# Synthesis and Evaluation of Artemisinin-Based Hybrid and Dimer Derivatives as Antimelanoma Agents 

Lorenzo Botta, ${ }^{*}{ }^{\dagger}$ Silvia Filippi, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Bruno M. Bizzarri, ${ }^{\dagger}$ © Claudio Zippilli, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Roberta Meschini, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Rebecca Pogni, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Maria Camilla Baratto, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Luciano Villanova, ${ }^{\S}$ and Raffaele Saladino*, ${ }^{*}$ ©<br>${ }^{\dagger}$ Department of Ecological and Biological Sciences, University of Tuscia, via S. C. De Lellis 44, 01100, Viterbo, Italy<br>${ }^{\ddagger}$ Department of Biotechnology, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Siena, via Aldo Moro 2, 53100 Siena, Italy<br>${ }^{\S}$ Lachifarma s.r.l., S.S. 16 Zona Industriale, 73010, Zollino, Lecce, Italy

## Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A library of hybrid and dimer compounds based on the natural scaffold of artemisinin was synthesized. These derivatives were obtained by coupling of artemisinin derivatives, artesunate, and dihydroartemisinin with a panel of phytochemical compounds. The novel artemisinin-based hybrids and dimers were evaluated for their anticancer activity on a cervical cancer cell line (HeLa) and on three complementary metastatic melanoma cancer cell lines (SK-MEL3, SK-MEL24, and RPMI-7951). Two hybrid compounds obtained by coupling of artesunate with eugenol and tyrosol, and one of the dimer compounds containing curcumin, emerged as the most active and cancer-selective derivatives.

## INTRODUCTION

Artemisinin (1) is a sesquiterpene from Artemisia anпиа L. (sweet wormwood) ${ }^{1}$ characterized by a reactive 1,2,4-trioxane ring (endoperoxide bridge) and a lactone moiety as pharmacophores (Figure 1). ${ }^{2}$ This compound is applied in the treatment of different types of malaria. ${ }^{3}$ Semisynthetic derivatives of artemisinin, dihydroartemisinin 2, artesunate 3, and artemether 4, have been developed with the aim of increasing the pharmacological activity and the pharmacokinetic profile of the parent drug (Figure 1). ${ }^{4}$ In addition, artemisinin and artemisinin derivatives showed remarkable activity against cancer cell lines, ${ }^{5}$ including leukemia, melanoma, breast, ovarian, prostate, colon, and renal cancers, without inducing cytotoxicity in normal cells. ${ }^{6}$ This selectivity is due to the iron-mediated cleavage of the endoperoxide bridge in tumor cells containing a higher concentration of this metal with respect to their normal cell counterpart. ${ }^{7}$ Moreover, tumor cells usually show a diminished expression of antioxidant enzymes able to scavenge radical species. ${ }^{8}$ Iron catalyzes the ring opening of the endoperoxide bridge of artemisinin, with subsequent generation of free-radical reactive oxygen and carbon-centered species, ${ }^{9}$ followed by oxidative DNA damage. The mechanism is also responsible for the antimalarial effect of artemisinin, in which case the radical cascade is triggered by iron atoms delivered during the
metabolic breakdown of hemoglobin in the vacuole of the parasite. ${ }^{10}$

Recently, the synthesis of hybrid and dimer derivatives of bioactive natural substances turned out to be a useful strategy to increase both biological activity and pharmacokinetic profiles, avoiding drug-resistance phenomena with respect to the individual starting compounds. ${ }^{11}$ Dimers and hybrids of artemisinin and artemisinin derivatives in association with bioactive phytochemicals, such as thymoquinone, showed increased antileukemia and antimalarial activity. ${ }^{12}$ Different dimers and hybrids of artemisinin derivatives containing natural phenol and catechol residues, such as 2-(3hydroxyphenyl)ethanol and 3-hydroxytyrosol, have also been patented. ${ }^{13}$

We report here the synthesis of a novel library of artemisinin-based hybrid and dimer derivatives obtained by chemical coupling of dihydroartemisinin 2 and artesunate 3 with chemopreventive phytochemicals, including curcumin 5, eugenol 6, perillyl alcohol 7, tyrosol 8, $\alpha$-tocopherol 9, and $\delta$ tocopherol 10, respectively (Figure 1). ${ }^{14}$ The products were evaluated on melanoma, the main cause of skin-cancer-related
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Figure 1. Artemisinin and semisynthetic derivatives of artemisinin and phytochemicals.
death and one of the most aggressive and lethal pathology in human. ${ }^{15}$ In this context, phytochemicals 5-10 showed antimelanoma activity as isolated compounds or components of natural extracts. ${ }^{16-19}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Artemisinin-Based Hybrid and Dimer Derivatives. The hybrid derivatives of artemisinin, 11i-vi, were synthesized by a procedure involving the reaction between artesunate $3(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the appropriate phytochemical, 5-10 ( 1.1 mmol ), in the presence of $N, N^{\prime}$ dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) ( 1.2 mmol ) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) (catalytic amount) as coupling agents (Scheme 1). Compounds $11 \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{v i}$ were obtained, as the only recovered products, besides the unreacted substrate, from acceptable to high yield (Table 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Hybrid Derivatives 11i-iv


In addition, dimeric derivatives of artemisinin 11vii and 11 viii were synthesized using phytochemicals bearing two reactive functional groups, such as curcumin 5 (two OH phenol moieties) and tyrosol 8 ( OH phenol and primary alcohol moieties). In these latter cases, an excess of artesunate $3(2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was required to optimize the yield of the desired product (Chart 1). Note that compound 11 viii was characterized by a C-2 internal symmetry property.

Finally, 4-hydroxyphenethyl bromide 12 was used as an electrophilic alkylating agent to obtain hybrid derivatives 11ix and 11x from artesunate 3 and dihydroartemisinin 2, respectively (Scheme 2). The reactions were performed under general basic catalysis conditions $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ in dimethylformamide (DMF, 10 mL ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1. Yield of Hybrid Derivatives 11i-iv Obtained by the DCC/DMAP Coupling Reaction between Artesunate 3 and Phytochemicals 5-10 ${ }^{a, b}$
Cntr
${ }^{a}$ The reactions were performed by treating artesunate $3(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ with DCC ( 1.2 mmol ), DMAP (catalytic amount), and the appropriate phytochemical, $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}(1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . ${ }^{b}$ Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

In terms of structure relationships, compounds 11 iv and 11ix were characterized by a different regioselectivity in the linkage of the tyrosol moiety to the artesunate scaffold, that is phenolic (11iv) versus primary alcohol (11ix) coupling site, respectively. The tyrosol moiety was linked to artesunate and dihydroartemisinin only by the primary alcoholic function in compounds 11ix and 11x.

## Chart 1. Structures of Dimeric Derivatives 11 vii and 11viii



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Hybrid Derivatives 11ix (46\%) and 11x (53\%) from Artesunate 3 and Dihydroartemisinin 2, respectively


11x

Biological Activity. Artemisinin derivatives can inhibit metastasis ${ }^{20}$ and angiogenesis processes, ${ }^{21}$ influencing some cancer-related signaling pathways. ${ }^{22}$ We evaluated the anticancer activity of artemisinin $\mathbf{1}$, dihydroartemisinin $\mathbf{2}$, artesunate $\mathbf{3}$, and artemisinin derivatives $\mathbf{1 1 i}-\mathbf{x}$ by cell survival [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide] (MTT) assay on a drug-resistant cervical cancer cell line (HeLa) and three complementary metastatic melanoma cancer cell lines, SK-MEL3, SK-MEL24, and RPMI-7951, respectively. The genetic characteristics of the SK-MEL3, SK-MEL24, and RPMI-7951 cell lines are summarized in Table 2. A normal human primary fibroblast cell line (C3PV) was used as a reference.

All three melanoma cell lines show the mutation of the BRAF gene, which is involved in the regulation of the RAS/ MAPK pathway, controlling cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, and apoptosis. The B-RAF gene mutation is highly frequent in both primary and metastatic melanoma cells, accounting for 50.9 and $66.3 \%$ of the total observed mutations, respectively. ${ }^{23}$ Two cell lines, SK-MEL-3 and RPMI-7951, are mutated on the TP53 gene, which controls the synthesis of the p53 protein, involved in cell division and prevention of cancer formation. ${ }^{24}$ Finally, the RPMI-7951 and SK-MEL24 cell lines

Table 2. Genetic Properties of Melanoma Cancer Cell Lines Used in the Biological Evaluations

| name | mutant <br> gene $^{a}$ | zygosity $^{b}$ | gene Seq. ${ }^{c}$ | protein <br> seq $^{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SK-MEL3 | BRAF | Heterozygous | c.1799T>A | p.V600E |
|  | TP53 | Homozygous | c.799 C>T | p.R267W |
| RPMI- | BRAF | Heterozygous | c.1799T>A | p.V600E |
| 7951 | CDKN2A | Homozygous | c.47T>G | p.L16R |
|  | PTEN | Homozygous | c.1_79del79 |  |
|  | TP53 | Homozygous | c.497C>A | p.S166* |
| SK-MEL24 | BRAF | Heterozygous | c.1799T>A | p.V600E |
|  | CDKN2A | Homozygous | c.1_471del471 |  |
|  | PTEN | Homozygous | c.80_164del85 |  |

${ }^{a}$ These cell lines are characterized by mutations in different genes and gene regions that are representative of the metastatic melanoma. ${ }^{b}$ Mutation state. ${ }^{c}$ Mutation gene sequencing. ${ }^{d}$ Mutation protein sequencing.
were characterized by the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) $)^{25}$ and phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) ${ }^{26}$ gene mutations, controlling the production of tumor suppressor proteins.

Table 3. Anticancer Activity of Artemisinin 1, Dihydroartemisinin 2, Artesunate 3, Hybrid Derivatives 11i-iv and 11ix-x, and Dimeric Derivatives 11 vii and 11viii ${ }^{a}$

|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SD}^{b}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | CPD | C3PV | HeLa | SK-MEL3 | SK-MEL24 | RPMI-7951 |
| 1 | 1 | $>300 \pm 14.85$ | $1.37 \pm 0.59$ | $3.43 \pm 0.86$ | $8.66 \pm 4.56$ | $3.62 \pm 0.99$ |
| 2 | 2 | $0.68 \pm 0.19$ | $1.32 \pm 0.26$ | $10.36 \pm 0.61$ | $3.23 \pm 1.60$ | $0.91 \pm 0.45$ |
| 3 | 3 | $1.68 \pm 0.44$ | $1.76 \pm 0.38$ | $>300 \pm 5.07$ | $0.82 \pm 0.37$ | $1.08 \pm 0.56$ |
| 4 | 11i | $2.36 \pm 0.24$ | $1.15 \pm 1.09$ | $3.23 \pm 0.37$ | $5.88 \pm 2.25$ | $10.43 \pm 1.60$ |
| 5 | 11ii | $6.79 \pm 0.15$ | $1.44 \pm 1.09$ | $4.65 \pm 1.80$ | $5.44 \pm 2.4$ | $1.21 \pm 0.63$ |
| 6 | 11iii | $>300 \pm 35.35$ | $1.76 \pm 0.47$ | $5.24 \pm 2.29$ | $0.06 \pm 0.1$ | $2.35 \pm 1.52$ |
| 7 | 11iv | $>300 \pm 10.71$ | $>300 \pm 7.071$ | $2.33 \pm 1.18$ | $2.32 \pm 1.19$ | $8.34 \pm 3.06$ |
| 8 | 11v | $0.85 \pm 0.32$ | $4.39 \pm 0.77$ | $2.61 \pm 0.49$ | $>300 \pm 21.21$ | $3.06 \pm 0.17$ |
| 9 | 11vi | $10.18 \pm 1.57$ | $3.34 \pm 0.66$ | $1.23 \pm 0.83$ | $3.69 \pm 0.91$ | $1.90 \pm 0.35$ |
| 10 | 11vii | $6.10 \pm 3.74$ | $2.16 \pm 0.54$ | $32.78 \pm 5.81$ | $0.24 \pm 0.12$ | $0.49 \pm 0.05$ |
| 11 | 11viii | $>300 \pm 14.84$ | $2.41 \pm 0.56$ | $3.43 \pm 0.16$ | $2.54 \pm 0.47$ | $1.37 \pm 0.13$ |
| 12 | 11ix | $132.20 \pm 12.58$ | $2.66 \pm 0.82$ | $1.28 \pm 0.15$ | $1.5 \pm 0.85$ | $0.09 \pm 0.03$ |
| 13 | 11x | $1.76 \pm 0.31$ | $2.73 \pm 0.37$ | $10.58 \pm 1.14$ | $3.01 \pm 0.57$ | $0.33 \pm 0.08$ |
| 14 | 5 | $>300 \pm 31.82$ | $2.12 \pm 0.94$ | $1.69 \pm 1.24$ | $3.00 \pm 1.35$ | $3.95 \pm 0.09$ |
| 15 | 6 | $6.88 \pm 0.085$ | $4.68 \pm 1.64$ | $1.16 \pm 0.32$ | $2.61 \pm 0.34$ | $0.84 \pm 0.34$ |
| 16 | 7 | $3.80 \pm 0.28$ | $1.63 \pm 0.40$ | $1.37 \pm 0.59$ | $2.69 \pm 1.3$ | $1.71 \pm 0.52$ |
| 17 | 8 | $22.71 \pm 1.83$ | $1.41 \pm 0.79$ | $2.53 \pm 1.41$ | $1.69 \pm 0.15$ | $10.83 \pm 1.40$ |
| 18 | 9 | $74.09 \pm 5.09$ | $3.03 \pm 1.5$ | $1.22 \pm 0.64$ | $2.35 \pm 1.05$ | $4.11 \pm 2.1$ |
| 19 | 10 | $15.82 \pm 0.97$ | $4.01 \pm 0.91$ | $2.93 \pm 0.15$ | $5.57 \pm 2.01$ | $5.69 \pm 1.91$ |
| 20 | paclitaxel | $78.88 \pm 0.79$ | $0.006 \pm 0.0014$ | $4.73 \pm 0.83$ | $1.04 \pm 0.31$ | $0.013 \pm 0.19$ |

${ }^{a}$ All experiments were conducted in triplicate. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration $\pm$ standard deviation) values for all compounds are expressed in micromolar units.

Phytochemicals 5-10, and the well-known anticancer drug, paclitaxel (Taxol), were used as references. Table 3 reports the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) of the tested compounds. The stability of compound 11iv in the cell culture medium has been evaluated by thin-layer chromatographic (TLC) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses as a selected example. No traces of tyrosol were observed during 48 h , confirming the stability of the ester bond under the reported experimental conditions (see the Supporting Information SI \#1-2 and Figures S1-S3).

In the case of the HeLa cell line, artemisinin derivatives were less active than paclitaxel, with an antiproliferative effect comparable to that of artemisinin. The dimer, 11 vii, containing the tyrosol scaffold, showed a remarkable anticancer activity against both SK-MEL24 and RPMI-7951 melanoma cell lines, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( 0.24 and $0.49 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) lower than, or comparable to, those of artemisinin and paclitaxel, respectively. Unfortunately, 11vii was also characterized by a significant activity against the C3PV cell line. The dimer, 11viii, containing the curcumin scaffold, was active against all melanoma cell lines studied, without any appreciable effect on the C3PV cell.

Note that perillyl alcohol 7 and tyrosol 8 showed higher activity and lower toxicity when incorporated into the artemisinin scaffold, as in the case of hybrids 11iii (Table 3, entry 6 versus entry 16) and 11ix (Table 3, entry 12 versus entry 17) against the SK-MEL24 and RPMI-7951 cell lines, respectively.

Compounds 11 iv and 11 ix showed antiproliferative activity against all studied melanoma cell lines, with acceptable tolerability toward the C3PV cell line. They were characterized by a toxicity higher than that of tyrosol 8, highlighting a different effect of the artemisinin scaffold on the toxicity of the hybrid, depending on the nature of the associated phytochemical.

Artemisinin 1 and the novel artemisinin derivatives, 11iiiiv, 11 viii, and 11 ix, were found to be cancer-selective, being not cytotoxic on normal cell line C3PV. Moreover, compound 11iv possessed a melanoma-specific cancer selectivity (inactive against HeLa).

As a general trend, curcumin 5 was the most effective phytochemical in the dimer-type derivatives, whereas perillyl alcohol 7 and tyrosol 8 were the most effective in the hybridtype compounds. Moreover, artesunate appeared to be the most active artemisinin derivative. To obtain information about the mechanism of action of compounds 11 iii-iv, 11 viii, and $11 i x$, we repeated the cellular assays on the cancer lines in the presence of the iron chelating agent, deferoxamine (DFO), ${ }^{27,28}$ able to inhibit the redox activation of the endoperoxide ring.

As reported in Table 4, the presence of DFO significantly lowered the efficacy of artemisinin $\mathbf{1}$, confirming the beneficial role of iron in triggering the radical cascade mechanism responsible for the anticancer activity. A similar pathway was observed for compounds 11iv and 11ix containing the tyrosol scaffold. Instead, the anticancer activity of perillyl alcohol and curcumin in 11iii and 11 viii was found to be independent from the presence of iron, suggesting the possibility of an alternative mechanism. Regarding the SK-MEL24 line, the activity of the four synthetic compounds seems to be not influenced by the iron chelation mediated by DFO.

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) analysis was further performed to characterize the radical species formed during the endoperoxide ring opening in the presence of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ as a catalyst (for the general procedure see SI \#3). Figure 2 shows the EPR spectra of 2-methyl-nitrosopropane (MNP) adducts of artesunate derivatives 11 iii, 11 iv , 11 ix , and 11 viii, recorded as representative active samples $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, t=0\right)$, in comparison with the appropriate reference [that is, the compound and MNP without the Fe (II) salt].

Table 4. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ Values of Compounds $11 \mathrm{iii}, 11 \mathrm{iv}$, 11 viii, and 11 ix on the RPMI-7951, SK-MEL3, and SK-MEL24 Cancer Cell Lines in the Presence or Absence of DFO ${ }^{a, b}$

| CPD | $\mathrm{IC50} \pm \mathrm{SD}^{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RPMI-7951 |  | SK-MEL3 |  | SK-MEL24 |  |
|  | without DFO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { with } \\ & \text { DFO } \end{aligned}$ | without DFO | with <br> DFO | without DFO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { with } \\ & \text { DFO } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.62 \pm \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.97 \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.43 \pm \\ 0.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >300 \pm \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.66 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.8 \pm \\ 3.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.08 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{300}{5.6} \pm$ | $\underset{4.5}{ } \pm$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.82 \pm \\ 0.05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.90 \pm \\ 0.02 \end{gathered}$ |
| 11iii | $\begin{gathered} 2.35 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.95 \\ 0.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.24 \pm \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \pm \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \pm \\ 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{gathered}$ |
| 11iv | $\underset{2.7}{8.34} \pm$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.7 \\ 5.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.33 \pm \\ 0.09 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{24.5}{1.3} \pm$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.32 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| 11viii | $\begin{gathered} 1.37 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{0.7}{1.18} \pm$ | $\underset{0.8}{2.41} \pm$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \pm \\ 0.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{0.5}{2.54} \pm$ | $3.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| 11ix | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ 0.05 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{24.5}{2.1} \pm$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.66 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $10.5 \pm$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$ | $6.0 \pm 1.5$ |

${ }^{a}$ All experiments were conducted in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ The treatment time was 24 hours for all experiments. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration $\pm$ standard deviation) values for all compounds are expressed in micromolar units.


Figure 2. Room-temperature CW-EPR spectra of (a) 11iv, (b) 11iii, (c) 11ix, and (d) blank, all recorded at $t=0$ in the presence of the spin trap MNP. Experimental conditions: $\nu=9.86 \mathrm{GHz}, 0.1 \mathrm{mT}$ modulation amplitude, and 0.63 mW microwave power.

The EPR spectra of hybrid derivatives 11iv and 11iii (Figure 2 , lines $a$ and $b$, respectively) show the same line shape with $g_{\text {iso }}$ $=2.006 \pm 0.0001, A_{\mathrm{N}}=1.49 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{mT}$, and $A_{\mathrm{H}}=0.3 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mT}$. In accordance with the EPR results previously reported for artemisinin, ${ }^{29}$ the magnetic parameters of artesunate derivatives 11iv and 11iii clearly identified the formation of a secondary C-centered radical. ${ }^{30}$ The EPR signal decreased over time (Figures S4 and S5, respectively). For hybrid derivative 11ix (line c), the C -centered radical is present with a low intensity at $t=0$, being stable during all recorded times (see Figure S6). Unexpectedly, in the case of dimer derivative 11viii (Figure S8), no radical formation was detected (only at $t=90$ min a very weak signal appeared, but it was no more present at $t=150 \mathrm{~min})$. Note that artesunate alone showed a different behavior with respect to hybrids 11 iv and 11iii (Figure S7).

Even though a direct correlation between the in vitro EPR analysis of artemisinin derivatives and the in-cell anticancer activity cannot be made, in the case of compound 11iv, the formation of a secondary C-centered radical was in accordance with the role suggested for this type of intermediate in the biological activity of artemisinin. ${ }^{29}$ Moreover, the biological
activity of 11iv dropped after treatment with DFO, whereas that of compound 11 viii, deprived of an EPR signal, was unaffected by the DFO treatment.

## CONCLUSIONS

A library of novel derivatives with hybrid and dimer structures were synthesized by coupling between artesunate and dihydroartemisinin, with six phytochemicals, curcumin, eugenol, perillyl alcohol, tyrosol, and $\alpha$ - and $\delta$-tocopherol. Among the novel derivatives, 11iii-iv, 11viii, and 11ix showed a significant and selective anticancer activity. Moreover, the hybrid derivative, 11iv, was characterized by a specific melanoma selectivity, being inactive against HeLa cells. This compound showed the formation of a secondary C-centered radical in the EPR analysis. DFO studies on the role of endoperoxide ring opening in the antimelanoma activity of 11iv confirmed the relevant role of the formation of radical intermediates in the biological effect. Surprisingly, the anticancer activity of dimer 11 viii was not dependent on the formation of radical species, leaving open the possibility for other mechanisms to be operative. Further studies aimed at the determination of topoisomerase I as a possible target for the activity of these novel derivatives, as well as transcriptome comparison with other efficient antimelanoma drugs are still ongoing.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry: General Part. All reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under a nitrogen atmosphere. Reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich Srl, Milan, Italy) and used without further purification. TLC chromatography was performed on precoated aluminum silica gel SIL G/UV254 plates (Macherey-Nagel \& Co.). The detection occurred via fluorescence quenching or development in a molybdato phosphate solution ( $10 \%$ in EtOH). Merck silica gel 60 was used for flash chromatography (23-400 mesh). All products were dried in high vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance DRX400 ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) and on a Bruker Avance DPX200 ( $200 \mathrm{MHz} / 50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectrometers. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ scale) and internally referenced to the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ or $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal at $\delta 3.33$ and 7.28 ppm , respectively. Mass spectral (MS) data were obtained using an Agilent 1100 LC/MSD VL system (G1946C) with a $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate using a binary solvent system of 95:5 methyl alcohol/water. UV detection was monitored at 254 nm . Mass spectra were acquired in positive and negative mode scanning over the mass range. Elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) were performed in house. Artemisinin, dihydroartemisinin, and artesunate were obtained from Lachifarma s.r.l. (Zollino (LE), Italy).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Derivatives 11ivii. To a solution of artesunate ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, DCC ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DMAP ( $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added at room temperature. After the addition of the opportune phytochemical $(0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), the reaction mixture was slowly stirred overnight (18 h) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The precipitated dicyclohexylurea was removed by filtration, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by gradient column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:1, 1:2).

## Compound 11 i .



Yield $=50 \% . R_{f}=0.36$ (hexane $/$ EtOAc 1:2, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.57-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.46$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-$ $2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-$ $1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ $\mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=184.5,183.3,183.1$, 181.9, 171.2, 170.9, 170.1, 151.4, 148.1, 147.9, 146.9, 141.1, 140.6, 134.1, 124.3, 123.0, 122.1, 114.9, 109.7, 104.5, 92.4, 92.3, 80.1, 60.4, 55.9, 51.6, 45.2, 37.3, 36.2, 34.1, 31.8, 29.1, 28.8, 25.9, 24.6, 22.1, 22.0, 21.020 .212 .0 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{13}\right]^{-}$: 733. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{13}: \mathrm{C}, 65.38 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.31; O, 28.31; found: C, 65.34; H, 6.30; O, 28.28.

Compound 11ii.


Yield $=49 \% . R_{f}=0.70$ (hexane $/$ EtOAc 4:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.99-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77$ (d, 1H, $J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=170.9,170.4$, 138.9, 137.9, 137.0, 122.5, 120.6, 116.1,112.7, 104.4, 92.2, 91.5, 80.1, 55.8, 51.6, 45.2, 40.0, 37.3, 36.2, 34.1, 31.8, 29.3, 28.7, 25.9, 24.6, 21.9, 20.2, 12.0 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]^{+}$: 532. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{9}: \mathrm{C}, 65.64 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.22; O, 27.14; found: C, 65.67; H, 7.24; O, 27.16.

## Compound 11iii.



Yield $=82 \% . R_{f}=0.67$ (hexane $/$ EtOAc 4:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=5.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-$ $2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.41$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-0.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=171.9,171.0,149.5,132.4$, 125.9, 108.7, 104.4, 92.1, 91.5, 80.0, 68.7, 51.5, 45.2, 40.7, 37.2, 34.1, 31.8, 30.4, 29.2, 28.9, 27.2, 26.3, 26.1, 25.8, 24.5, 21.9, 20.7, 20.2, 12.0 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right]^{+}: 519$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 67.16; H, 8.16; O, 24.68; found: C, 67.17; H, 8.14; O, 24.66.

## Compound 11 iv.



Yield $=53 \% . R_{f}=0.50$ (hexane/EtOAc 4:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.55-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.80(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ = 170.9, 170.8, 149.1, 136.3, 129.9, 121.5, 104.5, 92.3, 91.5, 80.1, 63.5, 51.5, 45.2, 38.5, 37.2, 36.2, 34.1, 31.8, 29.2, 29.0, 25.8, 24.6, 21.9, 20.2, 14.2, 12.0 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 528$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{9}: \mathrm{C}, 64.27 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.19; O, 28.54; found: C, $64.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.20$; O, 28.55 .

## Compound 11 v .



Yield $=72 \% . R_{f}=0.19$ (hexane/EtOAc 9:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=6.65-6.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta=171.3,170.9,149.7,142.3,127.2,121.0,120.8$, 118.9, 104.4, 92.2, 91.5, 80.0, 51.5, 45.2, 40.1, 39.3, 37.4, 37.2, 34.1, 32.7, 32.6, 31.7, 29.2, 28.9, 25.9, 24.6, 24.4, 24.2, 22.7, 22.6, 22.4, 22.0, 21.0, 20.2, 19.7, 19.6, 16.0, 12.4 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]^{+}: 769$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{O}_{9}: \mathrm{C}$, 71.84; H, 9.44; O, 18.72; found: C, 71.87; H, 9.43; O, 18.75. Compound 11vi.


Yield $=60 \% . R_{f}=0.27$ (hexane/EtOAc 9:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=5.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=170.9,170.7,149.4$, $140.5,126.7,124.9,122.9,117.3,104.4,92.3,91.5,80.0,75.0$,
51.6, 45.2, 39.4, 37.4, 37.3, 37.2, 36.2, 34.1, 32.8, 32.7, 31.8, 29.2, 27.9, 25.9, 24.8, 24.4, 22.7, 22.6, 22.0, 21.0, 20.2, 19.8, 19.7, 12.9, 12.1, 11.8 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]^{+}$: 784. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 72.33; H, 9.61; O, 18.06; found: C, 72.31; H, 9.62; O, 18.05.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Derivatives 11 vii and 11 viii: To a solution of artesunate ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{DCC}(1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DMAP ( $0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added at room temperature. After the addition of the opportune phytochemical ( $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), the reaction mixture was slowly stirred overnight ( 18 h ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The precipitated dicyclohexylurea was removed by filtration, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by gradient column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc 1:1, 1:2).

Compound 11vii.


Yield $=47 \% . R_{f}=0.50$ (hexane $/$ EtOAc 9:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.20-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.64-$ $0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=171.7$, 170.8, 170.7, 170.5, 149.16, 135.2, 129.7, 129.6, 121.4, 104.1, 92.1, 92.0, 91.3, 79.9, 64.8, 51.4, 48.4, 45.0, 37.0, 36.0, 34.2, 33.9, 33.7, 31.6, 30.6, 30.3, 29.8, 29.4, 29.0, 28.9, 28.8, 28.6, 25.6, 24.8, 24.4, 21.7, 20.0, 11.8, 11.7 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{O}_{16}\right]^{+}$: 856. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{16}$ : C, 63.07; H, 7.06; O, 29.87; found: C, 63.08; H, 7.05; O, 29.85.

Compound 11viii.


Yield $=59 \% . R_{f}=0.39$ (hexane/EtOAc 9:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.83-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta=183.1,170.8,170.1,151.3,141.2,139.9,134.0$, 124.3, 123.3, 121.1, 111.4, 104.4, 101.8, 92.3, 91.5, 80.1 60.3, 55.9, 51.5, 45.2, 37.2, 36.2, 34.1, 31.8, 29.2, 28.7, 25.9, 25.0, 24.6, 22.0, 20.2, 14.2, 12.0 ppm .MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{O}_{20}\right]^{+}: 1101$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{O}_{20}: \mathrm{C}, 64.35 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.59; O, 29.06; found: C, 64.31; H, 6.62; O, 29.05.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Derivative 11ix. To a solution of artesunic acid ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry DMF
$(3.0 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and 4-hydroxyphenethyl bromide ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was warmed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight ( 18 h ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. After this time, the precipitate was removed by filtration, and the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:1).


Yield $=46 \% . R_{f}=0.33$ (hexane $/ E t O A c$ 4:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.63-2.48 (m, 4H), 2.35-2.28 (m, 2H), 1.97-1.42 (m, 6H), $1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.80(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $=172.0,171.1,151.2,130.0,129.6,115.5,104.6,92.2,91.5$, 80.2, 65.4, 51.5, 45.2, 37.3, 36.2, 34.1, 34.0, 33.2, 31.8, 29.7, 29.1, 28.9, 28.0, 25.9, 24.6, 22.7, 22.0, 21.0, 20.2, 14.2, 13.2, 12.0 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right]^{-}$: 503. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 64.27; H, 7.19; O, 28.54; found: C, $64.29 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.18; O, 28.53.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Derivative 11x. To a solution of dihydroartemisinin ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3.0 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and 4 hydroxyphenethyl bromide ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was warmed at 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight ( 18 h ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. After this time, the precipitate was removed by filtration, and the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:1).


Yield $=53 \%$ (a mixture of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ isomers). $R_{f}=0.27$ (hexane/EtOAc 9:1, molybdato phosphate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.06-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.57-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.37-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.29-1.41 (m, 6H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.37$0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=154.2,131.2,130.9$, 130.0, 115.1, 115.0, 104.1, 103.2, 102.8, 101.7, 88.8, 87.9, 81.1, 69.5, 69.1, 52.4, 51.9, 46.2, 44.2, 39.4, 37.2, 37.1, 36.5, 36.4,
35.4, 34.6, 34.4, 31.4, 30.9, 26.1, 25.9, 24.6, 24.2, 20.3, 20.0, 19.5, 12.9 ppm . MS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{-}$: 403. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 68.29; H, 7.97; O, 23.73; found: C, 68.230; H, 7.99; O, 23.74.

Biological Part. Cell Culture Condition. The C3PV cell line is a primary human fibroblast grown according to Botta et al. ${ }^{31}$ SK-MEL3, SK-MEL24 and RPMI-7951 are three different metastatic melanoma cancer cell lines. SK-MEL3 was grown in McCoy's medium supplemented with $15 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and streptomycin ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). SK-MEL24 and RPMI-7951 were grown in Eagle's minimum essential medium containing 15 and $10 \%$ FBS, respectively, penicillin ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and streptomycin ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). All cell lines were maintained at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere (95\%) and 5\% $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

Treatment Protocol: General Procedure. To study the effect of artemisinin and its derivatives on cell viability, the C3PV, SK-MEL3, SK-MEL24, and RPMI-7951 cell lines were seeded in 96 -well plates ( 6000 cells/well in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of medium) and incubated overnight to allow cell adherence. Afterward, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing the appropriate dose of compounds. Artemisinin and its derivatives were used in a range of 0.01 to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 $h$. The analyses of cell viability were done at the end of treatment. The assays were performed in quadruplicate for both treatments.

Treatment Protocol for DFO Assay. To study the mechanism of action of artemisinin and compounds 11iii, 11iv, 11viii, and 11ix, the SK-MEL3, SK-MEL24 and RPMI7951 cell lines were seeded in 96 -well plates ( 6000 cells/well in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of medium) and incubated overnight to allow cell adherence. Afterward, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing DFO $(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 1 h . Then the appropriate dose of artemisinin and its compounds 11iii, 11iv, and 11viii were added for 24 h . The analyses of cell viability were done at the end of treatment. The assays were performed in quadruplicate for both treatments.

Cell Viability Assay. Cell viability was evaluated using MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide] cell proliferation assay. Briefly, after incubation for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with MTT $(0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$, the supernatant was replaced with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of analysis solution containing $10 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate and $0.6 \%$ acetic acid in dimethyl sulfoxide to dissolve the formazan crystals. Optical density measurements were performed with a scanning spectrophotometer DTX880 Multimode Detector (Beckman Coulter) using a 630 nm (background) and a 570 nm filter.

Statistical Analysis. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by nonlinear regression using the program, graphpad prism 6. The SI values were calculated using the formula

$$
\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\text { treated wt cell line }) / \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\text { treated tumor cell line })
$$

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## (S) Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.9b02600.

Stability of compound 11iv by TLC and HPLC analyses; HPLC analysis of compound 11iv (Figure S1); HPLC analysis of tyrosol 8 (Figure S2); HPLC analysis of compound 11iv after 48 h treatment with cell culture medium at room temperature (Figure S3); EPR
procedure; EPR spectra of compounds 11iv, 11iii, 11ix, artesunate and 11viii (Figures S4-S8).

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: lorenzo.botta@unitus.it (L.B.).
*E-mail: saladino@unitus.it (R.S.).
ORCID
Bruno M. Bizzarri: 0000-0001-7085-5432
Raffaele Saladino: 0000-0002-4420-9063

## Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is dedicated to Dr. Luigi Villanova, ex-President of Lachifarma, for his pioneering studies on artemisinin as an antimelanoma agent. The article is published with the contribution of MIUR Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università della Ricerca Italiano, project PRIN 2017, ORIGINALE CHEMIAE in Antiviral Strategy-Origin and Modernization of Multi-Component Chemistry as a Source of Innovative Broad Spectrum Antiviral Strategy, cod. 2017BMK8JR.

## REFERENCES

(1) Tu, Y. The discovery of artemisinin (qinghaosu) and gifts from Chinese medicine. Nat. Med. 2011, 17, 1217-1220.
(2) Posner, G. H. Antimalarial peroxides in the qinghaosu (artemisinin) and yingzhaosu families. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 1998, 8, 1487-1493.
(3) Li, Y.; Wu, Y. L. An over four millennium story behind Qinghaosu (artemisinin): a fantastic antimalarial drug from a traditional Chinese herb. Curr. Med. Chem. 2003, 10, 2197-2230.
(4) Dhingra, V.; Vishweshwar, R. K.; Lakshmi, N. M. Current status of artemisinin and its derivatives as antimalarial drugs. Life Sci. 2000, 66, 279-300.
(5) Lai, H. C.; Singh, N. P.; Sasaki, T. Development of artemisinin compounds for cancer treatment. Invest. New Drugs 2013, 31, 230246.
(6) Efferth, T.; Dunstan, H.; Sauerbrey, A.; Miyachi, H.; Chitambar, C. R. The anti-malarial artesunate is also active against cancer. Int. J. Oncol. 2001, 18, 767-773.
(7) Efferth, T. Mechanistic perspectives for 1,2,4-trioxanes in anticancer therapy. Drug Resist. Updates 2005, 8, 85-97.
(8) Kelter, G.; Steinbach, D.; Konkimalla, V. B.; Tahara, T.; Taketani, S.; Fiebig, H. H.; Efferth, T. Role of transferrin receptor and ABC transporters ABCB 6 and ABCB 7 for resistence and differentiation of tumors cells towards artesunate. PLoS One 2007, 2, No. e798.
(9) Butler, A. R.; Gilbert, B. C.; Hulme, P.; Irvine, L. R.; Renton, L.; Whitwood, A. C. EPR Evidence for the Involvement of Free Radicals in the Iron-Catalysed Decomposition of Qinghaosu (Artemisinin) and Some Derivatives; Antimalarial Action of Some Polycyclic Endoperoxides. Free Radical Res. 1998, 28, 471-476.
(10) Francis, S. E.; Sullivan, D. J., Jr.; Goldberg, D. E. Hemoglobin metabolism in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 1997, 51, 97-123.
(11) (a) Tietze, L. F.; Bell, H. P.; Chandrasekhar, S. Natural Product Hybrids as New Leads for Drug Discovery. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3996-4028. (b) Zhang, N.; Yu, Z.; Yang, X.; Hu, P.; He, Y. Synthesis of novel ring-contracted artemisinin dimers with potent anticancer activities. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 150, 829-840.
(c) Letis, A. S.; Seo, E. J.; Nikolaropoulos, S. S.; Efferth, T.; Giannis, A.; Fousteris, M. A. Synthesis and cytotoxic activity of new artemisinin hybrid molecules against human leukemia cells. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2017, 25, 3357-3367. (d) Singh, N. P.; Lai, H. C.; Park, J. S.; Gerhardt, T. E.; Kim, B. J.; Wang, S.; Sasaki, T. Effects of artemisinin dimers on rat breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Anticancer Res. 2011, 31, 4111-4114.
(12) Fröhlich, T.; Reiter, C.; Saeed, M. E. M.; Hutterer, C.; Hahn, F.; Leidenberger, M.; Friedrich, O.; Kappes, B.; Marschall, M.; Efferth, T.; Tsogoeva, S. B. Synthesis of Thymoquinone-Artemisinin Hybrids: New Potent Antileukemia, Antiviral, and Antimalarial Agents. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 534-539.
(13) Artemisinin derivatives for the treatment of melanoma WO2009/043538.
(14) Surh, Y. J. Cancer Chemoprevention with dietary phytochemicals. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 768-780.
(15) Garbe, C.; Eigentler, T. K.; Keilholz, U.; Hauschild, A.; Kirkwood, J. M. Systematic review of medical treatment in melanoma: current status and future prospects. Oncologist 2011, 16, 5-24.
(16) Mirzaei, H.; Naseri, G.; Rezaee, R.; Mohammadi, M.; Bakazemi, Z.; Mirzaei, H. R.; Salehi, H.; Peyvandi, M.; Pawelek, J. M.; Sahebkar, A. Curcumin: A new candidate for melanoma therapy? Int. J. Cancer 2016, 139, 1683-1695.
(17) Ghosh, R.; Nadiminty, N.; Fitzpatrick, J. E.; Alworth, W. L.; Slaga, T. J.; Kumar, A. P. Eugenol Causes Melanoma Growth Suppression through Inhibition of E2F1 Transcriptional Activity. J. Biol. Chem. 2005, 280, 5812-5819.
(18) Ottino, P.; Duncan, J. R. Effect of $\alpha$-Tocopherol Succinate on Free Radical and Lipid Peroxidation Levels in BL6 Melanoma Cells Free Radical Biology and Medicine. Free Radical Biol. Med. 1997, 22, 1145-1151.
(19) Mijatovic, S. A.; Timotijevic, G. S.; Miljkovic, D. M.; Radovic, J. M.; Maksimovic-Ivanic, D. D.; Dekanski, D. P.; Stosic-Grujicic, S. D. Multiple antimelanoma potential of dry olive leaf extract. Int. J. Cancer 2011, 128, 1955-1965.
(20) Rasheed, S. A.; Efferth, T.; Asangani, I. A.; Allgayer, H. First evidence that the antimalarial drug artesunate inhibits invasion and in vivo metastatis in lung cancer by targeting essential extracellular proteases. Int. J. Cancer 2010, 127, 1475-1485.
(21) Soomro, S.; Langenberg, T.; Mahringer, A.; Konkimalla, V. B.; Horwedel, C.; Holenya, P.; Brand, A.; Cetin, C.; Fricker, G.; Dewerchin, M.; Carmeliet, P.; Conway, E. M.; Jansen, H.; Efferth, T. Design of novel artemisinin-like derivates with cytotoxic and antiangiogenic properties. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2011, 15, 1122-1135.
(22) Konkimalla, V. B.; McCubrey, J. A.; Efferth, T. The role of downstream signalling pathways of the epidermal growth factor receptors for Artesunate's activity in cancer cells. Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 2009, 9, 72-80.
(23) Houben, R.; Becker, J. C.; Kappel, A.; Terheyden, P.; Brocker, E. B.; Goetz, R.; Rapp, U. R. Constitutive activation of the Ras-Raf signaling pathway in metastatic melanoma is associated with poor prognosis. J. Carcinog. 2004, 3, 6.
(24) (a) Olivier, M.; Hollstein, M.; Hainaut, P. TP53 Mutations in Human Cancers: Origins, Consequences, and Clinical Use. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 2010, 2, No. a001008. (b) Petitjean, A.; Achatz, M. I. W.; Borresen-Dale, A. L.; Hainaut, P.; Olivier, M. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene 2007, 26, 2157-2165.
(25) (a) Halachmi, S.; Gilcherest, B. A. Update on genetic events in the pathogenesis of melanoma. Curr. Opin. Oncol. 2001, 13, 129-136.
(b) Pollock, P. M.; Trent, J. M. The genetics of cutaneous melanoma. Clin. Lab. Med. 2000, 20, 667-690.
(26) (a) Sharma, A.; Trivedi, N. R.; Zimmerman, M. A.; Tuevasan, D. A.; Smith, C. D.; Robertson, G. P. Mutant V599E B-Raf regulates growth and vascular, development of malignant melanoma tumors. Cancer Res. 2005, 65, 2412-2421. (b) Smalley, K. S. M.; Brafford, P.; Haass, N. K.; Brandner, J. M.; Brown, E.; Herlyn, M. Up-regulated expression of zonula occludens protein-1 in human melanoma
associates with N-cadherin and contributes to invasion and adhesion. Am. J. Pathol. 2005, 166, 1541-1554.
(27) Zuma, N. H.; Smit, F. J.; de Kock, C.; Combrinck, J.; Smith, P. J.; N'Da, D. D. Synthesis and biological evaluation of a series of nonhemiacetal ester derivatives of artemisinin. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 122, 635-646.
(28) Ooko, E.; Saeed, M. E.; Kadioglu, O.; Sarvi, S.; Colak, M.; Elmasaoudi, K.; Janah, R.; Greten, H. J.; Efferth, T. Artemisinin derivatives induce iron-dependent cell death (ferroptosis) in tumor cells. Phytomedicine 2015, 22, 1045-1054.
(29) Posner, G. H.; Wang, D.; Cumming, J. N.; Oh, C. H.; French, A. N.; Bodley, A. L.; Shapiro, T. A. Further evidence supporting the importance of and the restrictions on a carbon-centered radical for high antimalarial activity of 1,2,4-trioxanes like artemisinin. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 2273-2275.
(30) Alberti, A.; Macciantelli, D. Spin Trapping. In Electron Paramagnetic Resonance - a Practitioner's Toolkit; Brustolon, M.; Giamello, E., Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, 2009; pp 287-323.
(31) Botta, L.; Filippi, S.; Bizzarri, B. M.; Meschini, R.; Caputo, M.; Proietti-De-Santis, L.; Iside, C.; Nebbioso, A.; Gualandi, G.; Saladino, R. Oxidative nucleophilic substitution selectively produces cambinol derivatives with antiproliferative activity on bladder cancer cell lines. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2019, 29, 78-82.


[^0]:    Received: August 13, 2019
    Accepted: October 15, 2019
    Published: December 27, 2019

