 25 (9), 2900-2914.

351. Bacci, M. et al. (2019) Reprogramming of Amino Acid Transporters to Support Aspartate and Glutamate Dependency Sustains Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer. Cell Rep 28 (1), 104-118.e8.

352. Bacci, M. et al. (2016) miR-155 Drives Metabolic Reprogramming of ER+ Breast Cancer Cells Following Long-Term Estrogen Deprivation and Predicts Clinical Response to Aromatase Inhibitors. Cancer Res 76 (6), 1615-26.

353. Morandi, A. and Indraccolo, S. (2017) Linking metabolic reprogramming to therapy resistance in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 1868 (1), 1-6.

354. Chen, Z. et al. (2015) Cross-talk between ER and HER2 regulates c-MYC-mediated glutamine metabolism in aromatase inhibitor resistant breast cancer cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 149, 118-27.

355. Du, T. et al. (2018) Key regulators of lipid metabolism drive endocrine resistance in invasive lobular breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 20 (1), 106.

356. Simigdala, N. et al. (2016) Cholesterol biosynthesis pathway as a novel mechanism of resistance to estrogen deprivation in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 18 (1), 58.

357. Havas, K.M. et al. (2017) Metabolic shifts in residual breast cancer drive tumor recurrence. J Clin Invest 127 (6), 2091-2105.

358. Cheong, H. et al. (2012) Therapeutic targets in cancer cell metabolism and autophagy. Nat Biotechnol 30 (7), 671-8.

359. Vander Heiden, M.G. (2011) Targeting cancer metabolism: a therapeutic window opens. Nat Rev Drug Discov 10 (9), 671-84.

360. Butler, E.B. et al. (2013) Stalling the engine of resistance: targeting cancer metabolism to overcome therapeutic resistance. Cancer Res 73 (9), 2709-17.

361. Morandi, A. and Chiarugi, P. (2014) Metabolic implication of tumor:stroma crosstalk in breast cancer. J Mol Med (Berl) 92 (2), 117-26.

362. Varghese, E. et al. (2020) Targeting Glucose Metabolism to Overcome Resistance to Anticancer Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer. Cancers (Basel) 12 (8).

363. Sato-Tadano, A. et al. (2013) Hexokinase II in breast carcinoma: a potent prognostic factor associated with hypoxia-inducible factor- $1\alpha$  and Ki-67. Cancer Sci 104 (10), 1380-8.

364. Zhao, Y. et al. (2011) Overcoming trastuzumab resistance in breast cancer by targeting dysregulated glucose metabolism. Cancer Res 71 (13), 4585-97.

365. O'Neal, J. et al. (2016) Inhibition of 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase (PFKFB3) suppresses glucose metabolism and the growth of HER2+ breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 160 (1), 29-40.

366. Granchi, C. et al. (2011) Discovery of N-hydroxyindole-based inhibitors of human lactate dehydrogenase isoform A (LDH-A) as starvation agents against cancer cells. J Med Chem 54 (6), 1599-612.

367. Zhou, M. et al. (2010) Warburg effect in chemosensitivity: targeting lactate dehydrogenase-A resensitizes taxol-resistant cancer cells to taxol. Mol Cancer 9, 33.

368. Sun, R.C. et al. (2010) Reversal of the glycolytic phenotype by dichloroacetate inhibits metastatic breast cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo. Breast Cancer Res Treat 120 (1), 253-60.

369. Liu, Y. et al. (2012) A small-molecule inhibitor of glucose transporter 1 downregulates glycolysis, induces cell-cycle arrest, and inhibits cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo. Mol Cancer Ther 11 (8), 1672-82.

370. Fiaschi, T. et al. (2012) Reciprocal metabolic reprogramming through lactate shuttle coordinately influences tumor-stroma interplay. Cancer Res 72 (19), 5130-40.

371. Solaini, G. et al. (2011) Oxidative phosphorylation in cancer cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1807 (6), 534-42.

372. Sotgia, F. et al. (2012) Mitochondria "fuel" breast cancer metabolism: fifteen markers of mitochondrial biogenesis label epithelial cancer cells, but are excluded from adjacent stromal cells. Cell Cycle 11 (23), 4390-401.

373. Guppy, A. et al. (2011) Anticancer effects of metformin and its potential use as a therapeutic agent for breast cancer. Future Oncol 7 (6), 727-36.

374. Qu, C. et al. (2014) Metformin reverses multidrug resistance and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) via activating AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in human breast cancer cells. Mol Cell Biochem 386 (1-2), 63-71.

375. Hirsch, H.A. et al. (2013) Metformin inhibits the inflammatory response associated with cellular transformation and cancer stem cell growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110 (3), 972-7.

376. Dias, M.M. et al. (2020) GLS2 is protumorigenic in breast cancers. Oncogene 39 (3), 690-702.

377. Menendez, J.A. et al. (2004) Inhibition of fatty acid synthase (FAS) suppresses HER2/neu (erbB-2) oncogene overexpression in cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101 (29), 10715-20.

378. Vazquez-Martin, A. et al. (2007) Pharmacological blockade of fatty acid synthase (FASN) reverses acquired autoresistance to trastuzumab (Herceptin by transcriptionally inhibiting 'HER2 super-expression' occurring in high-dose trastuzumab-conditioned SKBR3/Tzb100 breast cancer cells. Int J Oncol 31 (4), 769-76.

379. Menendez, J.A. et al. (2004) Inhibition of tumor-associated fatty acid synthase hyperactivity induces synergistic chemosensitization of HER -2/ neu -overexpressing human breast cancer cells to docetaxel (taxotere). Breast Cancer Res Treat 84 (2), 183-95.

380. Menendez, J.A. et al. (2005) Pharmacological and small interference RNA-mediated inhibition of breast cancer-associated fatty acid synthase (oncogenic antigen-519) synergistically enhances Taxol (paclitaxel)-induced cytotoxicity. Int J Cancer 115 (1), 19-35.

381. Liu, H. et al. (2008) A new mechanism of drug resistance in breast cancer cells: fatty acid synthase overexpression-mediated palmitate overproduction. Mol Cancer Ther 7 (2), 263-70.

382. Vazquez-Martin, A. et al. (2007) Inhibition of Fatty Acid Synthase (FASN) synergistically enhances the efficacy of 5-fluorouracil in breast carcinoma cells. Oncol Rep 18 (4), 973-80.

383. Menendez, J.A. et al. (2004) Inhibition of tumor-associated fatty acid synthase activity enhances vinorelbine (Navelbine)-induced cytotoxicity and apoptotic cell death in human breast cancer cells. Oncol Rep 12 (2), 411-22.

384. Feng, W.W. et al. (2019) CD36-Mediated Metabolic Rewiring of Breast Cancer Cells Promotes Resistance to HER2-Targeted Therapies. Cell Rep 29 (11), 3405-3420.e5.

385. Duru, N. et al. (2012) HER2-associated radioresistance of breast cancer stem cells isolated from HER2-negative breast cancer cells. Clin Cancer Res 18 (24), 6634-47.

386. Han, S. et al. (2019) CPT1A/2-Mediated FAO Enhancement-A Metabolic Target in Radioresistant Breast Cancer. Front Oncol 9, 1201.

387. Wang, T. et al. (2018) JAK/STAT3-Regulated Fatty Acid β-Oxidation Is Critical for Breast Cancer Stem Cell Self-Renewal and Chemoresistance. Cell Metab 27 (1), 136-150.e5.

388. Macfarlane, L.A. and Murphy, P.R. (2010) MicroRNA: Biogenesis, Function and Role in Cancer. Curr Genomics 11 (7), 537-61.

389. Tonevitsky, A.G. et al. (2013) Dynamically regulated miRNA-mRNA networks revealed by exercise. BMC Physiol 13, 9.

390. Calin, G.A. et al. (2002) Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro- RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99 (24), 15524-9.

391. Garzon, R. et al. (2010) Targeting microRNAs in cancer: rationale, strategies and challenges. Nat Rev Drug Discov 9 (10), 775-89.

392. Iorio, M.V. et al. (2005) MicroRNA gene expression deregulation in human breast cancer. Cancer Res 65 (16), 7065-70.

393. Rao, X. et al. (2011) MicroRNA-221/222 confers breast cancer fulvestrant resistance by regulating multiple signaling pathways. Oncogene 30 (9), 1082-97.

394. Chen, B. et al. (2012) Roles of microRNA on cancer cell metabolism. J Transl Med 10, 228.

395. Jiang, S. et al. (2012) A novel miR-155/miR-143 cascade controls glycolysis by regulating hexokinase 2 in breast cancer cells. EMBO J 31 (8), 1985-98.

396. Bisognin, A. et al. (2012) MAGIA<sup>2</sup>: from miRNA and genes expression data integrative analysis to microRNA-transcription factor mixed regulatory circuits (2012 update). Nucleic Acids Res 40 (Web Server issue), W13-21.

397. Babu, E. et al. (2015) Deletion of the amino acid transporter Slc6a14 suppresses tumour growth in spontaneous mouse models of breast cancer. Biochem J 469 (1), 17-23.

398. Hediger, M.A. et al. (2004) The ABCs of solute carriers: physiological, pathological and therapeutic implications of human membrane transport proteinsIntroduction. Pflugers Arch 447 (5), 465-8.

399. Galluzzi, L. et al. (2015) Autophagy in malignant transformation and cancer progression. EMBO J 34 (7), 856-80.

400. Rabinowitz, J.D. and White, E. (2010) Autophagy and metabolism. Science 330 (6009), 1344-8.

401. White, E. (2012) Deconvoluting the context-dependent role for autophagy in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 12 (6), 401-10.

402. Coothankandaswamy, V. et al. (2016) Amino acid transporter SLC6A14 is a novel and effective drug target for pancreatic cancer. Br J Pharmacol 173 (23), 3292-3306.

403. Yoshii, S.R. and Mizushima, N. (2017) Monitoring and Measuring Autophagy. Int J Mol Sci 18 (9).

404. Hill, S.M. et al. (2019) Post-translational modifications of Beclin 1 provide multiple strategies for autophagy regulation. Cell Death Differ 26 (4), 617-629.

405. Zheng, W. et al. (2019) ATG5 and ATG7 induced autophagy interplays with UPR via PERK signaling. Cell Commun Signal 17 (1), 42.

406. Gozuacik, D. et al. (2017) Autophagy-Regulating microRNAs and Cancer. Front Oncol 7, 65.

407. Shi, C.S. and Kehrl, J.H. (2010) TRAF6 and A20 regulate lysine 63-linked ubiquitination of Beclin-1 to control TLR4-induced autophagy. Sci Signal 3 (123), ra42.

408. Cho, S. et al. (2013) MiRGator v3.0: a microRNA portal for deep sequencing, expression profiling and mRNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res 41 (Database issue), D252-7.

409. Kishore, S. et al. (2011) A quantitative analysis of CLIP methods for identifying binding sites of RNAbinding proteins. Nat Methods 8 (7), 559-64.

410. Cottu, P. et al. (2014) Acquired resistance to endocrine treatments is associated with tumor-specific molecular changes in patient-derived luminal breast cancer xenografts. Clin Cancer Res 20 (16), 4314-25.

411. Murugaesu, N. et al. (2014) An in vivo functional screen identifies ST6GalNAc2 sialyltransferase as a breast cancer metastasis suppressor. Cancer Discov 4 (3), 304-17.

412. Miller, W.R. et al. (2007) Changes in breast cancer transcriptional profiles after treatment with the aromatase inhibitor, letrozole. Pharmacogenet Genomics 17 (10), 813-26.

413. Martin, L.A. et al. (2003) Enhanced estrogen receptor (ER) alpha, ERBB2, and MAPK signal transduction pathways operate during the adaptation of MCF-7 cells to long term estrogen deprivation. J Biol Chem 278 (33), 30458-68.

414. Morandi, A. et al. (2013) GDNF-RET signaling in ER-positive breast cancers is a key determinant of response and resistance to aromatase inhibitors. Cancer Res 73 (12), 3783-95.

415. Liu, S. et al. (2014) Targeting tyrosine-kinases and estrogen receptor abrogates resistance to endocrine therapy in breast cancer. Oncotarget 5 (19), 9049-64.

416. An, Y. et al. (2015) miR-23b-3p regulates the chemoresistance of gastric cancer cells by targeting ATG12 and HMGB2. Cell Death Dis 6, e1766.

417. Wang, P. et al. (2013) MicroRNA 23b regulates autophagy associated with radioresistance of pancreatic cancer cells. Gastroenterology 145 (5), 1133-1143.e12.

418. Karunakaran, S. et al. (2011) SLC6A14 (ATB0,+) protein, a highly concentrative and broad specific amino acid transporter, is a novel and effective drug target for treatment of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. J Biol Chem 286 (36), 31830-8.

419. Cook, K.L. et al. (2011) Autophagy and endocrine resistance in breast cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 11 (8), 1283-94.

420. Saito, Y. et al. (2019) LLGL2 rescues nutrient stress by promoting leucine uptake in ER. Nature 569 (7755), 275-279.

421. Galluzzi, L. et al. (2014) Metabolic control of autophagy. Cell 159 (6), 1263-76.

422. Tao, J. et al. (2011) CD44-SLC1A2 gene fusions in gastric cancer. Sci Transl Med 3 (77), 77ra30.

423. Shinmura, K. et al. (2015) CD44-SLC1A2 fusion transcripts in primary colorectal cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 21 (3), 759-64.

424. Sullivan, L.B. et al. (2015) Supporting Aspartate Biosynthesis Is an Essential Function of Respiration in Proliferating Cells. Cell 162 (3), 552-63.

425. Garcia-Bermudez, J. et al. (2018) Aspartate is a limiting metabolite for cancer cell proliferation under hypoxia and in tumours. Nat Cell Biol 20 (7), 775-781.

426. Sullivan, L.B. et al. (2018) Aspartate is an endogenous metabolic limitation for tumour growth. Nat Cell Biol 20 (7), 782-788.

427. Gui, D.Y. et al. (2016) Environment Dictates Dependence on Mitochondrial Complex I for NAD+ and Aspartate Production and Determines Cancer Cell Sensitivity to Metformin. Cell Metab 24 (5), 716-727.

428. Hosios, A.M. et al. (2016) Amino Acids Rather than Glucose Account for the Majority of Cell Mass in Proliferating Mammalian Cells. Dev Cell 36 (5), 540-9.

429. Guarducci, C. et al. (2018) Cyclin E1 and Rb modulation as common events at time of resistance to palbociclib in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. NPJ Breast Cancer 4, 38.

430. Franco, J. et al. (2016) Metabolic Reprogramming of Pancreatic Cancer Mediated by CDK4/6 Inhibition Elicits Unique Vulnerabilities. Cell Rep 14 (5), 979-990.

431. Adekola, K. et al. (2012) Glucose transporters in cancer metabolism. Curr Opin Oncol 24 (6), 650-4. 432. Benjamin, D. et al. (2018) Dual Inhibition of the Lactate Transporters MCT1 and MCT4 Is Synthetic

Lethal with Metformin due to NAD+ Depletion in Cancer Cells. Cell Rep 25 (11), 3047-3058.e4.

433. Aguer, C. et al. (2011) Galactose enhances oxidative metabolism and reveals mitochondrial dysfunction in human primary muscle cells. PLoS One 6 (12), e28536.

434. Kind, T. et al. (2009) FiehnLib: mass spectral and retention index libraries for metabolomics based on quadrupole and time-of-flight gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 81 (24), 10038-48.

435. Luo, X. et al. (2017) Emerging roles of lipid metabolism in cancer metastasis. Mol Cancer 16 (1), 76. 436. Mancini, R. et al. (2018) Metabolic features of cancer stem cells: the emerging role of lipid metabolism. Oncogene 37 (18), 2367-2378.

437. McCartney, A. et al. (2019) Mechanisms of Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibitors: Potential Implications and Biomarkers for Clinical Practice. Front Oncol 9, 666.

438. Warth, B. et al. (2018) Metabolomics Reveals that Dietary Xenoestrogens Alter Cellular Metabolism Induced by Palbociclib/Letrozole Combination Cancer Therapy. Cell Chem Biol 25 (3), 291-300.e3.

439. Goel, S. et al. (2016) Overcoming Therapeutic Resistance in HER2-Positive Breast Cancers with CDK4/6 Inhibitors. Cancer Cell 29 (3), 255-269.

440. Ciruelos, E. et al. (2020) Palbociclib and Trastuzumab in HER2-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer: Results from the Phase II SOLTI-1303 PATRICIA Trial. Clin Cancer Res 26 (22), 5820-5829.

**Article** 

### **Cell Reports**

### **Reprogramming of Amino Acid Transporters to Support Aspartate and Glutamate Dependency Sustains Endocrine Resistance in Breast Cancer**

#### **Graphical Abstract**



#### Authors

Marina Bacci, Nicla Lorito, Luigi Ippolito, ..., Massimiliano Mazzone, Paola Chiarugi, Andrea Morandi

#### Correspondence

andrea.morandi@unifi.it

#### In Brief

Bacci et al. find that endocrine-resistant ER<sup>+</sup> breast cancers are characterized by enhanced miR-23b-3p, autophagy activation, and import of aspartate and glutamate that fuel catabolic and anabolic pathways, which are essential for their aggressive features. The molecular players involved in this metabolic scenario are of clinical significance and have prognostic and predictive value.

#### **Highlights**

- ETR cells show high miR-23b-3p that reduces SLC6A14 and amino acids upload
- ETR cells promote autophagy and aspartate and glutamate import via SLC1A2
- Aspartate and glutamate fuel anabolic and catabolic pathways in ETR breast cancers
- Targeting amino acid metabolic reprogramming is effective in ETR cells



Bacci et al., 2019, Cell Reports 28, 104–118 July 2, 2019 © 2019 The Author(s). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.010





Article



### Glucose Metabolic Reprogramming of ER<sup>+</sup> Breast Cancer in Acquired Resistance to the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Palbociclib

Nicla Lorito <sup>1,†</sup>, Marina Bacci <sup>1,†</sup>, Alfredo Smiriglia <sup>1</sup>, Michele Mannelli <sup>1</sup>, Matteo Parri <sup>1</sup>, Giuseppina Comito <sup>1</sup>, Luigi Ippolito <sup>1</sup>, Elisa Giannoni <sup>1</sup>, Martina Bonechi <sup>2</sup>, Matteo Benelli <sup>3</sup>, Ilenia Migliaccio <sup>2</sup>, Luca Malorni <sup>2,4</sup>, Paola Chiarugi <sup>1</sup> and Andrea Morandi <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences, University of Florence, Viale Morgagni 50, I-50134 Florence, Italy; nicla.lorito@gmail.com (N.L.); marina.bacci@unifi.it (M.B.); alfredo.smiriglia@stud.unifi.it (A.S.); michele.mannelli@student.unisi.it (M.M.); matteo.parri@unifi.it (M.P.); giuseppina.comito@unifi.it (G.C.); luigi.ippolito@unifi.it (L.I.); elisa.giannoni@unifi.it (E.G.); paola.chiarugi@unifi.it (P.C.)
- <sup>2</sup> Translational Research Unit, Azienda USL Toscana Centro, Hospital of Prato, Via Suor Niccolina Infermiera 20, I-59100 Prato, Italy; martina.bonechi@uslcentro.toscana.it (M.B.);
- ilenia.migliaccio@uslcentro.toscana.it (I.M.); luca.malorni@uslcentro.toscana.it (L.M.)
- <sup>3</sup> Bioinformatics Unit, Azienda USL Toscana Centro, Hospital of Prato, Via Suor Niccolina Infermiera 20, I-59100 Prato, Italy; matteo.benelli@uslcentro.toscana.it
- <sup>4</sup> "Sandro Pitigliani" Oncology Department, Azienda USL Toscana Centro, Hospital of Prato, Via Suor Niccolina Infermiera 20, I-59100 Prato, Italy
- \* Correspondence: andrea.morandi@unifi.it
- + These authors contributed equally to this paper.

Received: 11 February 2020; Accepted: 6 March 2020; Published: 10 March 2020



Abstract: The majority of breast cancers express the estrogen receptor (ER) and are dependent on estrogen for their growth and survival. Endocrine therapy (ET) is the standard of care for these tumors. However, a superior outcome is achieved in a subset of ER positive (ER<sup>+</sup>)/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 negative (HER2<sup>-</sup>) metastatic breast cancer patients when ET is administrated in combination with a cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor, such as palbociclib. Moreover, CDK4/6 inhibitors are currently being tested in ER<sup>+</sup>/HER2<sup>+</sup> breast cancer and reported encouraging results. Despite the clinical advances of a combinatorial therapy using ET plus CDK4/6 inhibitors, potential limitations (i.e., resistance) could emerge and the metabolic adaptations underlying such resistance warrant further elucidation. Here we investigate the glucose-dependent catabolism in a series of isogenic ER<sup>+</sup> breast cancer cell lines sensitive to palbociclib and in their derivatives with acquired resistance to the drug. Importantly, ER<sup>+</sup>/HER2<sup>-</sup> and ER<sup>+</sup>/HER2<sup>+</sup> cell lines show a different degree of glucose dependency. While ER<sup>+</sup>/HER2<sup>-</sup> breast cancer cells are characterized by enhanced aerobic glycolysis at the time of palbociclib sensitivity, ER<sup>+</sup>/HER2<sup>+</sup> cells enhance their glycolytic catabolism at resistance. This metabolic phenotype was shown to have prognostic value and was targeted with multiple approaches offering a series of potential scenarios that could be of clinical relevance.

Keywords: palbociclib; metabolic reprogramming; breast cancer; Warburg metabolism; resistance

#### 1. Introduction

The majority of breast tumors are positive for estrogen receptor alpha (ER) and negative for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) and are, therefore, dependent on estrogen (E2) for

www.mdpi.com/journal/cells

### Trends in Cancer

#### CellPress

**Review** 

### Fat and Furious: Lipid Metabolism in Antitumoral Therapy Response and Resistance

Marina Bacci,<sup>1,2</sup> Nicla Lorito,<sup>1,2</sup> Alfredo Smiriglia,<sup>1</sup> and Andrea Morandi<sup>1,\*,@</sup>

Lipid metabolic reprogramming is an established trait of cancer metabolism that guides response and resistance to antitumoral therapies. Enhanced lipogenesis, increased lipid content (either free or stored into lipid droplets), and lipid-dependent catabolism sustain therapy desensitization and the emergence of a resistant phenotype of tumor cells exposed to chemotherapy or targeted therapies. Aberrant lipid metabolism, therefore, has emerged as a potential metabolic vulnerability of therapy-resistant cancers that could be exploited for therapeutic interventions or for identifying tumors more likely to respond to further lines of therapies. This review gathers recent findings on the role of aberrant lipid metabolism in influencing antitumoral therapy response and in sustaining the emergence of resistance.

#### Metabolic Reprogramming in Cancer Cells

Metabolic reprogramming and deregulation are hallmarks of cancer. These alterations are not exclusively secondary effects of increased energetic and anabolic demands of the proliferating or invasive tumor cells. Instead these traits alone are able to support and drive tumor initiation and progression. Besides the well-characterized reprogramming of glucose [1] and glutamine [2] metabolism, lipid metabolism has emerged as an important pathway that is also often deregulated in cancer cells. Cancer-associated alterations in lipid metabolism include increased lipogenesis, increased lipid uptake from the extracellular microenvironment, and enhanced lipid storage and mobilization from intracellular lipid droplets (LD) [3] (Figure 1). The ability of a given cancer cell to adapt its lipid metabolism is associated with its metastatic potential [4], the acquisition of stem-like properties (likely to concur with long-term disease relapse) [5], and most recently, therapy resistance [6]. There are seminal reviews that cover lipid metabolic alterations occurring in cancer cells [3,7]. Here we focus on the role of lipid metabolic reprogramming during therapy response and desensitization. We review mechanisms of lipid metabolism such as lipogenesis, lipid catabolism, and LD formation and turnover and their influence on antitumoral therapy response and resistance. Moreover, since deregulated lipid metabolism is a feature of cancer cells and interferes with therapy response, we discuss the potential targeting approaches for such metabolic vulnerability to delay and/or prevent the onset of resistance to anticancer therapy.

#### De Novo Lipid Biosynthesis Drives Tumor Resistance

Unlike most normal cells that rely on dietary lipids or use lipids synthesized by the liver, cancer cells exhibit a shift towards increased lipogenesis. Aberrant *de novo* fatty acids (FA) and cholesterol biosynthesis provides a constant supply of building blocks for membrane synthesis, signaling molecules and energetic substrates for tumor cells that are rapidly growing and continuously adapting to diverse and unfavorable microenvironmental conditions (e.g., limited nutrient and oxygen availability). Lipid anabolic rewiring supports disease relapse and metastatic dissemination as well altering the response to anticancer therapy, ultimately driving resistance.

Trends in Cancer, Month 2020, Vol. xx, No. xx https://

#### Highlights

Lipid metabolic alterations frequently occur in aggressive tumors and understanding the source and the mechanisms that maintain lipid homeostasis in cancer cells may uncover metabolic vulnerabilities that could be exploited for clinical interventions.

Drugs that impact on lipid catabolic and anabolic processes have shown promising results in preclinical models but have not been translated in successful clinical trials. The identification of the organismal effects of these drugs should be considered, as this may be part of the issue in translating metabolic poisons into the clinic.

Metabolic adaptation is a feature of aggressive cancers, particularly those resistant to therapy. Forcing the metabolism of cancer cells may uncover potential metabolic vulnerabilities that could be synthetically lethal in resistant tumors.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences, University of Florence, 50134 Florence, Italy <sup>2</sup>These authors contributed equally to the study

\*Correspondence: andrea.morandi@unifi.it (A. Morandi). <sup>®</sup>Twitter: @andmorand (A. Morandi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2020.10.004 1 © 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.