

Modulators of 14-3-3 Protein–Protein Interactions

Loes M. Stevers,[†] Eline Sijbesma,[†] Maurizio Botta,[‡] Carol MacKintosh,[§] Tomas Obsil,^{||} Isabelle Landrieu,[⊥] Ylenia Cau,[‡] Andrew J. Wilson,^{#,∇} Anna Karawajczyk,[○] Jan Eickhoff,[◆] Jeremy Davis,[¶] Michael Hann,[□] Gavin O'Mahony,[§] Richard G. Doveston,[†] Luc Brunsveld,[†] and Christian Ottmann^{*,†,@}

[†]Laboratory of Chemical Biology, Department of Biomedical Engineering and Institute for Complex Molecular Systems (ICMS), Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

[‡]Department of Biotechnology, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Siena, Via Aldo Moro 2, 53100 Siena, Italy

[§]Division of Cell and Developmental Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, United Kingdom

^{||}Department of Physical and Macromolecular Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague 116 36, Czech Republic

[⊥]Université de Lille, CNRS, UMR 8576, F 59 000 Lille, France

[#]School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

[∇]Astbury Center For Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

[○]Taros Chemicals GmbH & Co. KG, Dortmund 44227, Germany

[◆]Lead Discovery Center GmbH, Dortmund 44227, Germany

[¶]UCB Celltech, 216 Bath Road, Slough SL1 3WE, United Kingdom


[□]GlaxoSmithKline, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, Hertfordshire SG1 2NY, United Kingdom

[§]Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases, Innovative Medicines and Early Development Biotech Unit, AstraZeneca Gothenburg, Pepparedsleden 1, SE-431 83 Mölndal, Sweden

[@]Department of Chemistry, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 7, 45141 Essen, Germany

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Direct interactions between proteins are essential for the regulation of their functions in biological pathways. Targeting the complex network of protein–protein interactions (PPIs) has now been widely recognized as an attractive means to therapeutically intervene in disease states. Even though this is a challenging endeavor and PPIs have long been regarded as “undruggable” targets, the last two decades have seen an increasing number of successful examples of PPI modulators, resulting in growing interest in this field. PPI modulation requires novel approaches and the integrated efforts of multiple disciplines to be a fruitful strategy. This perspective focuses on the hub-protein 14-3-3, which has several hundred identified protein interaction partners, and is therefore involved in a wide range of cellular processes and diseases. Here, we aim to provide an integrated overview of the approaches explored for the modulation of 14-3-3 PPIs and review the examples resulting from these efforts in both inhibiting and stabilizing specific 14-3-3 protein complexes by small molecules, peptide mimetics, and natural products.



INTRODUCTION

Protein–Protein Interactions (PPIs). Protein–protein interactions (PPIs) are important in almost all biological processes. Most proteins do not function as single isolated entities but rather are engaged in a dynamic physical network with other proteins in the biomolecular context of a cell and its environment, often as part of a multiprotein complex. This makes the interactions of proteins as important as the biochemical activity of the protein itself. To understand the biological role of a protein, it is of great importance to understand and manipulate its underlying PPI network. An excellent example

of this can be found in cancer biology, where the oncogenic kinase B-Raf can activate or inhibit the MAPK pathway by mechanisms that involve changes in the interactions of B-Raf with other members of the Raf kinase family.^{1–3}

The “druggable genome” has been initially estimated to comprise approximately 1,500 single protein targets.⁴ Although this is still many more than the 266 human protein targets addressed by currently approved drugs,⁵ intentionally targeting

Received: April 14, 2017

Published: October 2, 2017

PPIs significantly enlarges this number. The targeting of PPIs will be particularly valuable for diseases that cannot be addressed via “conventional” targets such as enzymes, receptors, or ion channels. By considering PPIs occurring in the human body, this situation can undoubtedly be improved given the size of the so-called protein–protein “interactome” with estimates lying between 130,000⁶ and 650,000⁷ protein complexes. Successfully addressing PPIs will vastly expand our opportunities for pharmacological intervention, especially by exploiting natural products.⁸ However, our understanding of biological mechanisms, and thus also which PPIs are relevant to disease, is still rudimentary. No further evidence of this is needed other than to reflect on the fact that the highest attrition rate during the drug-discovery process occurs during phase II clinical trials when it also becomes more costly.⁹ This attrition all too often arises because the desired biological effect is not observed with a given lead candidate. The availability of a good chemical probe, in contrast to genetic methods, uniquely allows temporary and titratable knockdown of a protein of interest, permitting its “druggability” and relevance to disease to be evaluated.^{10–12} Such probes can drive fundamental biology; for instance, publications on BRD4 (bromodomain) and *h*DM2 (ubiquitin ligase) have increased dramatically since the discovery of the PPI inhibitors bromodomain inhibitor (JQ1)¹³ and Nutlin.¹⁴

The issue of “druggability” is not unique to PPIs. However, their extensive regulatory role in biological mechanisms dictates that high-quality tool compounds modulating PPIs are urgently required as probes of healthy/disease biology and to provide starting points for drug discovery. Here, PPIs present a further challenge in that the interacting surfaces are larger, flatter, and generally deficient in the “binding-pockets” that define conventional ligandable^{15,16} proteins,⁹ although identification of hot-spots¹⁷ permits a binding site to be defined. This challenge is sufficiently daunting that, until recently, PPIs were considered too challenging to modulate using small-molecules and amenable only to modulation using biologics.¹⁸ However, the emergence of PPIs as small-molecule targets has now been conclusively demonstrated by the Nutlin series (Roche)¹⁴ and Navitoclax (Abbott).²² Although traditional approaches (e.g., high-throughput screening, fragment-based drug discovery, and computer-aided ligand design) are recognized as having limitations in terms of the identification of hit matter,^{19,20} the development of design-based approaches, e.g., based on foldamers, is encouraging.²¹ A number of strategic approaches to modulation can be envisioned comprising competitive (or orthosteric) inhibition, allosteric inhibition, and stabilization (Figure 1A–C) with general progress in this area summarized in numerous well-cited reviews.^{16,19,20,23–27} In terms of intervention within a pathway, the biological effect might be complex in that competitive inhibition of a PPI might result in stabilization of a PPI elsewhere within the pathway. Similarly, allostery affects not only the activation state of a given protein but the entire pathway in which it is embedded.²⁸ For instance, the GTPase activity of Ras is modulated through its PPI with SOS at a remote site, and modulation of this interaction affects downstream PPI-mediated kinase activity within the entire pathway.²⁹

In terms of ligand approaches, competitive, allosteric, and stabilization modulation of PPIs are extremely different. Competitive inhibition is reasonably well established, and a number of inhibitors have been identified using conventional drug-discovery and design approaches. Prominent examples include a Nutlin follow-up from Roche (RG7112),³⁰ Abbott (ABT-199),³¹ and GSK (I-BET762),³² which all entered clinical




Figure 1. Schematic depicting different strategies for modulation of PPIs: competitive (orthosteric) inhibition (A), allosteric inhibition (B), and stabilization (C).

trials (Figure 2A–C). Challenges associated with competitive inhibition center on achieving sufficiently potent and selective recognition of either protein surface for inhibition to occur and the concomitant liability that might be introduced in terms of inhibiting all PPIs of the target protein. The biological response is proportional to the quality of the competitor. Allosteric inhibition may be more challenging to achieve by “design” and more likely to be identified by chance; however, allosteric inhibitors offer increased selectivity and self-limiting activity and, where PPIs are concerned, are much more likely to have the Lipinski properties³³ characteristic of traditional small molecule drugs. A number of natural products have been identified to act through allosteric effects such as Taxol, which stabilizes tubulin so as to retard its polymerization.³⁴ Drug-discovery and chemical biology programs have also delivered allosteric modulators. For instance, allosteric inhibitors of HIF-2 complex formation have been identified, which act through recognition of the PAS-B domain of the HIF-2 α subunit (Figure 2D).³⁵ Such compounds have been used to validate HIF-2 as a viable cancer target in renal cell cancer.^{36,37} Stabilization is less well established; however, it features prominently among natural products Brefeldin A,³⁸ Forskolin,³⁹ and Rapamycin⁴⁰ (among others), which all act through stabilization of a PPI (Figure 2E and F). In addition, Tafamidis, one of the few PPI modulators to successfully reach the clinic, stabilizes the PPI transthyretin, which normally exists as a functional tetramer and aggregates in neurodegenerative diseases such as transthyretin amyloidosis. Small molecules such as Tafamidis that recognize and stabilize the tetrameric complex have been shown to kinetically retard aggregation and thus amyloid fibril formation.^{41,42} It should be noted that stabilizers of PPIs should also exhibit self-limiting biological response and greater selectivity because they also rely on ternary complex formation.

Despite these advances, PPI modulation remains a largely unsolved problem with inhibitors against only a few targets in current clinical trials.²⁰ Progress is hampered by low success rates in identifying high-quality starting points for drug discovery¹⁹ and by a poor understanding of which PPIs may be targeted by small molecules.¹⁶ Improved ligand-discovery approaches and better conceptual understanding might well arise from the study of certain privileged protein classes. With several hundred identified protein interaction partners in eukaryotic cells, the family of the so-called 14-3-3 proteins is an especially interesting case for small-molecule PPI modulation. This protein family represents an outstanding testing ground for new conceptual approaches to PPI modulation and the elaboration of novel




Figure 2. Representative examples of competitive, allosteric, and stabilizing PPI ligands (X-ray structure (above), chemical structure (below)). (A) p53/hDM2 inhibitor RG7112 (PDB ID: 4IFP).⁴³ (B) BH3/Bcl-2 inhibitor ABT-199 (PDB ID: 4MAN).³¹ (C) Fragment of BRD4/Histone inhibitor I-BET762 (PDB ID: 4C66).³² (D) HIF-2 PAS domain allosteric modulator (PDB ID: 4GHI).³⁵ (E) FKBP12/Rapamycin/FRAP stabilizer complex (PDB ID: 1FAP).⁴⁰ (F) Transthyretin stabilizer (PDB ID: 2FLM).⁴²

therapeutic approaches. PPIs of 14-3-3 proteins play key roles in numerous disease-relevant biological pathways and offer clear opportunities in terms of inhibition and stabilization. This perspective will highlight the state of the art in both areas with examples from diverse disease pathways.

14-3-3 Proteins. 14-3-3 proteins are eukaryotic adaptor proteins involved in many cellular processes such as cell-cycle control, signal transduction, protein trafficking, and apoptosis.⁴⁴ By binding to other proteins, 14-3-3 can assist in protein folding, protein localization, and stimulation or inhibition of other PPIs.⁴⁵ Seven different mammalian 14-3-3 isoforms exist (α/β , γ , σ , δ/ζ , η , ϵ , and τ), which are highly conserved throughout species and mainly exist as dimers. Each monomer consists of nine alpha helices forming an amphipathic groove that can bind to (mostly phosphorylated) protein partners (Figure 3).⁴⁶ Among the several hundred 14-3-3 interaction partners

described so far, there are many disease-relevant proteins involved in key cellular processes, like the Raf kinases,^{47,48} cell-cycle phosphatase Cdc,^{49,50} transcriptional modulator YAP^{51,52} and tumor suppressor p53.^{53,54} This widespread involvement in human disease makes 14-3-3 proteins a highly interesting case for the development of technology to modulate their PPIs in a specific and efficient manner. Because both inhibition and stabilization of 14-3-3 PPIs have been shown with small molecules, the possibilities for novel pharmacological intervention by addressing this protein class are substantial. In this context, stabilization of 14-3-3 PPIs is an especially promising approach because the problem of specificity might be solved more easily than with inhibitors. This is due to the relatively high variability between the respective PPI interfaces. This variability might allow for the development of compounds that specifically bind to unique composite pockets at the PPI interfaces. In this




Figure 3. 14-3-3 structure and binding of partner protein peptides exemplified by the 14-3-3 ζ /C-Raf complex (PDB ID: 4FJ3).⁶¹ Top: the physiological 14-3-3 dimer can accommodate two phosphorylated peptide motifs. In the case of C-Raf, two of these motifs (pSer233 and pSer259) are located in the N-terminal region of this protein kinase. When synthesized as a diphospho peptide (C-RafpS233pS259) and crystallized with 14-3-3 ζ dimer, a significant proportion of the peptide does not engage an intimate contact with 14-3-3 and is thus not visible in the X-ray crystal structure (right dimer: green dotted line). Bottom: C-RafpS259 site accommodated in the groove of a 14-3-3 ζ monomer.

way, not only can tool compounds be developed for the study of the underlying biology in, e.g., cancer, neurodegeneration, metabolic diseases, infection, and cystic fibrosis, but the approach can also be exploited in terms of drug discovery. Here, control of subcellular localization, enzymatic activity, and biological half-life can be envisioned as modes by which 14-3-3 PPI modulators could act, e.g., on transcription factors (YAP, c-Jun, MLF1, FOXOs), enzymes shuttling between cytoplasm and nucleus (Cdc25 phosphatases, HDACs), or kinases (B, C-Raf, LRRK2).

In recent years, a growing number of crystal structures of 14-3-3 in complex with different binding partner motifs have been published, for example, the cystic fibrosis ion channel CFTR,⁵⁵ the small heat shock protein HSPB6,⁵⁶ phosphoducin,⁵⁷ and the Parkinson's disease-related kinase LRRK2.⁵⁸ As dimeric species that dock onto pairs of specific phosphorylated serine- or threonine-containing motifs, 14-3-3 proteins are endowed with special signaling, mechanical, and evolutionary properties. Although there are a few cases where a 14-3-3 dimer interacts simultaneously with phosphorylated sites in two different targets, in most documented cases a single 14-3-3 dimer binds to two phosphorylated sites that lie in tandem in the same target protein. This means that a 14-3-3 dimer can act as a signaling integrator when two binding sites on a target are phosphorylated by different kinases. The mechanical effect of 14-3-3 will depend on the location of the two docking sites. For example, these paired sites may straddle a domain or motif whose function is masked by the 14-3-3, or 14-3-3 binding to a disordered region can force a disorder-to-order transition that creates a new functional site in the target.^{59,60}

14-3-3 binding sites lie within motifs that are phosphorylated by basophilic protein kinases such as PKB/Akt, p90RSK, PKA,

and AMPK.⁵⁷ This means that 14-3-3 affinity capture and quantitative mass spectrometry procedures can be used to identify targets of, for example, regulation by insulin, growth factors, energy stress, and adrenalin that activate these respective kinases. In this way, new 14-3-3-based mechanisms have been identified to explain how insulin and growth factors regulate synchronized shifts in glucose uptake, glycolysis, mTORC1 signaling, protein translation, and other regulatory events that promote cell growth and proliferation.^{62–64} Their roles as mediators of growth factor and nutrient signaling pathways are consistent with further findings that connect 14-3-3 proteins to a variety of human diseases. In addition to their participation in diverse cancers,⁴⁴ they have been associated with the development of neurodegenerative diseases⁶⁵ and virulence of human pathogenic organisms.^{66,67}

The role of 14-3-3 proteins in parasitic organisms has only recently emerged. The rising interest in this field is justified by the limited panel of effective drugs currently available to treat parasite infections, the relevant side effects associated with these compounds, and the growing number of treatment-refractory cases.⁶⁸ A survey of the recent literature has highlighted a number of reports showing the role of, and in a few cases the structural features of, 14-3-3 from parasites, which are briefly reviewed here.

Plasmodium falciparum and *Plasmodium knowlesi* are two species of protozoan parasites that can cause severe malaria infection in humans.⁶⁹ In *P. falciparum* and *P. knowlesi*, the single isoform of 14-3-3 was shown to act as a chaperone only in specific life stages of the parasite.⁶⁸ In *Plasmodium berghei*, the host skeletal protein dematin is translocated from the erythrocyte membrane within the parasite, where it interacts with the Plasmodium 14-3-3, thus influencing the remodeling of the

erythrocytic cytoskeleton and modulating the host erythrocyte invasion.⁷⁰

Eimeria tenella is a coccidian parasite that causes a serious intestinal disease in chickens. Although human infection by *E. tenella* has not been reported yet, this parasite has a significant economic impact with an estimated cost to the poultry industry of around \$2.4 billion per annum worldwide, thus justifying the in depth study of its lifecycle and infection mechanisms.⁷¹ In *E. tenella*, a single isoform of 14-3-3 seems to be involved in the regulation of the mannitol pathway. In particular, the binding of 14-3-3 to the mannitol-1-phosphate dehydrogenase (MIPDH) was shown to inactivate the enzyme as soon as mannitol biosynthesis is complete. From a drug discovery perspective, it is important to note that this pathway is missing in higher eukaryotes, thus representing an attractive target for the development of selective drugs.⁶⁸

Toxoplasma gondii is a protozoan parasite that causes a disease known as toxoplasmosis, a generally asymptomatic infection. Despite this, the parasite is known to cause severe congenital infection in humans and animals. The sexual reproduction of this parasite occurs in the intestine of definitive hosts (cats) while asexual multiplication takes place in various hosts, including humans.⁷² 14-3-3 proteins have been detected in the asexual form of the parasite, namely the tachyzoite stage, that is virulent in humans.⁷³ Moreover, it was demonstrated that, in this stage, 14-3-3 proteins from *T. gondii* induce hypermotility in infected host cells.⁷⁴

Alveolar echinococcosis (AE) is a rare parasitic disorder that occurs after ingestion of eggs of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. AE is a tumorlike chronic disease, which can be fatal if left untreated.⁷⁵ In *E. multilocularis*, 14-3-3 proteins have been reported to be implicated in the tumor-like growth process.⁷⁶ Furthermore, it has been hypothesized that overexpressed 14-3-3 proteins may be involved in the promotion and/or maintenance of the progressive growth capacity of *E. multilocularis* larvae.⁷⁷

Schistosoma mansoni is one of the major intestinal parasites that can cause schistosomiasis, the most widespread parasitic disease after malaria. This parasite encodes four 14-3-3 isoforms that have roles in host immunity, parasite development, and survival.⁷⁸

Trichinella spiralis is a nematode parasite that is responsible for the development of trichinellosis, which is an important foodborne parasitic disease worldwide. The infection in humans is generally acquired by eating raw or inadequately cooked meat that contains encysted larvae of *T. spiralis*. 14-3-3 proteins from this parasite were shown to play a crucial role in the early stages of the infection by maintaining the host–parasite relationship.⁷⁹

Cryptosporidium parvum is a parasite responsible for cryptosporidiosis, a diarrheal disease that affect humans and animals especially in developing countries.⁸⁰ This infection is mainly caused by the ingestion of contaminated water, and an estimated 748,000 cryptosporidiosis cases occur annually.⁸¹ Unfortunately, only a limited number of drugs can be used to treat infections by *C. parvum* and most of them have low efficacy and an unknown mechanism of action. The three isoforms of 14-3-3 found in *C. parvum* (Cp14e, Cp14a, and Cp14b) were crystallized in 2011, and two of them showed some interesting features that are unique among 14-3-3 proteins.⁸⁰ In particular, in the isoform Cp14a, the substrate pocket is much more open compared to the classical folding of 14-3-3, leading to the hypothesis that this isoform can accommodate large substrates. The Cp14b isoform was able to bind a phosphorylated copy of the last six amino acid residues of its own C-terminus. This

binding is much stronger when the C-terminus is truncated, thus suggesting a competition between C-terminus and its phosphorylated mimic.⁸⁰ Even if other studies are necessary to understand the role of 14-3-3 in the *C. parvum* life cycle, these unique features described above can be exploited to develop novel strategies for cryptosporidiosis treatment.

Giardia duodenalis is a protozoan parasite that causes giardiasis by colonizing the upper portion of the small intestine in mammals. Giardiasis is the most common gastrointestinal diarrheal illness worldwide, and more than 200 million symptomatic human cases are reported annually.^{82,83} In this parasite, the single 14-3-3 isoform (g14-3-3) is essential for the development of cysts (the infective stage).⁸⁴

Three crystallographic structures of *G. duodenalis* are available, which makes this protein amenable to study through structure-based computational methods. The crystal structure of g14-3-3 in the apo form revealed an unusual “open” conformation,⁸⁵ whereas computational studies (supported by crystallographic evidence) proved that the post-translational modification (phosphorylation) on Thr214 of g14-3-3 induces a conformational rearrangement that leads to the “closed” and stable g14-3-3 conformation.⁸⁴ This form corresponds to the peptide-bound g14-3-3 structure.

In summary, all these findings demonstrate that 14-3-3 proteins have substantial involvement in parasites' life cycles. Even if the molecular basis for the role of 14-3-3 in pathogenicity is not well understood, we can speculate that this protein family could represent an alternative and promising axis to treat parasite infections. Despite rising interest in the role of 14-3-3 in parasites, significant advancement in basic research is required. Specifically, the development of a 14-3-3 modulator could be essential for unravelling the contribution of 14-3-3 proteins to parasite growth and survival in the host.

In general, the ubiquity of 14-3-3 protein involvement in numerous human diseases has sparked interest in their use as novel targets for drug discovery.^{44,49,65,86} The fact that 14-3-3 proteins serve purely as adapter proteins means that active compounds against 14-3-3 alone will impact several binding partners and thus likely give rise to unwanted pharmacology. However, if the drugs target the complex formation between 14-3-3 and a protein partner, either by inhibition or stabilization, then intrinsic specificity should be possible.²⁴

Structural Biology of 14-3-3 PPIs. Most PDB entries of 14-3-3 crystal structures represent binary complexes of 14-3-3 with a peptide mimic of the phosphorylated binding site of the PPI partner protein. Only in a limited number of cases has crystallization of 14-3-3 with a larger part of the partner protein been possible. One reason for the difficulty in obtaining full-length structures of these complexes is the fact that the partner proteins themselves are often multidomain proteins and thus challenging to crystallize. A second reason is that the 14-3-3 binding sequences are prevalently localized in disordered regions of their target proteins and only undergo a disorder-to-order transition when binding to 14-3-3. In this transition, it is common for only the directly neighboring parts of the phosphorylated anchor residues to be involved. Thus, large parts of the partner protein regions remain disordered, which is a disadvantage for crystal growth. A commonly adopted alternative strategy therefore centers on using synthetic peptides comprising around 10–40 amino acid residues to mimic the partner protein binding motif. It is of course vital that the activity of any stabilizer or inhibitor found using the simplified 14-3-3/partner-protein-peptide system is also shown in the context of more




Figure 4. Crystal structures of 14-3-3 complexes with larger partner protein constructs. (A) 14-3-3 ζ /AANAT (PDB ID: 1IB1),⁸⁹ (B) T14-3c/PMA2-CT52 (PDB ID: 2O98),¹⁰⁸ and (C) GF14c/Hd3a (PDB ID: 3AXY).¹⁰⁷ Upper row: surface representation of the complex. Lower row: details of the protein–protein complex interfaces.




Figure 5. Complex between 14 and 3-3 σ and HSPB6. Both proteins bind in a 2:2 stoichiometry but in contrast to the examples displayed in Figure 4 interact in an asymmetric fashion with the ACD dimer of HSPB6 binding to one 14-3-3 monomer and both N-terminal domains in the phospho-accepting grooves of 14-3-3 (PDB ID: SLTW).⁵⁶

physiologically relevant partner protein constructs. So far, however, crystallography of 14-3-3 in complex with synthetic peptides has been a useful system to obtain structural data of 14-3-3 modulators. These synthetic peptides typically bind in the 14-3-3 amphipathic binding groove like the 14-3-3 ζ /C-Raf complex shown in Figure 3. Additionally, many structures have been reported where a small molecule is also bound to form a ternary complex. Historically, 14-3-3 binding sequences have been categorized in different motifs. Mode I and II interaction partners were defined to require an arginine at position -3 with respect to the phosphorylated serine or threonine residue and a proline residue at position $+2$ (more specifically; (I) RSX(pS/T)XP or (II) RX(F/Y)X(pS)XP).⁴⁶ Mode III motifs were later defined as C-terminal sequences, where the phosphorylated serine or threonine is the penultimate residue of the binding partner.⁸⁷ However, as recent reviews of the known 14-3-3 interactome have illustrated, 14-3-3 binding motifs can deviate from these well-defined motifs.^{60,88} Here, we will focus on

examples where a larger domain of the partner protein is crystallized with 14-3-3: AANAT, PMA2, Hd3a (Figure 4), and more recently for HSPB6 (Figure 5).^{56,89,90} This set of crystal structures conveniently shows the variety of binding modes possible with 14-3-3 and illustrates their relevance in the identification of important and distinct interaction interfaces.

14-3-3 ζ /AANAT. Serotonin *N*-acetyltransferase (arylalkylamine *N*-acetyltransferase, AANAT) catalyzes the transfer of acetyl from acetyl-coenzyme A to serotonin, thus producing *N*-acetylserotonin, which is the precursor of melatonin. Melatonin levels are believed to govern the vertebrate daily rhythm with high levels occurring at night thus providing a hormonal analog signal of environmental lighting, which can be used to optimize circadian physiology and possibly form the basis of treatment in sleeping disorders.^{91,92} In 2001, the crystal structure of 14-3-3 ζ in complex with AANAT was published by the group of Dyda showing that binding to 14-3-3 activates the enzyme by significantly increasing its affinity for its substrates serotonin




Figure 6. Binding of peptide 1 (green sticks) to 14-3-3 ζ (white cartoon). Residues from 14-3-3 important for interaction with 1 are shown as sticks. Polar interactions are depicted as black dotted lines, and hydrophobic contact surfaces from 14-3-3 are displayed as semitransparent spheres (PDB ID: 1A38).¹¹²

and acetyl-coenzyme A.⁸⁹ In the crystal structure, two AANAT monomers (residues 18–196) bind to the central channel of a 14-3-3 dimer (Figure 4A). In addition to the phosphorylation-dependent interaction of the N-terminus of AANAT, which is accommodated in an extended conformation by the amphipathic groove of the 14-3-3 monomer, the well-structured C-terminal part of the enzyme makes extensive contacts with the inner wall of the 14-3-3 channel.

T14-3c/PMA2-CT52 Complex. The plant plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase (PMA) generates both a chemical proton as well as an electrical gradient (membrane potential) across the plasma membrane.⁹³ Because almost all transport mechanisms at the plant plasma membrane are energetically dependent on the PMA-generated electrochemical proton gradient, PMA plays a central role in plant physiology as the powerhouse for plant growth.^{94–97} One of the most important regulatory events for PMA is phosphorylation of the penultimate C-terminal threonine residue followed by binding of 14-3-3 proteins, which activates PMA.^{98,99} This activation is strongly increased by the natural product fusicoccin,^{100,101} which fills a gap in the interface of 14-3-3 with the regulatory C-terminus (CT) of PMA.^{102,103} The structure of the last 52 amino acid residues of the C-terminus of the PMA isoform 2 (PMA2-CT52) from tobacco (*Nicotiana plumbaginifolia*) in complex with Tobacco 14-3-3 isoform c (T14-3c) showed two PMA C-termini bound to one 14-3-3 dimer (Figure 4B). The C-terminal 30 amino acid residues bind as an elongated peptide and a short helix in the amphipathic groove of each 14-3-3 monomer, whereas the N-terminal 22 amino acid residues of PMA2-CT52 form a helix that perpendicularly leaves the 14-3-3 binding channel.

14-3-3/Hd3a Complex. Approximately 80 years ago, a substance was proposed to be synthesized in the leaves of flowering plants and transported to the shoot to induce flowering.¹⁰⁴ This substance was named “florigen”, but its molecular identity was uncovered by showing that “florigen” is encoded by the highly conserved plant gene *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (FT),¹⁰⁵ whose product is a mobile protein.¹⁰⁶ In 2011, it was shown that the FT protein from rice (Hd3a) binds to

14-3-3 proteins in the apical cells of shoots to form a complex that migrates into the nucleus where it interacts with the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor FD.¹⁰⁷ Interestingly, the authors cocrystallized the complex between FT (Hd3a) and a rice 14-3-3 protein (GF14c) and used crystals of the binary complex to soak a short phosphopeptide derived from FD (OsFD1) and obtain the ternary complex of GF14c/Hd3a/OsFD1. In the crystal structure, the entire construct of Hd3a (residues 6–170) is visible, making it the second-largest 14-3-3 partner protein after AANAT (residues 18–196) that has been cocrystallized with 14-3-3. Two Hd3a molecules bind to one 14-3-3 dimer and occupy an unusual position that is not part of the central binding channel as seen with all other 14-3-3 ligands including AANAT. Rather, Hd3a binds to the “upper” edges of the horseshoe-like 14-3-3 dimer (Figure 4C). This site is close to the additional Cdc25C binding site predicted by a mutation study of human 14-3-3 σ that lies outside of the central phosphopeptide binding channel.⁹⁰

14-3-3 σ /HSPB6 Complex. In early 2017, Sluchanko, Strelkov, and co-workers reported a 14-3-3 assembly with the full-length HSPB6 dimer (Figure 5).⁵⁶ The authors emphasize this is the first crystal structure of a human small heat shock protein (HSP) in its functional state. The small HSPs comprise a family of ten ATP-independent chaperones with molecular masses in the range of 17–23 kDa.¹⁰⁹ HSPB6 (also known as HSP20) is involved in smooth muscle relaxation and cardio protection and was identified as a binding partner for 14-3-3 upon phosphorylation of Ser16.^{109,110} HSPB6 dimerizes via its highly conserved α -Crystallin domain (ACD) that forms a β -sandwich, whereas both the N-terminal domain and C-terminal extension (NTD and CTE) that flank this region are highly unstructured. The interaction motif for 14-3-3 consists of a classical RRApSAP pattern located in the NTD.⁵⁶

The authors cocrystallized 14-3-3 with two HSPB6-derived phosphopeptides (residues 13–20 and 11–23) containing the phosphorylated Ser16 before solving the structure of the full-length pHSPB6 complex (residues 1–149) with 14-3-3 σ to a resolution of 4.5 Å. The asymmetric unit was found to contain




Figure 7. Structural characterization of the 14-3-3 ζ /ExoS interface. (A) Wild-type ExoS (orange sticks) bound to 14-3-3 ζ (white and blue surface). ExoS establishes an extensive hydrophobic contact interface with 14-3-3 with its four leucine residues (Leu422, Leu423, Leu426, Leu428) binding to a hydrophobic patch (blue surface) in the 14-3-3 channel (PDB ID: 2O02).⁶⁷ (B) Structural superimposition of wild-type ExoS (orange cartoon and sticks) and the 12-carbon-linker cyclic peptide **2** (green cartoon and sticks) derived from ExoS (PDB ID: 4N84).¹¹⁵ (C) The 12-carbon linker of **2** engages a semicircular, hydrophobic ring in 14-3-3 (white, semitransparent surface and blue sticks; PDB ID: 4N84).¹¹⁵ (D) Further optimization of the constrained peptide derived from ExoS using an alkyne-cross-link in **3** (PDB ID: 5J31).¹¹⁶

three heterotetrameric complexes.⁵⁶ Both intrinsically disordered pHSPB6 N-terminal domains interact with the 14-3-3 dimer grooves identical to the cocrystal structure with the phosphopeptides. Remarkably, the ACD dimer of HSPB6 was found to dock onto one 14-3-3 unit, resulting in an asymmetric overall complex (Figure 5), which is in contrast to the structures described above. The interaction surface spans $\sim 400 \text{ \AA}^2$ and contains an essential salt bridge between Arg224 (14-3-3) and Glu86 (HSPB6). The positioning of the flexible parts of the NTDs not covered in the electron density were further characterized in solution by small-angle X-ray scattering, confirming the 2:2 stoichiometry of the complex. The authors state that by fully sequestering 14-3-3 binding sites, the chaperone HSPB6 blocks interactions with other partner proteins, thus acting as a phospho-switching 14-3-3 regulator.

INHIBITORS OF 14-3-3 PPIs

R18 Peptide. The first reported 14-3-3 PPI inhibitor, peptide R18 (**1**) (20 amino acid residues), was identified from a phage display by the Fu Laboratory.¹¹¹ In the 14-3-3 complex crystal structure, the central sequence (WLDLE) can be seen in the amphipathic binding groove of 14-3-3.¹¹² This structure revealed that, in addition to salt-bridge interactions between the carboxyl group of the glutamic acid side chain and three arginines of 14-3-3, there is an extensive hydrophobic contact surface between the two leucine residues of **1** and a number of 14-3-3 residues (Figure 6). In this way, **1** efficiently exploits the amphipathic character of the 14-3-3 binding channel to compete for both phosphorylation-dependent and -independent 14-3-3 PPIs. In later studies, Fu et al. showed that expression of a longer peptide of 64 amino acid residues with two such inhibiting sequences resulted in apoptosis, sensitized cancer cells for the antineoplastic

drug cisplatin, and suppressed tumor growth in mice.^{113,114} These breakthrough studies with **1** proved the principal feasibility and efficacy of inhibiting 14-3-3 PPIs.

ExoS Macrocylic Peptide. The groups of Ottmann and Grossmann recently developed a strategy for the macrocyclization of bioactive peptides with an irregular secondary structure and showed that macrocyclic molecules derived from peptides containing 14-3-3 binding motifs can efficiently inhibit the interaction between 14-3-3 ζ and their binding partners.¹¹⁵ As a proof of concept, they prepared macrocyclic peptides targeting the interaction between 14-3-3 ζ and the virulence factor of the pathogenic bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Exoenzyme S (ExoS). These inhibitors were prepared from the ExoS peptide stretch that binds to 14-3-3 in an irregular and mostly extended conformation (Figure 7A, sequence Q⁴²⁰GLLDALDLAS⁴³⁰) by replacing two hydrophobic residues crucially involved in 14-3-3 binding with non-natural amino acid residues cross-linked by a $(\text{CH}_2)_n$ chain. The most efficient inhibition was obtained for the $\beta_{\text{SS}}12$ (**2**) inhibitor in which residues Leu422 and Ala425 were replaced with S-configured non-natural amino acid residues cross-linked with the chain containing 12 methylene groups (Figure 7B and C).¹¹⁵ This macrocyclic inhibitor binds to 14-3-3 ζ with ~ 30 -fold higher affinity compared to that of the unmodified peptide (a K_d value of 41 nM vs 1.14 μM). The structural analysis revealed that the hydrophobic cross-link is involved in interactions with nonpolar residues within the ligand binding groove of 14-3-3 ζ , whereas the conformation of the backbone is very similar to that of the unmodified peptide. Further biophysical analyses suggested that the improved binding affinity resulting from the incorporation of the cross-link comes from the significantly decreased conformational flexibility of the macrocyclic molecule. Because all 14-3-3 binding




Figure 8. Targeting the 14-3-3 σ /TaupS214 interface with modified peptides. (A) Wild-type TaupS214 (golden sticks) bound to 14-3-3 σ (white surface and white sticks). Residues from 14-3-3 σ important for binding are shown as labeled sticks; polar contacts are depicted as black dotted lines (PDB ID: 4FL5).¹²¹ (B) Structural superimposition of wild-type TaupS214 (golden sticks) and the modified Tau-peptide 4 (green sticks, PDB ID: 4Y32) binding to 14-3-3 σ (white surface).¹²¹ (C) Structural superimposition of wild-type TaupS214 (golden sticks) and the modified Tau-peptide hybrid 5 (magenta sticks, PDB ID: 4YSI) binding to 14-3-3 σ (white surface).¹²¹ (D) Structural superimposition of wild-type TaupS214 (golden sticks) and the modified Tau-peptide hybrid 6 (purple sticks, PDB ID: 4YSI) binding to 14-3-3 σ (white surface).¹²¹

motifs structurally characterized so far adopt irregular and extended conformations within the 14-3-3 ligand-binding grooves, this approach should be applicable to the majority of 14-3-3 binding interactions. Figure 7D shows the crystal structure of an ExoS-derived peptide H (3), which has been obtained by a ring-closing alkyne metathesis used here for the first time for the stabilization of an irregular peptide secondary structure.¹¹⁶

Tau Epitope. On a cellular level, the most striking pathological hallmark of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the occurrence of protein deposits like neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) and amyloid plaques. NFTs are composed of hyperphosphorylated Tau displaying paired helical filaments. NFTs have been found to contain substantial amounts of 14-3-3 proteins, implicating them in the pathophysiology of AD.¹¹⁷ Furthermore, 14-3-3 proteins have been found to directly bind to Tau in solution via the phosphorylated residues Ser214 and Ser324 as important determinants of binding.^{118,119} Previously, we solved the crystal structure of 14-3-3 in complex with synthetic peptides comprising the phosphorylation sites pSer214 and pSer324.¹²⁰ The sequence surrounding pSer214 (²¹¹RTPpSLPTP²¹⁸) is especially interesting with three proline residues as notable structural features (Figure 8A). In particular, Pro218 occupies a position that is not used by most of the other structurally elucidated 14-3-3 recognition motifs. This observation inspired the rational design of peptide-based inhibitors using this position (Pro218) in the Tau peptide for chemical modifications that would result in peptides displaying increased affinity to 14-3-3.

This concept was recently demonstrated by the groups of Ottmann, Milroy, and Landrieu who designed a potent inhibitor of the 14-3-3/Tau interaction as guided by cocrystal structures of the protein-stabilizer and protein-inhibitor complexes.¹²¹ The superposition of cocrystal structures of 14-3-3 complexes with Fusicoccin A (stabilizer) and Tau epitope (inhibitor, sequence RTPpSLPTP) showed that the C-terminal Pro218 residue of the Tau epitope and the A ring of Fusicoccin A overlap. This suggested that the poor binding affinity of this phosphopeptide for 14-3-3 could be improved by extending its C-terminus with a hydrophobic group to target the highly conserved hydrophobic pocket within the amphiphilic groove of 14-3-3, which led to 14-3-3 inhibiting modified peptides 109B (4), 126B (5), and 201D (6) (Figure 8B–D).¹²¹ Indeed, the chimeric inhibitor containing the sterically bulky and conformationally rigid benzhydryl pyrrolidine moiety at the C-terminus of the Tau epitope bound to 14-3-3 with 3 orders of magnitude higher binding affinity compared to that of the unmodified phosphopeptide. Consequent NMR spectroscopic studies on 14-3-3 ζ and full-length Tau confirmed that this chimeric compound inhibits the binding of 14-3-3 ζ to phosphorylated full-length Tau by disrupting its interaction with the phospho-epitope sites located within the C-terminal part of Tau.

2-5, Prodrug 15, and 19a. Shao et al. reported in 2010 a 14-3-3 PPI inhibitor called 2-5 (7) that was found using the small-molecule microarray (SMM) technique.¹²² The library was based on an optimal 14-3-3 binding amino acid sequence (RFRpSYPP), where they coupled 50 diverse amines to the C-terminus of the N-terminal peptide (RFRpS) or 243 diverse acid




Figure 9. (A) Chemical structure of compound 7.¹²² (B) Membrane permeable prodrug 8 is converted to active component 9 by intracellular metabolic transformation.¹²³ (C) Chemical structure of 14-3-3 PPI inhibitors 10–13 identified by the group of Botta. The reversible hydration pathway converts 12 to 13 and vice versa.^{124,126,127}

building blocks to the N-terminus of the C-terminal peptide (pSYSPP). These hybrids were spotted on a glass slide, and binding of fluorescently labeled GST-14-3-3 was measured. Five hits were found with K_d values between 0.6 and 1.03 μM , of which three featured a substitution of the N-terminal peptide part and two of the C-terminal peptide part. By combining these fragments into the six possible nonpeptidic molecules, compounds were found with IC_{50} values between 2.6 and 3.6 μM (fluorescence polarization (FP) assay), including 7 (Figure 9A).

Phospho-serine mimetic prodrug 15 (8) based on 7 was reported that showed potent 14-3-3 inhibitory activity in cells.¹²³ The basic idea of the group of Borch was to transform bioactive peptides with phospho-serine groups, which suffer from poor membrane permeability and hydrolysis by phosphatases, into stable and cell permeable molecules with druglike properties. The phosphate to serine bridging oxygen group of 7 was replaced by a difluoromethylene linker with the aim of preventing phosphatase cleavage under physiological conditions. This also enables the retention of an appropriate $\text{p}K_a$ to keep the phosphate mimetic in a doubly charged state to maintain all essential interactions. For enabling membrane permeability, the two charges of the phosphonate group were temporarily neutralized by derivatization of the two phosphonate oxygens with nitro-furfuryl and 4-chloro-*N*-methylbutan-1-amine groups (Figure 9B). Upon entry into the cell, the nitro-furfuryl group was cleaved off by enzymatic reduction and spontaneous expulsion to deliver a phosphoamidate anion. Subsequent intracellular cyclization of an intermediate followed by spontaneous hydrolysis yields the biologically active phosphonate product

19a (9).¹²³ Compound 8 inhibits viability of DG75 leukemia cells with an IC_{50} value of 5 μM and induces apoptosis in the same concentration range, whereas free phosphonate 9 does not show any significant inhibition at concentrations up to 100 μM .

For confirming the relevance of 14-3-3 proteins for the cellular effects of compound 8, a cellular assay for measuring 14-3-3-mediated inhibition of FOXO transcription factors was used. FOXO3A is a member of the Forkhead family of transcription factors that is inactivated by Akt1 phosphorylation and subsequent 14-3-3 binding. FOXO3a-dependent reporter gene activation was abolished by cotransfection with Akt1 in DG75 cells. The repressed FOXO3a activity was recovered in a dose-dependent manner by addition of compound 8 in concordance with reduced retention of phosphorylated FOXO3a by 14-3-3 in the cytoplasm. Active metabolite 9 was able to inhibit the interaction between immobilized 14-3-3 σ and phosphorylated FOXO3a at physiologically relevant concentrations in lysates of DG75 leukemia cells transfected with FOXO3a and Akt1, whereas prodrug 8 was inactive.

BV01, BV02, BV101, and 9. The group of Botta reported the identification of small-molecule inhibitors of 14-3-3 σ PPIs by using structure-based pharmacophore modeling, virtual screening, and molecular docking simulations with library design and organic synthesis. They started by in silico screening of 200,000 compounds from the ASINEX chemical collection, of which 14 compounds were eventually selected and tested in cellular and biochemical assays. This resulted in the identification of BV02 (12) as a lead inhibitor of the interaction between 14-3-3 σ and cAbl in chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) (Figure 9C).¹²⁴ Indeed, 12 was able to inhibit 14-3-3/c-Abl interaction and




Figure 10. (A) The proposed mechanism of adduct formation between **15** and 14-3-3 ζ and the chemical structure of various derivatives of **15** (**15A–C**). (B) Complex structure of covalent adduct formed between **15** and 14-3-3 ζ upon X-ray irradiation (PDB ID: 3RDH).¹³⁰

promote c-Abl nuclear translocation at low micromolar concentration in Ba/F3 cells expressing the wild-type Bcr-Abl as well as its Imatinib-resistant T315I mutation.¹²⁵ Accordingly, **12** represented a useful starting point for the development of an alternative treatment of CML, particularly for the Imatinib-resistant forms.

Following the discovery of **12**, two additional 14-3-3 PPI inhibitors have been reported. These molecules, namely BV01 (**10**) and BV101 (**11**) (Figure 9C), were also initially discovered by an *in silico* approach,¹²⁶ and promoted c-Abl nuclear translocation in Ba/F3 cells expressing the WT and the Imatinib-resistant T315I-mutated Bcr-Abl constructs. Furthermore, the interaction of **10** with 14-3-3 σ was supported by transfer NOE experiments.¹²⁶ In 2014, the same group published the discovery of compound **9** (**13**, Figure 9C), a phthalimide derivative of **12** that is able to promote c-Abl nuclear translocation as well as to sensitize multidrug-resistant (MDR) cancer stem cells.¹²⁷ This discovery was facilitated by *in silico* docking of a virtual library of **12** and **10** analogues to a 14-3-3 σ crystal structure using a well-established computational protocol.¹²⁴ The most promising molecules were synthesized and submitted to biological tests. Most notably, molecule **13** was found to promote c-Abl nuclear translocation at 25 μ M and has been shown to decrease the IC₅₀ of doxorubicin by increasing its accumulation in MDR cancer cells at 10 μ M concentration.

Subsequently, it was discovered that **13** was the product of spontaneous dehydration of **12** under aqueous conditions (Figure 9C) and was, in fact, the bioactive form of **12**, as

shown by a detailed NMR spectroscopy study.¹²⁸ The direct interaction between **13** and recombinant 14-3-3 σ was demonstrated by NOESY experiments, thus corroborating the mechanism of action of **13** at the molecular level. Indeed, in the presence of 14-3-3 σ , the conversion of **13** back into **12** was slowed down, indicating that temperature and pH are not the only variables that influence the compounds interconversion. This observation provides important information for the appropriate setup of biological and biochemical experiments.

HSP20 Compound 85070. The phosphorylated form of HSP20 (phospho-HSP20) interacts with 14-3-3 proteins, the complex playing a regulating role on the actin depolymerizing protein cofilin. Phospho-HSP20 competition with phospho-cofilin for binding to 14-3-3 proteins frees phospho-cofilin, resulting in its dephosphorylation and subsequent depolymerization of the actin cytoskeleton. Free phospho-HSP20 is additionally able to directly destabilize the cytoskeleton. The phospho-HSP20/14-3-3 interaction could be a critical step in cofilin-mediated disruption of actin stress fibers and hence smooth muscle relaxation. Small molecules targeting the phospho-HSP20/14-3-3 interaction could thus lead to new therapeutic compounds to treat constriction of the airways in asthma. By screening a 58,019-compound library obtained from ChemDiv and ChemBridge (San Diego CA) by high-throughput polarization assay, researchers at Prolexys Pharmaceuticals and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health identified 268 modulators.¹²⁹ These primary screen hits show at least 20% reduction of the polarization emission in the assay that was set up

using a FAM-labeled 8-mer phosphopeptide derived from HSP20 to test for full-length 14-3-3 γ isoform (247 amino acid residues) binding. Compounds belonging to the scaffold PRLX24905 (US patent 20090136561) were further analyzed by FP for their concentration-dependent activity of inhibition. Structurally related scaffolds show a range of activity from no inhibition to 50 μM IC_{50} for compound 85070 (**14**, structure not disclosed).¹²⁹ These compounds were additionally evaluated in cell-based assays. Compound **14** was the most efficient in causing, in a dose-dependent manner, a decrease in cell stiffness, decrease in contractile force in ASM cells, and an attenuation of active force development of intact tissue *ex vivo*. For the time being, the mechanism of action of compound **14** and the basis of these functional effects are not known.

FOBISIN101. In 2011, the group of Fu reported the first covalent 14-3-3 inhibitor FOBISIN101 (**15**, FOurteen-three-three BInding Small molecule INhibitor).¹³⁰ Compound **15** (Figure 10A), initially reported in 1998 as the P2X receptor antagonist MRS-2159,¹³¹ was identified by screening of the Sigma-Aldrich LOPAC library in a FP-based binding assay based on 14-3-3 γ and a phosphorylated Raf-1 peptide. Affinity chromatography was used to demonstrate the ability of **15** to inhibit the binding of 14-3-3 γ to two known 14-3-3 γ binding partners, Raf-1 and p-PRAS40, from COS-7 cell lysates. Compound **15** was shown to be a pan-14-3-3 inhibitor and inhibited the binding of PRAS40 to 14-3-3 ζ and 14-3-3 γ with similar potencies (9.3 and 16.4 μM , respectively). Compound **15** also inhibited the 14-3-3-dependent activation of nonphosphorylated 14-3-3 client protein ExoS in a functional assay, suggesting that **15** inhibits the binding of both phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated client proteins. A limited SAR study of analogues of **15** was carried out, employing an ELISA assay based on 14-3-3 ζ and Raf-1. Compounds **15A** and **15B** (Figure 10A) both exhibited almost complete loss of potency, indicating that the phosphate and phenyldiazene moieties were required for binding to 14-3-3 ζ .

A structure of the complex of **15** and 14-3-3 ζ was obtained by X-ray crystallography, indicating the formation of an unexpected covalent adduct with the protein (Figure 10B). This was explained by X-ray-induced cleavage of the N=N bond and reaction of the radical thus formed with the side-chain terminal nitrogen atom of Lys120. The phosphate moiety of **15** was shown to interact with Lys49 (a key residue for recognition of phosphorylated client proteins) and Asn173.

A color change (from orange to colorless) of the crystals upon X-ray exposure was attributed to the loss of the conjugated aromatic system caused by reductive photo cleavage of the N=N bond. MALDI-MS also showed that X-ray exposure was required for formation of this covalent adduct as only irradiated samples exhibited the 183 Da increase in molecular weight corresponding to the **15** fragment observed in the crystal structure. However, X-ray activation is not required for **15** to bind to 14-3-3 ζ , as it was able to inhibit binding of a Raf-1 peptide to 14-3-3 ζ in an ELISA-based assay. This suggests that the covalent bond may be a radiation-induced crystallographic artifact rather than the functional binding mode of **15** in the ELISA assay.

A similar pyridoxal phosphate derivative **15C** (Figure 10A) was reported by Ottmann and co-workers as a 14-3-3 protein-binding ligand.¹³² In contrast to **15**, no cleavage of the N=N bond was observed in the 1.8 Å resolution crystal structure of **15C** complexed to 14-3-3 σ . Instead, transimination with the terminal side chain amino moiety of Lys122 (which corresponds to 14-3-3 ζ Lys120) led to a covalent adduct with the N=N bond

and phenyldiazene moiety intact. The phosphate moiety of **15C** adopted a similar position to that observed for **15** bound to 14-3-3 ζ as reported by Fu. They then obtained a structure of **15** with 14-3-3 σ , which in their hands also exhibited imine formation with the aldehyde. It also exhibited with an intact diazene moiety; however, the electron density indicated a high degree of ligand flexibility in this region when compared with the hydrazine adduct observed by Fu et al. Ottmann and co-workers also demonstrated attachment of up to four intact **15** molecules to the 14-3-3 σ protein using ESI-MS with no observed N=N bond cleavage. The differences in the observed mechanisms of covalent complex formation of **15** were attributed to differences in X-ray wavelength and MS conditions used.¹³³ Further work is needed to fully elucidate the exact mechanism of the diazene cleavage and subsequent covalent adduct formation observed for **15**.

UTKO1. In 2005, the group of Imoto reported the discovery of the *Aspergillus*-derived natural product Moverastin as inhibitors of cancer cell migration by the screening of microbial extracts.¹³⁴ Natural Moverastin is produced as a diastereomeric mixture of secondary alcohols, and separation led to the isolation of the pure diastereoisomers Moverastin A (**16A**) and B (**16B**) (Figure 11).¹³⁴ The Moverastins are members of the cylindrol family of




Figure 11. Structures of **16A**, **16B**, **17**, and biotinylated probe molecule **18**.^{134,136,137}

natural products, which are known inhibitors of farnesyl transferase (FT).¹³⁵ Structurally, the Moverastins differ from other cylindrols in that they possess a methylidene moiety rather than a trisubstituted alkene.

HRAS is a common human oncogene, and HRAS protein plays a key role in cancer cell migration. HRAS activity is dependent on posttranslational prenylation (farnesylation) by FT, which leads to activation of HRAS by membrane localization. Inhibition of FT therefore leads to a reduction of HRAS farnesylation and inhibition of membrane localization, subsequently leading to a reduction in cell migration and tumor metastasis. Compounds **16A** and **16B** were shown to inhibit FT *in vitro* with similar potency and also shown to decrease the nuclear localization of Ras in a tumor cell line.¹³⁴

In 2011, the same group published a series of Moverastin derivatives with enhanced inhibitory activity against the migration of human oesophageal tumor cells.¹³⁶ The most potent compound identified, rac-UTKO1 (**17**, Figure 11) had

3.5-fold increased potency on inhibition of cell migration (IC_{50} 1.98 μ M) compared to **16**; however, **17** was shown not to inhibit FT ($IC_{50} > 100 \mu$ M), and therefore, another target was likely responsible for its activity.¹³⁶ Biotinylated UTKO1 derivative B-UTKO1ox (**18**, Figure 11) was used as a probe in a series of experiments to identify the molecular mode of action of **17**.^{134,137} Coprecipitation experiments with **18** and unlabeled **17** identified two 14-3-3 isoforms (ϵ and ζ) as potential **17**-binding proteins of which 14-3-3 ζ was considered to be the most likely candidate for the biological activity due to previous reports of its involvement in cell migration. The direct binding of **17** to 14-3-3 ζ was confirmed by a competition pulldown experiment using a GST-tagged 14-3-3 ζ protein. All seven mammalian 14-3-3 isoforms were then tested for binding to **17**, and 14-3-3 ζ was confirmed as the isoform with the strongest binding affinity. Compound **17** was also shown to bind to the C-terminal domain of 14-3-3 ζ . This C-terminal domain is the most variable region within the 14-3-3 family, and this was proposed as an explanation for the selective binding of **17** to 14-3-3 ζ . siRNA silencing of 14-3-3 ζ led to suppression of lamellipodia formation (which is key to cell migration) in a tumor cell line thus increasing confidence that the observed effect of **17** is due to binding to 14-3-3 ζ .

Additional pulldown experiments with GST-tagged 14-3-3 ζ were performed to identify the relevant 14-3-3 ζ partner proteins with two proteins (Tiam1 and β Pix) being identified as promising candidates.¹³⁴ siRNA knockdown experiments showed only Tiam1 to have an effect on cell migration and inhibition of the binding of Tiam1 to 14-3-3 ζ by **17** was confirmed both in cell lysates and cultured cells. Interestingly, neither the stability nor cellular localization of Tiam1 was affected by **17**, suggesting that a conformational change upon binding to 14-3-3 ζ is required for activation of Tiam1 and that this is inhibited by **17**.

Phosphonate-type Inhibitors of 14-3-3. Starting from the identified RFRpSYPP binding motif of an inhibitory peptide of 14-3-3, Wu et al. derived cell-permeable small molecule PPI inhibitor **7** that contains the phosphorylated central serine.¹²² The group of Ottmann went a step further and identified a small molecule 14-3-3 inhibitor by means of virtual screening.¹³⁸ An implemented set of filters on a ZINC library of more than 8 million small molecules yielded 512 diverse compounds that incorporate one phosphate or phosphonate group and obey the Lipinski's rule of five. Their virtual docking into a high-resolution crystal structure of 14-3-3 σ (PDB ID: 3P1N) and follow-up analysis led to the synthesis and cocrystallization of 11 14-3-3 inhibitors (e.g., compound **B2** (**19**, Figure 12)).¹³⁸ A detailed computational analysis of the binding mode of eight of these molecules was performed by the group of Wang et al.¹³⁹ They showed that the hydrophilic residues (Arg56, Arg129, and Tyr130 of 14-3-3 σ) at the bottom of the binding pocket form seven stable hydrogen bonds with the phosphate group. In addition, two residues (Leu174 and Val178) in contact with a moiety accommodating the phosphate group contribute large van der Waals energies, and residue Leu126 provides large electrostatic energies. This is in agreement with the statement that the phosphate has the strongest pharmacophoric properties. There are three unfavorable interactions with residues (Asp126, Glu133, and Glu182) for inhibitor binding to protein. The averaged free energies for these three residues in the eight compounds are 0.93, 1.03, and 0.97 kcal/mol. Because the aspartic acid and glutamic acid residues have negative charges, they repel the phosphate group and attract the residues with positive charge in the binding pocket. By contrast, several




Figure 12. Binding of phosphonate inhibitor **19** (cyan sticks) to 14-3-3 σ (white cartoon, sticks and surface). Residues from 14-3-3 σ important for accommodation of **19** are shown as sticks; polar interactions are depicted as dotted black lines, and the semitransparent surface represents hydrophobic contacts (PDB ID: 4DHT).¹³⁸

residues surround the second hydrophobic moiety of the inhibitors, whereas there are only weak interactions between this part of the inhibitor and protein residues.

Molecular Tweezers. Bier et al. published in 2013 another class of phosphate-containing inhibitors called molecular tweezers (Figure 13A and B).¹⁴⁰ With crystal structures, they showed that this molecule binds around residue Lys214 that is positioned at the edge of the amphipathic binding groove of 14-3-3 σ (Figure 13C–E). By binding to this position, it can interfere with the function of 14-3-3 as an adapter protein, inhibiting, for example, the binding of phosphorylated C-Raf and unphosphorylated ExoS (Figure 13) to 14-3-3 σ . Surprisingly, the crystal structure of 14-3-3 in complex with the molecular tweezer CLR01 (**20**) revealed only one molecule binding to 14-3-3 σ .¹⁴⁰ This was unexpected because 14-3-3 σ displays 17 surface-exposed lysine residues potentially able to bind this supramolecular ligand. Closer inspection of the environment of Lys214 and extensive modeling of the 14-3-3 σ /**20** interaction identified a set of structural requirements for the efficient interaction of the tweezer with lysine residues. In particular, the arrangement of a predominantly hydrophobic interaction surface formed by Tyr213, Thr217, and Leu218 seems to be beneficial for a more stable accommodation of the tweezer molecule. These structural findings can help in the design of more specific molecular tweezers, an approach currently followed in the groups of Schrader and Ottmann.

■ STABILIZERS OF 14-3-3 PPIs

Fusicoccanes. Fusicoccin A (**21**) is a diterpene glycoside produced by the phytopathogenic fungus *Phomopsis amygdali* (formerly *Fusicoccum amygdali*) that was initially described in the mid-1960s to be a wilt-inducing toxin.¹⁴¹ It was, however, not until 1994 that the molecular target was identified as the binary complex between the regulatory domain of the plasma membrane H^+ -ATPase (PMA) and 14-3-3 adapter proteins, which **21** stabilizes by acting like a “molecular glue”.¹⁴² Since then, **21** and the related natural product cotylenin A (**22**)¹⁴⁵ and semisynthetic (e.g., Fusicoccin THF (**23**),¹⁵⁰ ISIR-005 (**24**)¹⁵¹) fusicoccane analogues have proven to be valuable tool




Figure 13. Chemical structure of molecular tweezer **20** (A) and a 3D view of the molecule conformation it adopts for protein recognition (B). (C) Binding of molecular tweezer **20** (yellow sticks) to Lys214 of 14-3-3 σ (white sticks) and the electron density (blue mesh, $2F_o - F_c$ contoured at 1.0σ). (D) Superimposition of the binding of molecular tweezer **20** (yellow spheres) and the ExoS peptide (416–430, purple sticks) to 14-3-3 σ (white surface). (E) Molecular tweezer **20** (yellow sticks and surface) binding to Lys214 of 14-3-3 σ (white cartoon and sticks) (PDB IDs: 4HQW and 4HRU).¹⁴⁰




Figure 14. Fusicoccane analogues, natural (**21**, and **22**) or semi-synthetic (**23**, **24**, and **25**) that act as “molecular glue” model for stabilizing 14-3-3 binary structures.^{142,145,150–152}

compounds to study the “molecular glue” model for stabilizing 14-3-3 binary structures (Figure 14).

Compound **21** itself has now been shown to stabilize 14-3-3 complexation with a number of medically relevant partner proteins in humans. For example, **21** promotes platelet adhesion to von Willebrand factor by stabilizing the 14-3-3 interaction with the C-terminus of the human protein glycoprotein (GP)Ib α .¹⁴³ Compound **21** also stabilizes the 14-3-3 interaction with the C-terminus of the F-domain of estrogen receptor α

(ER α) and thus inhibits ER α -dependent transcription.¹⁴⁴

However, **21** stabilization is not limited to 14-3-3 partners bearing C-terminal (or “mode III”) 14-3-3 binding motifs. Although the physiological potency is relatively weak, **21** has recently been shown to stabilize the 14-3-3 interaction with the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), thus promoting trafficking of CFTR to the plasma membrane.⁵⁵

Compound **22** is another natural product produced by a fungus (*Cladosporium* sp. 501-7W) that acts as a bioactive




Figure 15. Semisynthetic derivatives 23, 26, and 27 stabilize the interaction between 14-3-3 σ and TASK3 peptide. (A) Semisynthetic derivative 23 (purple sticks) and the C-terminus of TASK3 peptide (yellow sticks) in the binding groove of 14-3-3 σ (cyan surface). (B) Electron density (red, blue, and black mesh, 2F_O-F_C, contoured at 1.0 σ) around 23 (purple sticks), C-terminus of TASK3 peptide (yellow sticks), and 14-3-3 σ (green sticks). (C) Comparison of 23 (purple sticks) with 26 (orange sticks) and 27 (yellow sticks) in the binding pocket formed by 14-3-3 σ (cyan surface) and TASK3 peptide (yellow surface) (PDB IDs: 3SMN, 3SMM, and 3SP5).¹⁵⁰




Figure 16. Comparison among 27, 26, and 23 in the stabilization of a “mode III” binder (TASK3) and “mode I/II” binder (C-Raf). (A) Overlay of 27 (green sticks), 26 (orange sticks), and 23 (purple stick) in the 14-3-3 σ /TASK3 peptide (yellow sticks) complex. (B) The C-ring of 27 does not clash with “mode I/II” C-Raf peptide. (C) The hydroxylation of C12 in 26 clashes with the carbonyl oxygen of C-Raf P260. (D) The additional ring D of 23 clashes with both the carbonyl oxygen and the side group of C-Raf P260 (PDB IDs: 3SP5, 3SMM, 3SMN, and 4IEA).^{149,150}

substance against plants.¹⁴⁵ Years after its discovery as a cytokinin-like substance, this natural product was reported to induce differentiation in human acute myeloid leukemia in both cell culture and mouse models.^{146,147} Interestingly, anticancer properties were found by combining 22 with other agents such as vincristine.¹⁴⁸ The crystal structure of 22 bound to a complex of 14-3-3 with the N-terminal binding motifs of the protein kinase C-Raf published in 2013 gave important structural insight into how 22 can mediate its antitumor activity.¹⁴⁹

The 5-8-5-fused ring system of the fusicoccane scaffold is highly complex, and thus, investigating structural variation in the search for selectivity or enhanced potency is challenging. Nevertheless, structure-based design and semisynthesis have enabled the discovery of potent analogues. For example, semisynthetic derivative 23 (Figure 15A–C) was designed as a “mode III”-specific stabilizer and resulted in a 20-fold stabilization of the interaction between 14-3-3 and the potassium channel TASK3.¹⁵⁰ In this study, a number of other fusicoccane




Figure 17. Compound 29 and derivative 30 stabilize the interaction between 14-3-3 and PMA2. (A) Chemical structures of 28–30. (B) Compound 29 (yellow spheres) in the binding groove of T14-3-3e (green surface) having contact with PMA2 CT30 (blue surface). (C) Close-up of the T14-3-3e/PMA2/29 (green surface/blue surface/yellow sticks) interaction showing the electron density of 29 (gray mesh, $2F_{O}-F_{C}$, contoured at 1.0σ ; PDB ID: 3MS1).^{156,157}




Figure 18. Crystal structure of the 14-3-3 β /ChREBP/31 (AMP) complex. (A) Overview of ChREBP (purple cartoon) and 31 (yellow sticks and semitransparent spheres) bound to a monomer of 14-3-3 β (solid white surface). (B) Detailed view of the contacts between 31 (yellow sticks), ChREBP (purple cartoon and sticks), and 14-3-3 β (white cartoon and sticks). Polar contacts are depicted as black dotted lines (PDB ID: SF74).¹⁵⁸

like Fusicoccin J aglycone (26)¹⁵⁰ and cotylenol (27)¹⁵⁰ (the aglycone of cotylenin) were tested (Figure 15C). The respective crystal structures of the complexes of the different fusicoccans with 14-3-3 and the TASK3 peptide revealed the structural basis for their “mode III” preference (26, 22) or “mode III” specificity (23, Figure 16A). With a C12-dehydroxy fusicoccane like 22, concomitant binding of a “mode I” or “mode II” 14-3-3 partner like C-Raf and consequently its stabilization is possible (Figure 16B). However, hydroxylation of C12, which is present in 21 and 26, introduces a steric conflict with the proline carbonyl oxygen at position +2 C-terminal in the 14-3-3 binding motif of C-Raf (Figure 16C). Whereas this steric conflict might not exclude every “mode I” or “mode II” interaction with 14-3-3, the additional ring system in 23 makes this molecule a specific “mode III” stabilizer whose binding to a 14-3-3/partner protein interface should be significantly hampered with any 14-3-3 interaction motif that extends beyond the +1 position (Figure 16D). Importantly, the utility of 23 could be demonstrated in *Xenopus* oocytes, which have been transfected to express human TASK3. Here, adding $10 \mu\text{M}$ 23 to the culturing solution results in a 45% increase of TASK3 expression in the plasma membrane.¹⁵⁰

More recently, C-12 dehydroxy derivative 24 (Figure 14) was shown to be an analogue well-suited for the stabilization of 14-3-3 interactions with partner proteins bearing internal (or “mode I or II”) binding motifs.¹⁵¹ Biophysical and cellular experiments showed 24 to stabilize the 14-3-3 – Gab2 PPI by a factor of 5.3 and the crystal structure of the ternary complex provided further structural insight.¹⁵¹

The potential of fusicoccane semisynthetics is not limited to the search for potent stabilizers. Ohkanda, Kato, and co-workers elegantly demonstrated the power of intracellular oxime ligation to generate a fusicoccane–peptide hybrid (25) that induced cell death, presumably through inhibition of 14-3-3 PPIs (Figure 14).¹⁵² Interestingly, 25 that does not contain a phosphorylated residue was shown to bind 14-3-3 with a K_d value of $0.37 \mu\text{M}$, stronger even than the interaction with a PMA2-derived phosphopeptide ($K_d = 1.24 \mu\text{M}$).¹⁵² This example not only further highlights the potential for modified peptide inhibitors of 14-3-3 PPIs (i.e., based on ExoS and Tau as discussed above) but also the importance of expanding the fusicoccane toolbox. Perhaps the emergence of new synthetic approaches to sesterterpenes¹⁵³ can be coupled with previous total synthesis efforts¹⁵⁴ to achieve this aim. Or perhaps ever greater

understanding of the structure and function of fusicoccadiene synthase¹⁵⁵ will provide the necessary starting points for diverse synthetic strategies.

Epibestatin, Pyrrolidone1, and Pyrazole34. In 2010, the results of the first high-throughput screening for 14-3-3 PPI stabilizers were published.¹⁵⁶ Out of a library of 37,000 small molecules, two compounds were found by a surface-based format monitoring the binding of GFP-14-3-3 to surface-immobilized PMA2-CT52: Epibestatin (**28**)¹⁵⁶ and Pyrrolidone1 (**29**)¹⁵⁶ (Figure 17). The crystal structures of these two compounds in the 14-3-3/PMA2 complexes revealed two distinctive binding pockets in the 14-3-3/PMA2 protein–protein interface. Two years later, Pyrazole34 (**30**) was published.¹⁵⁷ This was based on optimization of the template of **29** in which the pyrrolidone scaffold had been converted into a more rigid pyrazole ring.¹⁵⁷

AMP/ChREBP. In 2016, the group of Uyeda published the PPI-stabilizing effect of AMP (adenosine monophosphate, Figure 18A) (**31**) toward the complex of 14-3-3 and the carbohydrate-response element-binding protein (ChREBP).¹⁵⁸ ChREBP is a glucose-responsive transcription factor that is implicated in the regulation of fat storage in the liver by facilitating the conversion of carbohydrate to fat.^{159,160} The N-terminal region of ChREBP binds to 14-3-3 proteins and importin, which regulates subcellular localization in response to changing glucose levels. Low glucose leads to phosphorylation of Ser196 of ChREBP by PKA followed by complexation with 14-3-3 and cytoplasmic sequestration.¹⁶¹ In addition to the phosphorylation-dependent regulation of the 14-3-3/ChREBP interaction, a number of metabolites have been shown to influence this PPI, among them β -hydroxybutyrate (β -HB) and acetoacetate (AcAc). Both β -HB and AcAc have been shown to stabilize 14-3-3 binding to ChREBP,¹⁶² a finding that was later extended to **31** including a convincing structural biology explanation for this activity.¹⁵⁸ A very interesting feature of the interaction between 14-3-3 and ChREBP is that it also employs a phosphorylation-independent binding mechanism. Here, the α 2 helix of ChREBP (residues 117–137) binds to the central channel of 14-3-3 β , engages both polar and hydrophobic interactions, and is partly dependent on the presence of a sulfate ion in the phosphate-accepting pocket of 14-3-3.¹⁶³ Using the same crystallization conditions but adding 100 mM **31** during complexation of 14-3-3 β and ChREBP yielded crystals showing that **31** occupies the same place where, in the phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3 complexes, the phosphorylated serine or threonine residues can be found (Figure 18B). The phosphate group binds to Lys51, Arg58, Arg129, and Tyr130 residues, which are also used to accommodate the phosphorylated motifs of 14-3-3 partner proteins. In addition, the adenine ring of **31** interacts with Ser47 of 14-3-3, and maybe most importantly for its PPI stabilizing activity, the phosphate establishes polar contacts with Trp127 and Arg128 of ChREBP. Finally, Asn124 of ChREBP forms a contact with one of the hydroxyl groups of AMP's sugar ring. Compound **31** is thus a direct orthosteric PPI interface stabilizer (Figure 18C).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this perspective, we demonstrated that 14-3-3 proteins are highly relevant targets in drug discovery and provide a valuable tool in chemical biology. By presenting an overview of the wide range of 14-3-3 PPI modulators currently published, we illustrate the increasing evidence for the potential to modulate the activity of key proteins in various physiological processes, including Tau, p53, HSP20, and LRRK2, by targeting their distinct interaction

with 14-3-3. Importantly, in addition to structural insights on the molecular mechanisms of the different small molecules, in a number of cases the influence on biological pathways has been studied, resulting in promising findings in cell-based model systems relevant to treating a variety of diseases (e.g., Alzheimer's disease, various cancers, asthma, and cystic fibrosis). Bearing in mind that the modulation of only a small percentage of the several hundred identified 14-3-3 PPIs has been investigated so far, we believe that this is just the start of the opportunity that 14-3-3 PPI modulation can offer. However, this wide range of opportunities that 14-3-3 interaction partners hold also provides focus to where the biggest obstacles in the field will lie.

The great number of similar binding modes of 14-3-3 PPI partners makes it difficult for small molecule modulation to achieve specificity for one partner over the others. This is most strikingly illustrated by 14-3-3 PPI inhibitors, where binding of a competing molecule in the 14-3-3 binding groove will cause inhibition of binding of most other 14-3-3 binding partners, potentially leading to many side effects. For circumventing the nonspecific targeting of the phospho-binding pocket of 14-3-3 proteins, two approaches can be envisioned for future efforts toward more selective 14-3-3 PPI modulation. First, although based on a somewhat limited set of 14-3-3 crystal structures in complex with larger domain partner proteins, it is becoming clear that 14-3-3 itself is a relatively rigid molecule that allows for the docking of flexible partner proteins onto different surfaces of its dimer. By studying the “hot spots” responsible for the binding of the two partner proteins in these binary structures, we observe that there is a considerable variety of potentially distinct druggable pockets. In this regard, we very recently found that fragments from an NMR-based screen can bind to secondary binding sites outside the central phosphopeptide-accepting binding channel.¹⁶⁴ The identified pockets are located on the upper rim of the 14-3-3 dimer, which is less conserved than the central channel and is used for binding of 14-3-3 to AANAT and FT. Second, the general approach of stabilization of 14-3-3 PPIs is suggested to be likely more beneficial compared to inhibition. This has already been illustrated by several examples where small molecules have been identified that make contacts with both 14-3-3 and the PPI partner. In this manner, they act as molecular glue and thereby provide better opportunities for selectivity. The semisynthesis of the Fusicoccane family provides a great case study for selectivity in the 14-3-3 PPI stabilization; the hydroxylation of C12 promotes a preference for stabilization for C-terminal “mode III” binders over “mode I/II” binders. Furthermore, molecules like **30** may be a good starting point to obtain selectivity over other 14-3-3 PPI partners by expanding the molecule and gaining more contact with the desired 14-3-3 binding partner. To reach the full potential in the field of 14-3-3 PPI modulation, we need to think “out-of-the-binding groove” and explore the rest of the protein. This should be possible by using a combination of high-throughput screening, fragment-based approaches, and rational design, and success will lead to the realization of small molecule inhibition and stabilization of 14-3-3 PPIs as a viable option in drug discovery.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00574.

14-3-3 crystal structures deposited in the PDB (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: c.ottmann@tue.nl. Tel.: +31 40-247 2835.

ORCID 

Maurizio Botta: 0000-0003-0456-6995

Gavin O'Mahony: 0000-0001-5944-1271

Luc Brunsveld: 0000-0001-5675-511X

Christian Ottmann: 0000-0001-7315-0315

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Biographies

Loes M. Stevers received her B.S. (2010) and M.S. (2013) in Biomedical Engineering at Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands. During her M.S., she worked on supramolecular membrane protein dimerization in living cells. Additionally, she did an internship in *in vitro* mapping of interactions between human SNX-BAR proteins at the Institute of Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. She started her Ph.D. research in Chemical Biology at Eindhoven University of Technology in 2013, focusing on characterization and modulation of multivalent 14-3-3 protein–protein interactions.

Eline Sijbesma obtained her M.Sc. degree with honors (*cum laude*) in Biomedical Engineering at the Eindhoven University of Technology. To complete her Master's, she joined the lab of Michelle Arkin, Ph.D. in the Small Molecule Discovery Center at UCSF to initiate a fragment-based drug discovery project that explores protein–protein interactions. At the end of 2015, she returned to the Eindhoven University of Technology and started her Ph.D. research in the group of Prof. Luc Brunsveld in Chemical Biology under the supervision of Dr. Christian Ottmann. The project focuses on 14-3-3 proteins and nuclear receptors and combines chemical biology, drug discovery, and medicinal chemistry aided by structural insights from X-ray crystallography to study the potential and molecular mechanism of PPI stabilization by small molecules.

Maurizio Botta is a Full Professor of Medicinal Chemistry of the University of Siena. He obtained a degree with *laude* in Chemistry at the University of Rome in 1974. In December 1979, he obtained his Ph.D. at the University of New Brunswick under the supervision of Prof. K. Wiesner. He has been a researcher in Organic Chemistry at the University of Rome from 1981 to 1987. From January 2008, he is an Adjunct Professor at the Temple University College of Science and Technology in Philadelphia (USA). From November 2009 to December 2012, he has been Dean of the Faculty of Pharmacy at the University of Siena. He is author of more than 450 papers, 10 publications on volumes, 26 patents, and more than 240 proceedings at congresses.

Carol MacKintosh is Professor of Molecular Signaling in the University of Dundee, where she is also Head of Postgraduate Studies in the School of Life Sciences. Her laboratory is working to define how large sets of 14-3-3–phosphoprotein interactions coordinate diverse responses of human cells and tissues to nutrients, insulin, and growth factors. Following their discovery that the human 14-3-3-interactome is highly enriched in Ohnologues, members of protein families that were generated by the two rounds of whole genome duplication at the origin of the vertebrate animals, Carol's group has developed a special interest in understanding how the 14-3-3-interactome has contributed to the evolution of vertebrate complexity, variety, and polygenic disorders such as diabetes, cancer, and neurological syndromes.

Tomas Obsil received his Ph.D. in Physical Chemistry from the Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic in 1998 after which he performed a postdoctoral stay at the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, USA). In 2002, he returned to Charles University, where he obtained

habilitation in 2007. Since 2014, he is a Professor of Physical Chemistry in the Faculty of Science, Charles University. His current research focuses on investigating the structural basis of 14-3-3 protein-mediated regulation of various signaling proteins.

Isabelle Landrieu received her Ph.D. in Biochemical Engineering (1997) from Liège University (Be) prior to postdoctoral studies at Ghent University (Be) in the department of Plant System Biology lead by Professor D. Inzé. I.L. is currently a CNRS (French National Research Centre) Research Director at Lille University (Fr) and a P.I. in the laboratory of excellence DISTALZ, which includes several French groups involved in Alzheimer's disease research.

Ylenia Cau is a postdoctoral fellow at the University of Siena in the department of Biotechnology, Chemistry, and Pharmacy in the research group of Professor Maurizio Botta. She graduated with *laude* in Pharmaceutical Chemistry at the University of Siena in November 2012 under the supervision of Professor Maurizio Botta. In February 2016, she obtained her Ph.D. in “Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences” at the University of Siena in the same research group with a thesis entitled “*In silico* identification and optimization of 14-3-3 inhibitors through molecular modelling and computational methods”. She is author of six papers.

Andy J. Wilson joined the University of Leeds in 2004 and was promoted to full professor in 2012. He currently serves as Deputy Director of the Astbury Centre. He completed a Ph.D. at Warwick University supervised by Prof. David Leigh FRS before postdoctoral research with Prof. Andrew Hamilton FRS at Yale University and with Prof. E. Meijer and Prof. Rint Sijbesma at Technische Universiteit Eindhoven. His research is concerned with (a) modulating protein–protein interactions, (b) developing fundamental approaches and building blocks for self-assembly, and (c) mechanistic studies of self-assembly using photo-cross-linking. Andy was recognized through the Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC) Bob Hay Lectureship (2012) and the RSC Norman Heatley Award (2016).

Anna Karawajczyk obtained her Ph.D. in chemistry in 2007 from the Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University (NL). Afterward, she worked as a postdoctoral fellow at the Centre for Molecular and Biomolecular Informatics, Computational Drug Discovery, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen and at the Molecular Design & Informatics; Schering-Plough Corporation, Oss. From 2009 to 2013, she worked as a computational chemist in a Medicinal Chemistry group of Lead Pharma BV. At the present time, she is a Principal Scientist in the Medicinal Chemistry Department of Taros Chemicals GmbH & KG and is working in the field of cancer, antibacterials, and fungicides.

Jan Eickhoff studied biochemistry at the University Bayreuth and Imperial College in London followed by Ph.D. studies at the Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry in Martinsried. He started his industrial career in 2001 at Axxima Pharmaceuticals, and GPC Biotech, before he moved to Dortmund in 2008 to build up the screening and sample management department of the Lead Discovery Center GmbH (LDC). Furthermore, he was involved in conceptual planning and implementation of the compound management and screening center of the Max Planck Society (COMAS). In addition to his role as Head of Assay Development and Screening at the LDC. From 2013 to 2015, he was holding a role as managing director of the Hit Discovery Constance GmbH in Constance, Germany.

Jeremy Davis is Director of Hit Discovery and Enabling Technologies at UCB, based in Slough. He obtained his Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry from Southampton University under the guidance of Professor Richard Whitby before joining Celltech as a medicinal chemist in 1993. During this time, Jeremy has worked on a diverse range of protein targets across immunology, inflammation, and oncology disease areas always with a

keen interest in structure-based drug design. His current role as Director of Hit Discovery is to generate small molecule starting points across UCB's target portfolio. In addition, he leads a technology group focused on developing advanced NMR and Mass Spectrometry applications to understand the structure and function of protein–ligand complexes.

Michael Hann completed his Ph.D. in organic chemistry in 1980 and has worked in Pharma R&D initially as a medicinal chemist and then as a computational chemist. He joined Glaxo in 1986 and was responsible for helping initially build and then lead the Computational Chemistry department. More recently, he led the biophysics and protein crystallography activities including developing fragments theory and practice in lead identification. His current role is in looking at new ways to enhance our discovery approaches, reducing attrition, and promoting scientific excellence across the GSK R&D sites. A particular current interest is in understanding drug distribution at cellular and subcellular resolution. Michael is a GSK Senior Fellow and an Adjunct Professor in the Chemistry department at Imperial College London.

Gavin O'Mahony is a Principal Scientist in the Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases Medicinal Chemistry department of the Innovative Medicines and Early Development Biotech Unit at AstraZeneca Gothenburg, Sweden. After B.Sc. and Ph.D. studies in chemistry at Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland, he carried out two years of postdoctoral work in medicinal and nucleoside chemistry at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden. In 2005, he started working at AstraZeneca as a Senior Research Scientist in the Cardiovascular and Gastrointestinal Lead Generation department. He has worked on many targets including the mineralocorticoid receptors GPR40 and PPAR γ . His research interests currently focus on lead generation within the diabetes field, nuclear hormone receptor medicinal chemistry, as well as novel approaches to the small molecule modulation of transcription factor activity.

Richard G. Doveston graduated from the University of Leicester in 2008 with a first class M.Chem. degree in Pharmaceutical chemistry (including a year in industry). He went on to complete a Ph.D. in the group of Prof. Richard J. K. Taylor at the University of York and in 2012 took up a postdoctoral position with Prof. Adam Nelson and Prof. Steve Marsden at the University of Leeds to work in the area of lead-oriented synthesis. In 2015, Richard moved to work in the Chemical Biology Group at the TU/e and was awarded a Marie Curie Fellowship in 2016. His research, carried out under Prof. Luc Brunsveld and Dr. Christian Ottmann, is focused on the discovery and evaluation of novel bioactive small molecules.

Luc Brunsveld is Professor of Chemical Biology at Eindhoven University of Technology after having previously worked at the Organon Research Laboratories (now MSD), the Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology and the Chemical Genomics Centre of the Max Planck Society. His research interests lie at the interfaces of drug discovery, protein assembly, and supramolecular chemistry. Research topics he likes to dive into together with group members and colleagues include nuclear receptors, protein–protein interactions, synthetic signaling systems, and supramolecular protein assemblies. Luc is recipient of the golden medal of the Royal Netherlands Chemical Society and his passions include teaching and research across disciplines.

Christian Ottmann, Ph.D., is Associate Professor for Molecular Cell and Structural Biology at Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands. He works on small molecule modulation of protein–protein interactions (PPIs) with a special focus on stabilization of 14-3-3 adapter protein PPIs. He is involved in early drug discovery projects with the pharmaceutical industry and is coordinator of the FP7 Industry-Academia Partnership and Pathways (IAPP) 14-3-3STABS and the Horizon2020 ETN Targeted Stabilization of Protein–Protein Inter-

actions (TASPPi). Before taking up his current position in Eindhoven, he was a group leader at the Chemical Genomics Centre (CGC) of the Max Planck Society in Dortmund, Germany. He obtained his Ph.D. in 2003 from the University of Tübingen with Prof. Claudia Oecking.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) via Gravity program 024.001.035 and VICI grant 016.150.366 and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via Collaborative Research Centre 1093.

■ ABBREVIATIONS USED

PPI, protein–protein interaction; AANAT, arylalkylamine *N*-acetyltransferase; PMA, plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase; FT, flowering locus T; HSP, heat shock protein; ACD, α -Crystallin domain; NTD, N-terminal domain; CTE, C-terminal extension; ExoS, Exoenzyme S; NFT, neurofibrillary tangle; SMM, small molecule microarray; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; FP, fluorescence polarization; MDR, multidrug resistant; FT, farnesyl transferase; ER α , estrogen receptor α ; CFTR, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; ChREBP, carbohydrate-response element-binding protein; β -HB, β -hydroxybutyrate

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Hatzivassiliou, G.; Song, K.; Yen, I.; Brandhuber, B. J.; Anderson, D. J.; Alvarado, R.; Ludlam, M. J.; Stokoe, D.; Gloor, S. L.; Vigers, G.; Morales, T.; Aliagas, I.; Liu, B.; Sideris, S.; Hoeflich, K. P.; Jaiswal, B. S.; Seshagiri, S.; Koeppen, H.; Belvin, M.; Friedman, L. S.; Malek, S. RAF Inhibitors Prime Wild-Type RAF to Activate the MAPK Pathway and Enhance Growth. *Nature* **2010**, *464* (7287), 431–435.
- (2) Poulikakos, P. I.; Persaud, Y.; Janakiraman, M.; Kong, X.; Ng, C.; Moriceau, G.; Shi, H.; Atefi, M.; Titz, B.; Gabay, M. T.; Salton, M.; Dahlman, K. B.; Tadi, M.; Wargo, J. A.; Flaherty, K. T.; Kelley, M. C.; Misteli, T.; Chapman, P. B.; Sosman, J. A.; Graeber, T. G.; Ribas, A.; Lo, R. S.; Rosen, N.; Solit, D. B. RAF Inhibitor Resistance Is Mediated by Dimerization of Aberrantly Spliced BRAF(V600E). *Nature* **2011**, *480* (7377), 387–390.
- (3) Heidorn, S. J.; Milagre, C.; Whittaker, S.; Nourry, A.; Niculescu-Duvas, I.; Dhomen, N.; Hussain, J.; Reis-Filho, J. S.; Springer, C. J.; Pritchard, C.; Marais, R. Kinase-Dead BRAF and Oncogenic RAS Cooperate to Drive Tumor Progression through CRAF. *Cell* **2010**, *140* (2), 209–221.
- (4) Hopkins, A. L.; Groom, C. R. The Druggable Genome. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2002**, *1* (9), 727–730.
- (5) Overington, J. P.; Al-Lazikani, B.; Hopkins, A. L. How Many Drug Targets Are There? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2006**, *5* (12), 993–996.
- (6) Venkatesan, K.; Rual, J.-F.; Vazquez, A.; Stelzl, U.; Lemmens, I.; Hirozane-Kishikawa, T.; Hao, T.; Zenkner, M.; Xin, X.; Goh, K.-I.; Yildirim, M. A.; Simonis, N.; Heinzmann, K.; Gebreab, F.; Sahalie, J. M.; Cevik, S.; Simon, C.; de Smet, A.-S.; Dann, E.; Smolyar, A.; Vinayagam, A.; Yu, H.; Szteto, D.; Borick, H.; Dricot, A.; Klitgord, N.; Murray, R. R.; Lin, C.; Lalowski, M.; Timm, J.; Rau, K.; Boone, C.; Braun, P.; Cusick, M. E.; Roth, F. P.; Hill, D. E.; Tavernier, J.; Wanker, E. E.; Barabási, A.-L.; Vidal, M. An Empirical Framework for Binary Interactome Mapping. *Nat. Methods* **2009**, *6* (1), 83–90.
- (7) Stumpf, M. P. H.; Thorne, T.; de Silva, E.; Stewart, R.; An, H. J.; Lappe, M.; Wiuf, C. Estimating the Size of the Human Interactome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2008**, *105*, 6959–6964.
- (8) Ottmann, C.; van der Hoorn, R. A. L.; Kaiser, M. The Impact of Plant–pathogen Studies on Medicinal Drug Discovery. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41* (8), 3168.
- (9) Waring, M. J.; Arrowsmith, J.; Leach, A. R.; Leeson, P. D.; Mandrell, S.; Owen, R. M.; Pairedeau, G.; Pennie, W. D.; Pickett, S. D.; Wang, J.; Wallace, O.; Weir, A. An Analysis of the Attrition of Drug Candidates

from Four Major Pharmaceutical Companies. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2015**, *14* (7), 475–486.

(10) Arrowsmith, C. H.; Audia, J. E.; Austin, C.; Baell, J.; Bennett, J.; Blagg, J.; Bountra, C.; Brennan, P. E.; Brown, P. J.; Bunnage, M. E.; Buser-Doepner, C.; Campbell, R. M.; Carter, A. J.; Cohen, P.; Copeland, R. A.; Cravatt, B.; Dahlin, J. L.; Dhanak, D.; Edwards, A. M.; Frye, S. V.; Gray, N.; Grimshaw, C. E.; Hepworth, D.; Howe, T.; Huber, K. V. M.; Jin, J.; Knapp, S.; Kotz, J. D.; Kruger, R. G.; Lowe, D.; Mader, M. M.; Marsden, B.; Mueller-Fahrnow, A.; Muller, S.; O'Hagan, R. C.; Overington, J. P.; Owen, D. R.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Roth, B.; Ross, R.; Schapira, M.; Schreiber, S. L.; Shoichet, B.; Sundstrom, M.; Superti-Furga, G.; Taunton, J.; Toledo-Sherman, L.; Walpole, C.; Walters, M. A.; Willson, T. M.; Workman, P.; Young, R. N.; Zuercher, W. J. The Promise and Peril of Chemical Probes. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *11* (8), 536–541.

(11) Frye, S. V. The Art of the Chemical Probe. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2010**, *6* (3), 159–161.

(12) Edwards, A. M.; Isserlin, R.; Bader, G. D.; Frye, S. V.; Willson, T. M.; Yu, F. H. Too Many Roads Not Taken. *Nature* **2011**, *470* (7333), 163–165.

(13) Filippakopoulos, P.; Qi, J.; Picaud, S.; Shen, Y.; Smith, W. B.; Fedorov, O.; Morse, E. M.; Keates, T.; Hickman, T. T.; Felletar, I.; Philpott, M.; Munro, S.; McKeown, M. R.; Wang, Y.; Christie, A. L.; West, N.; Cameron, M. J.; Schwartz, B.; Heightman, T. D.; La Thangue, N.; French, C. a.; Wiest, O.; Kung, A. L.; Knapp, S.; Bradner, J. E. Selective Inhibition of BET Bromodomains. *Nature* **2010**, *468* (7327), 1067–1073.

(14) Vassilev, L. T.; Vu, B. T.; Craves, B.; Carvajal, D.; Podlaski, F.; Filipovic, Z.; Kong, N.; Kamlott, U.; Lukacs, C.; Klein, C.; Fotouhi, N.; Liu, E. A. In Vivo Activation of the p53 Pathway by Small-Molecule Antagonists of MDM2. *Science* **2004**, *303* (5659), 844–848.

(15) Edfeldt, F. N. B.; Folmer, R. H. A.; Breeze, A. L. Fragment Screening to Predict Druggability (Ligandability) and Lead Discovery Success. *Drug Discovery Today* **2011**, *16* (7–8), 284–287.

(16) Surade, S.; Blundell, T. L. Structural Biology and Drug Discovery of Difficult Targets: The Limits of Ligandability. *Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *19* (1), 42–50.

(17) Clackson, T.; Wells, J. A. A Hot Spot of Binding Energy in a Hormone-Receptor Interface. *Science* **1995**, *267* (5196), 383–386.

(18) Anonymous. Biologic Drugs Set to Top 2012 Sales. *Nat. Med.* **2012**, *18* (5), 636.

(19) Azzarito, V.; Long, K.; Murphy, N. S.; Wilson, A. J. Inhibition of α -Helix-Mediated Protein-Protein Interactions Using Designed Molecules. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5* (3), 161–173.

(20) Arkin, M. R.; Tang, Y.; Wells, J. A. Small-Molecule Inhibitors of Protein-Protein Interactions: Progressing toward the Reality. *Chem. Biol.* **2014**, *21* (9), 1102–1114.

(21) Gopalakrishnan, R.; Frolov, A. I.; Knerr, L.; Drury, W. J.; Valeur, E. Therapeutic Potential of Foldamers: From Chemical Biology Tools to Drug Candidates? *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59* (21), 9599–9621.

(22) Wilson, W. H.; O'Connor, O. A.; Czuczman, M. S.; LaCasce, A. S.; Gerecitano, J. F.; Leonard, J. P.; Tulpule, A.; Dunleavy, K.; Xiong, H.; Chiu, Y. L.; Cui, Y.; Busman, T.; Elmore, S. W.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Krivoshik, A. P.; Enschede, S. H.; Humerickhouse, R. A. Navitoclax, a Targeted High-Affinity Inhibitor of BCL-2, in Lymphoid Malignancies: A Phase 1 Dose-Escalation Study of Safety, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics, and Antitumour Activity. *Lancet Oncol.* **2010**, *11* (12), 1149–1159.

(23) Milroy, L. G.; Grossmann, T. N.; Hennig, S.; Brunsveld, L.; Ottmann, C. Modulators of Protein-Protein Interactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (9), 4695–4748.

(24) Thiel, P.; Kaiser, M.; Ottmann, C. Small-Molecule Stabilization of Protein-Protein Interactions: An Underestimated Concept in Drug Discovery? *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51* (9), 2012–2018.

(25) Thompson, A. D.; Dugan, A.; Gestwicki, J. E.; Mapp, A. K. Fine-Tuning Multiprotein Complexes Using Small Molecules. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *7* (8), 1311–1320.

(26) Pelay-Gimeno, M.; Glas, A.; Koch, O.; Grossmann, T. N. Structure-Based Design of Inhibitors of Protein-Protein Interactions:

Mimicking Peptide Binding Epitopes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (31), 8896–8927.

(27) Aeluri, M.; Chamakuri, S.; Dasari, B.; Guduru, S. K. R.; Jimmidi, R.; Jogula, S.; Arya, P. Small Molecule Modulators of Protein-Protein Interactions: Selected Case Studies. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (9), 4640–4694.

(28) Nussinov, R.; Tsai, C.-J. Allostery in Disease and in Drug Discovery. *Cell* **2013**, *153* (2), 293–305.

(29) Samatar, A. A.; Poulidakos, P. I. Targeting RAS–ERK Signalling in Cancer: Promises and Challenges. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2014**, *13* (12), 928–942.

(30) Ray-Coquard, I.; Blay, J. Y.; Italiano, A.; Le Cesne, A.; Penel, N.; Zhi, J.; Heil, F.; Rueger, R.; Graves, B.; Ding, M.; Geho, D.; Middleton, S. A.; Vassilev, L. T.; Nichols, G. L.; Bui, B. N. Effect of the MDM2 Antagonist RG7112 on the P53 Pathway in Patients with MDM2-Amplified, Well-Differentiated or Dedifferentiated Liposarcoma: An Exploratory Proof-of-Mechanism Study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2012**, *13* (11), 1133–1140.

(31) Souers, A. J.; Levenson, J. D.; Boghaert, E. R.; Ackler, S. L.; Catron, N. D.; Chen, J.; Dayton, B. D.; Ding, H.; Enschede, S. H.; Fairbrother, W. J.; Huang, D. C. S.; Hymowitz, S. G.; Jin, S.; Khaw, S. L.; Kovar, P. J.; Lam, L. T.; Lee, J.; Maecker, H. L.; Marsh, K. C.; Mason, K. D.; Mitten, M. J.; Nimmer, P. M.; Aleksijew, A.; Park, C. H.; Park, C.-M.; Phillips, D. C.; Roberts, A. W.; Sampath, D.; Seymour, J. F.; Smith, M. L.; Sullivan, G. M.; Tahir, S. K.; Tse, C.; Wendt, M. D.; Xiao, Y.; Xue, J. C.; Zhang, H.; Humerickhouse, R. a.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Elmore, S. W. ABT-199, a Potent and Selective BCL-2 Inhibitor, Achieves Antitumor Activity While Sparing Platelets. *Nat. Med.* **2013**, *19* (2), 202–208.

(32) Mirguet, O.; Gosmini, R.; Toum, J.; Clément, C. A.; Barnathan, M.; Brusq, J. M.; Mordaunt, J. E.; Grimes, R. M.; Crowe, M.; Pineau, O.; Ajakane, M.; Daugan, A.; Jeffrey, P.; Cutler, L.; Haynes, A. C.; Smithers, N. N.; Chung, C. W.; Bamorough, P.; Uings, I. J.; Lewis, A.; Witherington, J.; Parr, N.; Prinjha, R. K.; Nicodème, E. Discovery of Epigenetic Regulator I-bet762: Lead Optimization to Afford a Clinical Candidate Inhibitor of the Bet Bromodomains. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56* (19), 7501–7515.

(33) Lipinski, C. A.; Lombardo, F.; Dominy, B. W.; Feeney, P. J. Experimental and Computational Approaches to Estimate Solubility and Permeability in Drug Discovery and Development Setting. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **1997**, *23*, 3–25.

(34) Nogales, E.; Wolf, S. G.; Khan, I. A.; Ludueña, R. F.; Downing, K. H. Structure of Tubulin at 6.5 Å and Location of the Taxol-Binding Site. *Nature* **1995**, *375* (6530), 424–427.

(35) Scheuermann, T. H.; Li, Q.; Ma, H.-W.; Key, J.; Zhang, L.; Chen, R.; Garcia, J. a.; Naidoo, J.; Longgood, J.; Frantz, D. E.; Tambar, U. K.; Gardner, K. H.; Bruick, R. K. Allosteric Inhibition of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-2 with Small Molecules. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *9* (4), 271–276.

(36) Cho, H.; Du, X.; Rizzi, J. P.; Liberzon, E.; Chakraborty, A. A.; Gao, W.; Carvo, I.; Signoretti, S.; Bruick, R.; Josey, J. A.; Wallace, E. M.; Kaelin, W. G., Jr. On-Target Efficacy of a HIF2 α Antagonist in Preclinical Kidney Cancer Models. *Nature* **2016**, *539* (7627), 107–111.

(37) Chen, W.; Hill, H.; Christie, A.; Kim, M. S.; Holloman, E.; Pavia-Jimenez, A.; Homayoun, F.; Ma, Y.; Patel, N.; Yell, P.; Hao, G.; Yousef, Q.; Joyce, A.; Pedrosa, I.; Geiger, H.; Zhang, H.; Chang, J.; Gardner, K. H.; Bruick, R. K.; Reeves, C.; Hwang, T. H.; Courtney, K.; Frenkel, E.; Sun, X.; Zojwalla, N.; Wong, T.; Rizzi, J. P.; Wallace, E. M.; Josey, J. A.; Xie, Y.; Xie, X.-J.; Kapur, P.; McKay, R. M.; Brugarolas, J. Targeting Renal Cell Carcinoma with a HIF-2 Antagonist. *Nature* **2016**, *539* (7627), 112–117.

(38) Renault, L.; Guibert, B.; Cherfils, J. Structural Snapshots of the Mechanism and Inhibition of a Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor. *Nature* **2003**, *426* (6966), 525–530.

(39) Tesmer, J. J.; Sunahara, R. K.; Johnson, R. A.; Gosselin, G.; Gilman, A. G.; Sprang, S. R.; Gosselin, G.; Gilman, A. G.; Sprang, S. R. Two-Metal-Ion Catalysis in Adenylyl Cyclase. *Science* **1999**, *285* (5428), 756–760.

(40) Choi, J.; Chen, J.; Schreiber, S. L. S.; Clardy, J.; Clardy, J. Structure of the FKBP12-Rapamycin Complex Interacting with the Binding Domain of Human FRAP. *Science* **1996**, *273*, 239–242.

- (41) Johnson, S. M.; Wiseman, R. L.; Sekijima, Y.; Green, N. S.; Adamski-Werner, S. L.; Kelly, J. W. Native State Kinetic Stabilization as a Strategy to Ameliorate Protein Misfolding Diseases: A Focus on the Transthyretin Amyloidosis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *38* (12), 911–921.
- (42) Green, N. S.; Palaninathan, S. K.; Sacchetti, J. C.; Kelly, J. W. Synthesis and Characterization of Potent Bivalent Amyloidosis Inhibitors That Bind Prior to Transthyretin Tetramerization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125* (44), 13404–13414.
- (43) Tovar, C.; Graves, B.; Packman, K.; Filipovic, Z.; Xia, B. H. M.; Tardell, C.; Garrido, R.; Lee, E.; Kolinsky, K.; To, K. H.; Linn, M.; Podlaski, F.; Wovkulich, P.; Vu, B.; Vassilev, L. T. MDM2 Small-Molecule Antagonist RG7112 Activates p53 Signaling and Regresses Human Tumors in Preclinical Cancer Models. *Cancer Res.* **2013**, *73* (8), 2587–2597.
- (44) Hermeking, H.; Benzinger, A. 14-3-3 Proteins in Cell Cycle Regulation. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2006**, *16* (3), 183–192.
- (45) Aitken, A. 14-3-3 Proteins: A Historic Overview. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2006**, *16* (3), 162–172.
- (46) Yaffe, M. B.; Rittinger, K.; Volinia, S.; Caron, P. R.; Aitken, A.; Leffers, H.; Gambin, S. J.; Smerdon, S. J.; Cantley, L. C. The Structural Basis for 14-3-3:phosphopeptide Binding Specificity. *Cell* **1997**, *91* (7), 961–971.
- (47) Freed, E.; Symons, M.; Macdonald, S. G.; McCormick, F.; Ruggieri, R. Binding of 14-3-3 Proteins to the Protein Kinase Raf and Effects on Its Activation. *Science* **1994**, *265* (5179), 1713–1716.
- (48) Molzan, M.; Schumacher, B.; Ottmann, C.; Baljuls, A.; Polzien, L.; Weyand, M.; Thiel, P.; Rose, R.; Rose, M.; Kuhenne, P.; Kaiser, M.; Rapp, U. R.; Kuhlmann, J.; Ottmann, C. Impaired Binding of 14-3-3 to C-RAF in Noonan Syndrome Suggests New Approaches in Diseases with Increased Ras Signaling. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2010**, *30* (19), 4698–4711.
- (49) Andrews, R. K.; Du, X.; Berndt, M. C. The 14-3-3 ζ -GPIb-IX-V Complex as an Antiplatelet Target. *Drug News Perspect.* **2007**, *20* (5), 285–292.
- (50) Conklin, D. S. 14-3-3 Proteins Associate with cdc25 Phosphatases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1995**, *92* (17), 7892–7896.
- (51) Vassilev, A.; Kaneko, K. J.; Shu, H.; Zhao, Y.; DePamphilis, M. L. TEAD/TEF Transcription Factors Utilize the Activation Domain of YAP65, a Src/Yes-Associated Protein Localized in the Cytoplasm. *Genes Dev.* **2001**, *15* (10), 1229–1241.
- (52) Schumacher, B.; Skwarczynska, M.; Rose, R.; Ottmann, C. Structure of a 14-3-3 σ -YAP Phosphopeptide Complex at 1.15 Å Resolution. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. F: Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun.* **2010**, *66* (9), 978–984.
- (53) Rajagopalan, S.; Sade, R. S.; Townsley, F. M.; Fersht, A. R. Mechanistic Differences in the Transcriptional Activation of p53 by 14-3-3 Isoforms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38* (3), 893–906.
- (54) Schumacher, B.; Mondry, J.; Thiel, P.; Weyand, M.; Ottmann, C. Structure of the p53 C-Terminus Bound to 14-3-3: Implications for Stabilization of the p53 Tetramer. *FEBS Lett.* **2010**, *584* (8), 1443–1448.
- (55) Stevers, L. M.; Lam, C. V.; Leysen, S. F. R.; Meijer, F. A.; van Scheppingen, D. S.; de Vries, R. M. J. M.; Carlile, G. W.; Milroy, L. G.; Thomas, D. Y.; Brunsveld, L.; Ottmann, C. Characterization and Small-Molecule Stabilization of the Multisite Tandem Binding between 14-3-3 and the R Domain of CFTR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2016**, *113* (9), E1152–E1161.
- (56) Sluchanko, N. N.; Beelen, S.; Kulikova, A. A.; Weeks, S. D.; Antson, A. A.; Gusev, N. B.; Strelkov, S. V. Structural Basis for the Interaction of a Human Small Heat Shock Protein with the 14-3-3 Universal Signaling Regulator. *Structure* **2017**, *25* (2), 305–316.
- (57) Kacirova, M.; Kosek, D.; Kadek, A.; Man, P.; Vecer, J.; Herman, P.; Obsilova, V.; Obsil, T. Structural Characterization of Phosducin and Its Complex with the 14-3-3 Protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2015**, *290* (26), 16246–16260.
- (58) Stevers, L. M.; de Vries, R. M. J. M.; Doveston, R. G.; Milroy, L. G.; Brunsveld, L.; Ottmann, C. Structural Interface between LRRK2 and 14-3-3 Protein. *Biochem. J.* **2017**, *474* (7), 1273–1287.
- (59) Johnson, C.; Tinti, M.; Wood, N. T.; Campbell, D. G.; Toth, R.; Dubois, F.; Geraghty, K. M.; Wong, B. H. C.; Brown, L. J.; Tyler, J.; Gernez, A.; Chen, S.; Synowsky, S.; MacKintosh, C. Visualization and Biochemical Analyses of the Emerging Mammalian 14-3-3-Phosphoproteome. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2011**, *10* (10), M110.005751.
- (60) Johnson, C.; Crowther, S.; Stafford, M. J.; Campbell, D. G.; Toth, R.; MacKintosh, C. Bioinformatic and Experimental Survey of 14-3-3-Binding Sites. *Biochem. J.* **2010**, *427* (1), 69–78.
- (61) Molzan, M.; Ottmann, C. Synergistic Binding of the Phosphorylated S233- and S259-Binding Sites of C-RAF to One 14-3-3 ζ Dimer. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2012**, *423* (4), 486–495.
- (62) Larance, M.; Rowland, A. F.; Hoehn, K. L.; Humphreys, D. T.; Preiss, T.; Guilhaus, M.; James, D. E. Global Phosphoproteomics Identifies a Major Role for AKT and 14-3-3 in Regulating EDC3. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2010**, *9* (4), 682–694.
- (63) Chen, S.; Synowsky, S.; Tinti, M.; MacKintosh, C. The Capture of Phosphoproteins by 14-3-3 Proteins Mediates Actions of Insulin. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **2011**, *22* (11), 429–436.
- (64) Chen, S.; Murphy, J.; Toth, R.; Campbell, D. G.; Morrice, N. A.; MacKintosh, C. Complementary Regulation of TBC1D1 and AS160 by Growth Factors, Insulin and AMPK Activators. *Biochem. J.* **2008**, *409* (2), 449–459.
- (65) Berg, D.; Holzmann, C.; Riess, O. 14-3-3 Proteins in the Nervous System. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2003**, *4* (9), 752–762.
- (66) Fu, H.; Coburn, J.; Collier, R. J. The Eukaryotic Host Factor That Activates Exoenzyme S of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Is a Member of the 14-3-3 Protein Family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1993**, *90* (6), 2320–2324.
- (67) Ottmann, C.; Yasmin, L.; Weyand, M.; Veessenmeyer, J. L.; Diaz, M. H.; Palmer, R. H.; Francis, M. S.; Hauser, A. R.; Wittinghofer, A.; Hallberg, B. Phosphorylation-Independent Interaction between 14-3-3 and Exoenzyme S: From Structure to Pathogenesis. *EMBO J.* **2007**, *26* (3), 902–913.
- (68) Siles-Lucas, M. D. M.; Gottstein, B. The 14-3-3 Protein: A Key Molecule in Parasites as in Other Organisms. *Trends Parasitol.* **2003**, *19* (12), 575–581.
- (69) Auburn, S.; Barry, A. E. Dissecting Malaria Biology and Epidemiology Using Population Genetics and Genomics. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2016**, *47* (2–3), 77–85.
- (70) Lalle, M.; Currà, C.; Ciccarone, F.; Pace, T.; Cecchetti, S.; Fantozzi, L.; Ay, B.; Breton, C. B.; Ponzi, M. Dematin, a Component of the Erythrocyte Membrane Skeleton, Is Internalized by the Malaria Parasite and Associates with Plasmodium 14-3-3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286* (2), 1227–1236.
- (71) Lal, K.; Bromley, E.; Oakes, R.; Prieto, J. H.; Sanderson, S. J.; Kurian, D.; Hunt, L.; Yates, J. R.; Wastling, J. M.; Sinden, R. E.; Tomley, F. M. Proteomic Comparison of Four *Eimeria tenella* Life-Cycle Stages: Unsporulated Oocyst, Sporulated Oocyst, Sporozoite and Second-Generation Merozoite. *Proteomics* **2009**, *9* (19), 4566–4576.
- (72) Hill, D.; Dubey, J. P. *Toxoplasma Gondii*: Transmission, Diagnosis, and Prevention. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2002**, *8* (10), 634–640.
- (73) Assossou, O.; Besson, F.; Rouault, J. P.; Persat, F.; Brisson, C.; Duret, L.; Ferrandiz, J.; Mayençon, M.; Peyron, F.; Picot, S. Subcellular Localization of 14-3-3 Proteins in *Toxoplasma Gondii* Tachyzoites and Evidence for a Lipid Raft-Associated Form. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2003**, *224* (2), 161–168.
- (74) Weidner, J. M.; Kanatani, S.; Uchtenhagen, H.; Varas-Godoy, M.; Schulte, T.; Engelberg, K.; Gubbels, M. J.; Sun, H. S.; Harrison, R. E.; Achour, A.; Barragan, A. Migratory Activation of Parasitized Dendritic Cells by the Protozoan *Toxoplasma Gondii* 14-3-3 Protein. *Cell. Microbiol.* **2016**, *18* (11), 1537–1550.
- (75) Bulacki, M.; Kartal, M. G.; Yilmaz, S.; Yilmaz, E.; Yilmaz, R.; Sahin, D.; Asik, M.; Erol, O. B. Multimodality Imaging in Diagnosis and Management of Alveolar Echinococcosis: An Update. *Diagn. Interv. Radiol.* **2016**, *22* (3), 247–256.
- (76) Siles-Lucas, M.; Felleisen, R. S. J.; Hemphill, A.; Wilson, W.; Gottstein, B. Stage-Specific Expression of the 14-3-3 Gene in *Echinococcus Multilocularis*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **1998**, *91* (2), 281–293.

- (77) Siles-Lucas, M.; Nunes, C. P.; Zaha, A. Comparative Analysis of the 14-3-3 Gene and Its Expression in *Echinococcus Granulosus* and *Echinococcus Multilocularis* Metacestodes. *Parasitology* **2001**, *122* (Pt 3), 281–287.
- (78) McGonigle, S.; Pearce, E. J. 14-3-3 Proteins in *Schistosoma Mansoni*; Identification of a Second Epsilon Isoform. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2002**, *32* (6), 685–693.
- (79) Yang, J.; Pan, W.; Sun, X.; Zhao, X.; Yuan, G.; Sun, Q.; Huang, J.; Zhu, X. Immunoproteomic Profile of *Trichinella Spiralis* Adult Worm Proteins Recognized by Early Infection Sera. *Parasites Vectors* **2015**, *8* (1), 20.
- (80) Broxk, S. J.; Wernimont, A. K.; Dong, A.; Wasney, G. A.; Lin, Y. H.; Lew, J.; Vedadi, M.; Lee, W. H.; Hui, R. Characterization of 14-3-3 Proteins from *Cryptosporidium Parvum*. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6* (8), e14827.
- (81) Scallan, E.; Hoekstra, R. M.; Angulo, F. J.; Tauxe, R. V.; Widdowson, M. A.; Roy, S. L.; Jones, J. L.; Griffin, P. M. Foodborne Illness Acquired in the United States-Major Pathogens. *Emerging Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *17* (1), 7–15.
- (82) Halliez, M. C. M.; Buret, A. G. Extra-Intestinal and Long Term Consequences of *Giardia Duodenalis* Infections. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2013**, *19* (47), 8974–8985.
- (83) Escobedo, A. A.; Almirall, P.; Robertson, L. J.; Franco, R. M. B.; Hanevik, K.; Mørch, K.; Cimerman, S. Giardiasis: The Ever-Present Threat of a Neglected Disease. *Infect. Disord.: Drug Targets* **2010**, *10* (5), 329–348.
- (84) Cau, Y.; Fiorillo, A.; Mori, M.; Ilari, A.; Botta, M.; Lalle, M. Molecular Dynamics Simulations and Structural Analysis of *Giardia Duodenalis* 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interactions. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2015**, *55* (12), 2611–2622.
- (85) Fiorillo, A.; Di Marino, D.; Bertuccini, L.; Via, A.; Pozio, E.; Camerini, S.; Ilari, A.; Lalle, M. The Crystal Structure of *Giardia Duodenalis* 14-3-3 in the Apo Form: When Protein Post-Translational Modifications Make the Difference. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9* (3), e92902.
- (86) Morrison, D. K. The 14-3-3 Proteins: Integrators of Diverse Signaling Cues That Impact Cell Fate and Cancer Development. *Trends Cell Biol.* **2009**, *19* (1), 16–23.
- (87) Coblitz, B.; Wu, M.; Shikano, S.; Li, M. C-Terminal Binding: An Expanded Repertoire and Function of 14-3-3 Proteins. *FEBS Lett.* **2006**, *580* (6), 1531–1535.
- (88) Tinti, M.; Madeira, F.; Murugesan, G.; Hoxhaj, G.; Toth, R.; MacKintosh, C. ANIA: ANnotation and Integrated Analysis of the 14-3-3 Interactome. *Database* **2014**, *bat085*, bat085.
- (89) Obsil, T.; Ghirlando, R.; Klein, D. C.; Ganguly, S.; Dyda, F. Crystal Structure of the 14-3-3 ζ :serotonin N-Acetyltransferase Complex. A Role for Scaffolding in Enzyme Regulation. *Cell* **2001**, *105* (2), 257–267.
- (90) Wilker, E. W.; Grant, R. A.; Artim, S. C.; Yaffe, M. B. A Structural Basis for 14-3-3 σ Functional Specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280* (19), 18891–18898.
- (91) Arendt, J. Melatonin in Humans: It's about Time. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* **2005**, *17* (8), 537–538.
- (92) Ooms, S.; Ju, Y.-E. Treatment of Sleep Disorders in Dementia. *Curr. Treat. Options Neurol.* **2016**, *18* (9), 40.
- (93) Falhof, J.; Pedersen, J. T.; Fuglsang, A. T.; Palmgren, M. Plasma Membrane H⁺-ATPase Regulation in the Center of Plant Physiology. *Mol. Plant* **2016**, *9* (3), 323–337.
- (94) Palmgren, M. G. PLANT PLASMA MEMBRANE H⁺-ATPases: Powerhouses for Nutrient Uptake. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **2001**, *52*, 817–845.
- (95) Arango, M.; Gévaudant, F.; Oufattole, M.; Boutry, M. The Plasma Membrane Proton Pump ATPase: The Significance of Gene Subfamilies. *Planta* **2003**, *216* (3), 355–365.
- (96) Sondergaard, T. E.; Schulz, A.; Palmgren, M. G. Energization of Transport Processes in Plants. Roles of the Plasma Membrane H⁺-ATPase. *Plant Physiol.* **2004**, *136* (1), 2475–2482.
- (97) DUBY, G.; Boutry, M. The Plant Plasma Membrane Proton Pump ATPase: A Highly Regulated P-Type ATPase with Multiple Physiological Roles. *Pflügers Arch.* **2009**, *457* (3), 645–655.
- (98) Jahn, T.; Fuglsang, A. T.; Olsson, A.; Brüntrup, I. M.; Collinge, D. B.; Volkmann, D.; Sommarin, M.; Palmgren, M. G.; Larsson, C. The 14-3-3 Protein Interacts Directly with the C-Terminal Region of the Plant Plasma Membrane H(+)-ATPase. *Plant Cell* **1997**, *9* (10), 1805–1814.
- (99) Piotrowski, M.; Morsomme, P.; Boutry, M.; Oecking, C. Complementation of the *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* Plasma Membrane H⁺-ATPase by a Plant H⁺-ATPase Generates a Highly Abundant Fusicocin Binding Site. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273* (45), 30018–30023.
- (100) Olsson, A.; Svnnelid, F.; Ek, B.; Sommarin, M.; Larsson, C. A Phosphothreonine Residue at the C-Terminal End of the Plasma Membrane H⁺-ATPase Is Protected by Fusicocin-Induced 14-3-3 Binding. *Plant Physiol.* **1998**, *118* (2), 551–555.
- (101) Svnnelid, F.; Olsson, A.; Piotrowski, M.; Rosenquist, M.; Ottman, C.; Larsson, C.; Oecking, C.; Sommarin, M. Phosphorylation of Thr-948 at the C Terminus of the Plasma Membrane H(+)-ATPase Creates a Binding Site for the Regulatory 14-3-3 Protein. *Plant Cell* **1999**, *11* (12), 2379–2391.
- (102) Würtele, M.; Jelich-Ottmann, C.; Wittinghofer, A.; Oecking, C. Structural View of a Fungal Toxin Acting on a 14-3-3 Regulatory Complex. *EMBO J.* **2003**, *22* (5), 987–994.
- (103) Ottmann, C.; Marco, S.; Jaspert, N.; Marcon, C.; Schauer, N.; Weyand, M.; Vandermeeren, C.; DUBY, G.; Boutry, M.; Wittinghofer, A.; Rigaud, J.-L.; Oecking, C. Structure of a 14-3-3 Coordinated Hexamer of the Plant Plasma Membrane H⁺-ATPase by Combining X-Ray Crystallography and Electron Cryomicroscopy. *Mol. Cell* **2007**, *25* (3), 427–440.
- (104) Chailakhyan, M. K. About the Mechanism of the Photoperiodic Response (in Russian). *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR* **1936**, *1*, 85–89.
- (105) Kobayashi, Y.; Weigel, D. Move on Up, It's Time for Change—Mobile Signals Controlling Photoperiod-Dependent Flowering. *Genes Dev.* **2007**, *21* (19), 2371–2384.
- (106) Tamaki, S.; Matsuo, S.; Wong, H. L.; Yokoi, S.; Shimamoto, K. Hd3a Protein Is a Mobile Flowering Signal in Rice. *Science* **2007**, *316* (5827), 1033–1036.
- (107) Taoka, K.; Ohki, I.; Tsuji, H.; Furuita, K.; Hayashi, K.; Yanase, T.; Yamaguchi, M.; Nakashima, C.; Purwestri, Y. A.; Tamaki, S.; Ogaki, Y.; Shimada, C.; Nakagawa, A.; Kojima, C.; Shimamoto, K. 14-3-3 Proteins Act as Intracellular Receptors for Rice Hd3a Florigen. *Nature* **2011**, *476* (7360), 332–335.
- (108) Ottmann, C.; Marco, S.; Jaspert, N.; Marcon, C.; Schauer, N.; Weyand, M.; Vandermeeren, C.; DUBY, G.; Boutry, M.; Wittinghofer, A.; Rigaud, J. L.; Oecking, C. Structure of a 14-3-3 Coordinated Hexamer of the Plant Plasma Membrane H⁺-ATPase by Combining X-Ray Crystallography and Electron Cryomicroscopy. *Mol. Cell* **2007**, *25* (3), 427–440.
- (109) Bakthisaran, R.; Tangirala, R.; Rao, C. M. Small Heat Shock Proteins: Role in Cellular Functions and Pathology. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics* **2015**, *1854* (4), 291–319.
- (110) Chernik, I. S.; Seit-Nebi, A. S.; Marston, S. B.; Gusev, N. B. Small Heat Shock Protein Hsp20 (HspB6) as a Partner of 14-3-3 γ . *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **2007**, *295* (1–2), 9–17.
- (111) Wang, B.; Yang, H.; Liu, Y. C.; Jelinek, T.; Zhang, L.; Ruoslahti, E.; Fu, H. Isolation of High-Affinity Peptide Antagonists of 14-3-3 Proteins by Phage Display. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38* (38), 12499–12504.
- (112) Petosa, C.; Masters, S. C.; Bankston, L. A.; Pohl, J.; Wang, B.; Fu, H.; Liddington, R. C. 14-3-3 ζ Binds a Phosphorylated Raf Peptide and an Unphosphorylated Peptide via Its Conserved Amphiphatic Groove. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273* (26), 16305–16310.
- (113) Masters, S. C.; Fu, H. 14-3-3 Proteins Mediate an Essential Anti-Apoptotic Signal. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276* (48), 45193–45200.
- (114) Cao, W.; Yang, X.; Zhou, J.; Teng, Z.; Cao, L.; Zhang, X.; Fei, Z. Targeting 14-3-3 Protein, Difoepin Induces Apoptosis of Human Glioma Cells and Suppresses Tumor Growth in Mice. *Apoptosis* **2010**, *15* (2), 230–241.
- (115) Glas, A.; Bier, D.; Hahne, G.; Rademacher, C.; Ottmann, C.; Grossmann, T. N. Constrained Peptides with Target-Adapted Cross-Links as Inhibitors of a Pathogenic Protein-Protein Interaction. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (9), 2489–2493.

- (116) Cromm, P. M.; Wallraven, K.; Glas, A.; Bier, D.; Fürstner, A.; Ottmann, C.; Grossmann, T. N. Constraining an Irregular Peptide Secondary Structure through Ring-Closing Alkyne Metathesis. *Chem-BioChem* **2016**, *17* (20), 1915–1919.
- (117) Layfield, R.; Fergusson, J.; Aitken, A.; Lowe, J.; Landon, M.; Mayer, R. J. Neurofibrillary Tangles of Alzheimer's Disease Brains Contain 14-3-3 Proteins. *Neurosci. Lett.* **1996**, *209* (1), 57–60.
- (118) Sadik, G.; Tanaka, T.; Kato, K.; Yamamori, H.; Nessa, B. N.; Morihara, T.; Takeda, M. Phosphorylation of Tau at Ser214 Mediates Its Interaction with 14-3-3 Protein: Implications for the Mechanism of Tau Aggregation. *J. Neurochem.* **2009**, *108* (1), 33–43.
- (119) Sluchanko, N. N.; Seit-Nebi, A. S.; Gusev, N. B. Phosphorylation of More than One Site Is Required for Tight Interaction of Human Tau Protein with 14-3-3 ζ . *FEBS Lett.* **2009**, *583* (17), 2739–2742.
- (120) Joo, Y.; Schumacher, B.; Landrieu, I.; Bartel, M.; Smet-Nocca, C.; Jang, A.; Choi, H. S.; Jeon, N. L.; Chang, K. A.; Kim, H. S.; Ottmann, C.; Suh, Y. H. Involvement of 14-3-3 in Tubulin Instability and Impaired Axon Development Is Mediated by Tau. *FASEB J.* **2015**, *29* (10), 4133–4144.
- (121) Milroy, L. G.; Bartel, M.; Henen, M. A.; Leysen, S.; Adriaans, J. M. C.; Brunsveld, L.; Landrieu, I.; Ottmann, C. Stabilizer-Guided Inhibition of Protein-Protein Interactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (52), 15720–15724.
- (122) Wu, H.; Ge, J.; Yao, S. Q. Microarray-Assisted High-Throughput Identification of a Cell-Permeable Small-Molecule Binder of 14-3-3 Proteins. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49* (37), 6528–6532.
- (123) Arrendale, A.; Kim, K.; Choi, J. Y.; Li, W.; Geahlen, R. L.; Borch, R. F. Synthesis of a Phosphoserine Mimetic Prodrug with Potent 14-3-3 Protein Inhibitory Activity. *Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *19* (6), 764–771.
- (124) Corradi, V.; Mancini, M.; Manetti, F.; Petta, S.; Santucci, M. A.; Botta, M. Identification of the First Non-Peptidic Small Molecule Inhibitor of the c-Abl/14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interactions Able to Drive Sensitive and Imatinib-Resistant Leukemia Cells to Apoptosis. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20* (20), 6133–6137.
- (125) Mancini, M.; Corradi, V.; Petta, S.; Barbieri, E.; Manetti, F.; Botta, M.; Santucci, M. A. A New Nonpeptidic Inhibitor of 14-3-3 Induces Apoptotic Cell Death in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Sensitive or Resistant to Imatinib. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2011**, *336* (3), 596–604.
- (126) Corradi, V.; Mancini, M.; Santucci, M. A.; Carlomagno, T.; Sanfelice, D.; Mori, M.; Vignaroli, G.; Falchi, F.; Manetti, F.; Radi, M.; Botta, M. Computational Techniques Are Valuable Tools for the Discovery of Protein-Protein Interaction Inhibitors: The 14-3-3 σ Case. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21* (22), 6867–6871.
- (127) Mori, M.; Vignaroli, G.; Cau, Y.; Dinić, J.; Hill, R.; Rossi, M.; Colecchia, D.; Pešić, M.; Link, W.; Chiariello, M.; Ottmann, C.; Botta, M. Discovery of 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interaction Inhibitors That Sensitize Multidrug-Resistant Cancer Cells to Doxorubicin and the Akt Inhibitor GSK690693. *ChemMedChem* **2014**, *9* (5), 973–983.
- (128) Valensin, D.; Cau, Y.; Calandro, P.; Vignaroli, G.; Dello Iacono, L.; Chiariello, M.; Mori, M.; Botta, M. Molecular Insights to the Bioactive Form of BV02, a Reference Inhibitor of 14-3-3 σ Protein-Protein Interactions. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *26* (3), 894–898.
- (129) An, S. S.; Askovich, P. S.; Zarebinski, T. I.; Ahn, K.; Peltier, J. M.; von Rechenberg, M.; Sahasrabudhe, S.; Fredberg, J. J. A Novel Small Molecule Target in Human Airway Smooth Muscle for Potential Treatment of Obstructive Lung Diseases: A Staged High-Throughput Biophysical Screening. *Respir. Res.* **2011**, *12* (1), 8.
- (130) Zhao, J.; Du, Y.; Horton, J. R. R.; Upadhyay, A. K. K.; Lou, B.; Bai, Y.; Zhang, X.; Du, L.; Li, M.; Wang, B.; et al. Discovery and Structural Characterization of a Small Molecule 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interaction Inhibitor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2011**, *108* (39), 16212–16216.
- (131) Kim, Y.-C.; Camaioni, E.; Ziganshin, A. U.; Ji, X.-D.; King, B. F.; Wildman, S. S.; Rychkov, A.; Yoburn, J.; Kim, H.; Mohanram, A.; Harden, T. K.; Boyer, J. L.; Burnstock, G.; Jacobson, K. A. Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of Pyridoxal-6-Arylazo-5'-phosphate and Phosphonate Derivatives as P2 Receptor Antagonists. *Drug Dev. Res.* **1998**, *45* (2), 52–66.
- (132) Röglin, L.; Thiel, P.; Kohlbacher, O.; Ottmann, C. Covalent Attachment of Pyridoxal-Phosphate Derivatives to 14-3-3 Proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2012**, *109* (18), E1051–3 author reply E1054.
- (133) Upadhyay, A. K.; Horton, J. R.; Du, Y.; Bai, Y.; Cheng, X.; Fu, H. Reply to Roglin et Al.: Synchrotron Radiation-Induced Covalent Modification of 14-3-3 by Diazene Compounds Containing Pyridoxal Phosphate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2012**, *109* (18), E1054–E1054.
- (134) Takemoto, Y.; Watanabe, H.; Uchida, K.; Matsumura, K.; Nakae, K.; Tashiro, E.; Shindo, K.; Kitahara, T.; Imoto, M. Chemistry and Biology of Moverastins, Inhibitors of Cancer Cell Migration, Produced by *Aspergillus*. *Chem. Biol.* **2005**, *12* (12), 1337–1347.
- (135) Singh, S. B.; Ball, R. G.; Bills, G. F.; Cascales, C.; Gibbs, J. B.; Goetz, M. A.; Hoogsteen, K.; Jenkins, R. G.; Liesch, J. M.; Lingham, R. B.; Silverman, K. C.; Zink, D. L. Chemistry and Biology of Cylindrols: Novel Inhibitors of Ras Farnesyl-Protein Transferase from *Cylindrocarpum Lucidum*. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61* (22), 7727–7737.
- (136) Sawada, M.; Kubo, S. I.; Matsumura, K.; Takemoto, Y.; Kobayashi, H.; Tashiro, E.; Kitahara, T.; Watanabe, H.; Imoto, M. Synthesis and Anti-Migrative Evaluation of Moverastin Derivatives. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21* (5), 1385–1389.
- (137) Tashiro, E.; Imoto, M. Screening and Target Identification of Bioactive Compounds That Modulate Cell Migration and Autophagy. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *24* (15), 3283–3290.
- (138) Thiel, P.; Röglin, L.; Meissner, N.; Hennig, S.; Kohlbacher, O.; Ottmann, C. Virtual Screening and Experimental Validation Reveal Novel Small-Molecule Inhibitors of 14-3-3 Protein-protein Interactions. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49* (76), 8468–8470.
- (139) Hu, G.; Cao, Z.; Xu, S.; Wang, W.; Wang, J. Revealing the Binding Modes and the Unbinding of 14-3-3 σ Proteins and Inhibitors by Computational Methods. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 16481.
- (140) Bier, D.; Rose, R.; Bravo-Rodriguez, K.; Bartel, M.; Ramirez-Anguita, J. M.; Dutt, S.; Wilch, C.; Klärner, F.-G.; Sanchez-Garcia, E.; Schrader, T.; Ottmann, C. Molecular Tweezers Modulate 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interactions. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5* (3), 234–239.
- (141) Ballio, A.; Chain, E. B.; de Leo, P.; Erlanger, B. F.; Mauri, M.; Tonolo, A. Fusicoccin: A New Wilting Toxin Produced by *Fusicoccum Amygdali Del.* *Nature* **1964**, *203*, 297–297.
- (142) Oecking, C.; Eckerskorn, C.; Weiler, E. W. The Fusicoccin Receptor of Plants Is a Member of the 14-3-3 Superfamily of Eukaryotic Regulatory Proteins. *FEBS Lett.* **1994**, *352* (2), 163–166.
- (143) Camoni, L.; Di Lucente, C.; Visconti, S.; Aducci, P. The Phytotoxin Fusicoccin Promotes Platelet Aggregation via 14-3-3-Glycoprotein Ib-IX-V Interaction. *Biochem. J.* **2011**, *436* (2), 429–436.
- (144) De Vries-van Leeuwen, I. J.; da Costa Pereira, D.; Flach, K. D.; Piersma, S. R.; Haase, C.; Bier, D.; Yalcin, Z.; Michalides, R.; Feenstra, K. A.; Jiménez, C. R.; de Greef, T. F. A.; Brunsveld, L.; Ottmann, C.; Zwart, W.; de Boer, A. H. Interaction of 14-3-3 Proteins with the Estrogen Receptor Alpha F Domain Provides a Drug Target Interface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (22), 8894–8899.
- (145) Sassa, T.; Tojyo, T.; Munakata, K. Isolation of a New Plant Growth Substance with Cytokinin-like Activity. *Nature* **1970**, *227*, 379.
- (146) Honma, Y.; Ishii, Y.; Yamamoto-Yamaguchi, Y.; Sassa, T.; Asahi, K. I. Cotylenin A, a Differentiation-Inducing Agent, and IFN-Alpha Cooperatively Induce Apoptosis and Have an Antitumor Effect on Human Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma Cells in Nude Mice. *Cancer Res.* **2003**, *63* (13), 3659–3666.
- (147) Yamada, K.; Honma, Y.; Asahi, K.-I.; Sassa, T.; Hino, K.-I.; Tomoyasu, S. Differentiation of Human Acute Myeloid Leukaemia Cells in Primary Culture in Response to Cotylenin A, a Plant Growth Regulator. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2001**, *114* (4), 814–821.
- (148) Takahashi, T.; Honma, Y.; Miyake, T.; Adachi, K.; Takami, S.; Okada, M.; Kumanomidou, S.; Ikejiri, F.; Jo, Y.; Onishi, C.; Kawakami, K.; Moriyama, I.; Inoue, M.; Tanaka, J.; Suzumiya, J. Synergistic Combination Therapy with Cotylenin A and Vincristine in Multiple Myeloma Models. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2015**, *46* (4), 1801–1809.
- (149) Molzan, M.; Kasper, S.; Röglin, L.; Skwarczynska, M.; Sassa, T.; Inoue, T.; Breitenbuecher, F.; Ohkanda, J.; Kato, N.; Schuler, M.; Ottmann, C. Stabilization of Physical RAF/14-3-3 Interaction by

Cotylenin A as Treatment Strategy for RAS Mutant Cancers. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *8* (9), 1869–1875.

(150) Anders, C.; Higuchi, Y.; Koschinsky, K.; Bartel, M.; Schumacher, B.; Thiel, P.; Nitta, H.; Preisig-Müller, R.; Schlichthörl, G.; Renigunta, V.; Ohkanda, J.; Daut, J.; Kato, N.; Ottmann, C. A Semisynthetic Fusicoccane Stabilizes a Protein-Protein Interaction and Enhances the Expression of K⁺ Channels at the Cell Surface. *Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *20* (4), 583–593.

(151) Bier, D.; Bartel, M.; Sies, K.; Halbach, S.; Higuchi, Y.; Haranosono, Y.; Brummer, T.; Kato, N.; Ottmann, C. Small-Molecule Stabilization of the 14-3-3/Gab2 Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) Interface. *ChemMedChem* **2016**, *11* (8), 911–918.

(152) Parvatkar, P.; Kato, N.; Uesugi, M.; Sato, S. I.; Ohkanda, J. Intracellular Generation of a Diterpene-Peptide Conjugate That Inhibits 14-3-3-Mediated Interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (50), 15624–15627.

(153) Brill, Z. G.; Grover, H. K.; Maimone, T. J. Enantioselective Synthesis of an Ophiobolin Sesterterpene via a Programmed Radical Cascade. *Science* **2016**, *352* (6289), 1078–1082.

(154) Richter, A.; Hedberg, C.; Waldmann, H. Enantioselective Synthesis of the C10-C20 Fragment of Fusicoccin A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76* (16), 6694–6702.

(155) Chen, M.; Chou, W. K. W.; Toyomasu, T.; Cane, D. E.; Christianson, D. W. Structure and Function of Fusicoccadiene Synthase, a Hexameric Bifunctional Diterpene Synthase. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *11* (4), 889–899.

(156) Rose, R.; Erdmann, S.; Bovens, S.; Wolf, A.; Rose, M.; Hennig, S.; Waldmann, H.; Ottmann, C. Identification and Structure of Small-Molecule Stabilizers of 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49* (24), 4129–4132.

(157) Richter, A.; Rose, R.; Hedberg, C.; Waldmann, H.; Ottmann, C. An Optimised Small-Molecule Stabiliser of the 14-3-3-PMA2 Protein-Protein Interaction. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18* (21), 6520–6527.

(158) Sato, S.; Jung, H.; Nakagawa, T.; Pawlosky, R.; Takeshima, T.; Lee, W. R.; Sakiyama, H.; Laxman, S.; Wynn, R. M.; Tu, B. P.; MacMillan, J. B.; De Brabander, J. K.; Veech, R. L.; Uyeda, K. Metabolite Regulation of Nuclear Localization of Carbohydrate-Response Element-Binding Protein (ChREBP): Role of Amp as an Allosteric Inhibitor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2016**, *291* (20), 10515–10527.

(159) Ishii, S.; Iizuka, K.; Miller, B. C.; Uyeda, K. Carbohydrate Response Element Binding Protein Directly Promotes Lipogenic Enzyme Gene Transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2004**, *101* (44), 15597–15602.

(160) Iizuka, K.; Bruick, R. K.; Liang, G.; Horton, J. D.; Uyeda, K. Deficiency of Carbohydrate Response Element-Binding Protein (ChREBP) Reduces Lipogenesis as Well as Glycolysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2004**, *101* (19), 7281–7286.

(161) Sakiyama, H.; Wynn, R. M.; Lee, W. R.; Fukasawa, M.; Mizuguchi, H.; Gardner, K. H.; Repa, J. J.; Uyeda, K. Regulation of Nuclear Import/export of Carbohydrate Response Element-Binding Protein (ChREBP): Interaction of An α -Helix of ChREBP with the 14-3-3 Proteins and Regulation by Phosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2008**, *283* (36), 24899–24908.

(162) Nakagawa, T.; Ge, Q.; Pawlosky, R.; Wynn, R. M.; Veech, R. L.; Uyeda, K. Metabolite Regulation of Nucleo-Cytosolic Trafficking of Carbohydrate Response Element-Binding Protein (ChREBP): Role of Ketone Bodies. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288* (39), 28358–28367.

(163) Ge, Q.; Huang, N.; Wynn, R. M.; Li, Y.; Du, X.; Miller, B.; Zhang, H.; Uyeda, K. Structural Characterization of a Unique Interface between Carbohydrate Response Element-Binding Protein (ChREBP) and 14-3-3 β Protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287* (50), 41914–41921.

(164) Sijbesma, E.; Skora, L.; Leysen, S.; Brunsveld, L.; Koch, U.; Nussbaumer, P.; Jahnke, W.; Ottmann, C. Identification of Two Secondary Ligand binding sites in 14-3-3 Proteins Using Fragment Screening. *Biochemistry* **2017**, *56* (30), 3972–3982.