



The irrationality of a non-specific immunomodulation therapy used in cardiovascular diseases deserves a critical comment

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original:

Bocci, V., Zanardi, I., Travagli, V. (2010). The irrationality of a non-specific immunomodulation therapy used in cardiovascular diseases deserves a critical comment. *ATHEROSCLEROSIS*, 211(1), 38-39 [10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.04.014].

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/11365/8298> since 2016-11-19T13:36:48Z

Publisher:

Elsevier Science Ireland Limited: PO Box 85, Limerick Ireland: 011 353 61 709600, 011 353 61 61944,

Published:

DOI: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.04.014

Terms of use:

Open Access

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license.

For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Atherosclerosis
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: ATH-D-10-00143R1

Title: The irrationality of a non-specific immunomodulation therapy used in cardiovascular diseases deserves a critical comment.

Article Type: Commentary

Keywords: Keywords: Oxidative stress; Ozonotherapy; Chronic Heart Failure; Immunomodulation Therapy; Chronic Limb Ischemia

Corresponding Author: Prof. Velio Bocci,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Velio Bocci

Order of Authors: Velio Bocci; Iacopo Zanardi; Valter Travagli

Manuscript Region of Origin: ITALY

1 The irrationality of a non-specific immunomodulation therapy used in cardiovascular diseases
2 deserves a critical comment.
3
4
5
6
7

8
9 Velio Bocci^{a,*}, Iacopo Zanardi^b and Valter Travagli^b
10
11
12
13
14

15 ^a Department of Physiology, University of Siena, Viale Aldo Moro 2, 53100 Siena, Italy
16
17

18 ^b Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Technology, University of Siena, Viale Aldo Moro
19
20
21 2, 53100 Siena, Italy
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 * Corresponding author at: Department of Physiology, University of Siena, Viale Aldo Moro 2,
39
40 53100 Siena, Italy. Tel +39 0577234226. Fax +39 0577234219. E-mail: bocci@unisi.it (V. Bocci)
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 In 1997, Bulmer et al. [1] proposed a new procedure consisting in placing 10 mL of anticoagulated
2 (+ 2 mL sodium citrate) of the patient's venous blood in a VC7000A system (Celacade™, Vasogen
3 Inc, Mississauga, ON, Canada) where it was exposed to an oxygen/ozone gas mixture (ozone
4 concentration 15.35 g/m³) delivered into the blood at a flow of 240 mL/min and UV light (253.7
5 nm) at a temperature of 42.5 °C for about 20 min. The treated blood sample was removed from the
6 system and immediately administered by intragluteal injection to the donor patient. Two treatments
7 were given on consecutive days, followed by a third on day 14. Subsequent treatments were given
8 at 4 week (28 days) intervals for at least 22 weeks, for a total of 8 injections.
9

10 The procedure uses an expensive device able to deliver an enormously toxic dose of ozone (107.5
11 mg per mL of blood) plus an undetermined UV irradiation at 42.5 °C. The final ozone dose is about
12 15000-fold higher than the average ozone dose used during the classical ozonated autohemotherapy
13 [2] and the extremely high oxidation of blood causes a complete denaturation of blood components
14 [3]. This procedure was invented aiming to establish a non-specific immunomodulation therapy
15 (IMT) in the hope of reducing the inflammatory process and the chronic oxidative stress present in
16 vascular disease. It has proved to be useless in an AIDS trial [4] and in a multicenter, randomized,
17 double-blind, placebo-controlled study in 533 patients with symptomatic peripheral arterial disease
18 (PAD), called the SIMPADICO trial [5]. It is most important noting that this trial had to be stopped
19 three months early because it did not show any improvement in PAD and caused a significantly
20 higher rate of malignancies in the IMT group [6]. Although in a pilot study [7] of 73 patients with
21 heart failure the IMT seemed to result in a reduction of mortality, a subsequent multicenter study in
22 2426 patients [8], called the ACCLAIM trial, in chronic heart failure resulted in a “disappointing”
23 results. In this trial no particular cancer predominated “although an imbalance was seen in reports of
24 colorectal cancer (nine patients in the IMT group and three in the placebo group). The proponents
25 of IMT [1,9] support the concept that after the IM administration of heavily denatured blood, an
26 immune modulation ensues with an up-regulation in the production of the anti-inflammatory
27 cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β and inhibition of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α,
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 IL-1 and IL-6. As chronic heart failure and limb ischemia are affections linked to inflammation and
2 chronic oxidative stress, in theory the reduction of proinflammatory cytokines may down-regulate
3
4 chronic inflammation and delay the progress of the disease. However, the previous failure of the
5
6 SIMPADICO trial not only was a premonition of poor result in chronic heart failure but suggested
7
8 that a strong immune suppression may favour neoplastic growth. Sliwa and Ansari [10] pointed out
9
10 several potential problems but did not comment the wrong oxidative technology. An intermediate
11
12 position of wait and see has been concurrently adopted by Sporter [11]. On the other hand, one of us
13
14 [12,13] made specific criticism regarding the irrational technology and deeply dissented with
15
16 Vasogen's (since October 2009 merged in IntelliPharmaceutics International Inc.) hypothesis. After
17
18 two decades of studying the mechanisms of action in blood, reviewed in [2], the therapeutic range
19
20 of ozone as a medical drug ($0.21 \div 1.68$ mmol/mL of ozone per mL of anticoagulated blood) has
21
22 been defined. Ozone is a most reactive gas and inherently toxic but, if judiciously used, it is very
23
24 useful in vasculopathies because it enhances vasodilation, it increases the delivery of both oxygen
25
26 and growth factors in ischemic tissues and it does up-regulate several antioxidant enzymes and
27
28 above all of heme-oxygenase-I [14]. Immunomodulation may be only a small additional factor. The
29
30 misinterpretation of the real mechanisms of action and the obstinate use of a wrong approach can
31
32 explain the "disappointing" results and the previous failure in treating chronic limb ischemia in the
33
34 SIMPADICO trial [5,6]. Moreover a randomized clinical trial has proved the validity and safety of
35
36 ozonotherapy in severe chronic limb ischemia [15]. For these reasons, believing that the procedure
37
38 had been definitively entombed, we were surprised to read a recent paper by Marfella et al. [16]
39
40 claiming that IMT may improve wound healing and limb salvage in patients with chronic limb
41
42 ischemia. Although the same technology had been used, they obtained positive results absent in the
43
44 SIMPADICO trial that was not cited in their paper. They also used a masked saline placebo in the
45
46 control group where it would have been more appropriate to re-inject the untreated autologous
47
48 blood. The reason of these controversial results remains unknown, unless there is a different
49
50 reactivity between American and Neapolitan patients. One reasonable hypothesis may regard a
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 benevolent and unknown deficiency of the VC7000A system to deliver the excess of ozone.

2 Moreover the authors, likely unaware that this immunosuppressive therapy may enhance
3 tumorigenesis, have not checked this critical part and it should be suggested the need of exploring
4 this aspect in their patients.
5
6
7

8
9
10 The reason of our concern is that the planned commercialization of such a device ought to be
11 prohibited because practitioners may use this method in vascular patients unaware of the poor
12 medical benefit and the risk of enhancing neoplastic growth.
13
14
15

16
17
18 The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

[1] Bulmer J, Bolton AE, Pockley AG. Effect of combined heat, ozonation and ultraviolet irradiation (VasoCare) on heat shock protein expression by peripheral blood leukocyte populations. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 1997;11:104-10.

[2] Bocci V, Borrelli E, Travagli V, Zanardi I. The ozone paradox: ozone is a strong oxidant as well as a medical drug. *Med Res Rev* 2009;29:646-82.

[3] Travagli V, Zanardi I, Bernini P, et al. Effects of ozone blood treatment on the metabolite profile of human blood. *Int J Toxicol* 2010;29:165-74.

[4] Garber GE, Cameron DW, Hawley-Foss N, Greenway D, Shannon ME. The use of ozone-treated blood in the therapy of HIV infection and immune disease: a pilot study of safety and efficacy. *AIDS* 1991;5:981-4.

[5] SIMPADICO - Study of Immune Modulation Therapy in Peripheral Arterial Disease and Intermittent Claudication Outcomes. Available at: <http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00111826>. Last accessed April, 9th, 2010.

[6] Olin JW. Peripheral arterial disease: Efficacy of immune modulation. Presented at: Smaller Late-Breaking Clinical Trials I, American College of Cardiology 55th Annual Scientific Sessions, March 11-14, 2006, Atlanta, GA. Available at: http://incirculation.net/3430_68539.aspx?parentaid=67695. Last accessed April, 9th, 2010.

[7] Torre-Amione G, Sestier F, Radovancevic B, Young J. Effects of a novel immune modulation therapy in patients with advanced chronic heart failure: results of a randomized, controlled, phase II trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:1181-6.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

[8] Torre-Amione G, Anker SD, Bourge RC, et al. Results of a non-specific immunomodulation therapy in chronic heart failure (ACCLAIM trial): a placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet* 2008;371:228-36.

[9] Bolton AE. Biologic effects and basic science of a novel immune-modulation therapy. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;95:24C-29C

[10] Sliwa K, Ansari AA. Immunosuppression as therapy for congestive heart failure. *Lancet* 2008;371:184-6.

[11] Sporter RJ, Kim JH, Frishman WH. Device-based nonspecific immunomodulation therapy (Celacade), and its potential role in the treatment of chronic heart failure. *Cardiol Rev.* 2008;16:280-7. Erratum in: *Cardiol Rev* 2009;17:43.

[12] Bocci V. Non-specific immunomodulation in chronic heart failure. *Lancet* 2008;371:2083

[13] Bocci V. The failure of the ACCLAIM trial is due to an irrational technology. *Int J Cardiol.* doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2008.10.001

[14] Bocci V, Aldinucci C, Mosci F, et al. Ozonation of human blood induces a remarkable upregulation of heme oxygenase-1 and heat stress protein-70. *Mediators Inflamm* 2007;2007:26785. doi:10.1155/2007/26785.

[15] Di Paolo N, Bocci V, Salvo DP, et al. Extracorporeal blood oxygenation and ozonation (EBOO): a controlled trial in patients with peripheral artery disease. *Int J Artif Organs* 2005;28:1039-50.

[16] Marfella R, Luongo C, Coppola A, et al. Use of a non-specific immunomodulation therapy as a therapeutic vasculogenesis strategy in no-option critical limb ischemia patients. *Atherosclerosis* 2010;208:473-9.

Point-by-point response

Regarding reviewer 1 we have objectively presented only the available reports.

Regarding the Associate Editor comment we have modified the text (highlighted in green) of our Commentary, clarifying only what is known and we have eliminated speculations.

***Statement of Originality**

The Authors declare the originality of the Commentary.