

Supportive use of cyclodextrins as decontamination agents for herbicides

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:
Original:
Petralito, S., Zanardi, I., Braconi, D., Santucci, A., Memoli, A., Caccamo, E., et al. (2012). Supportive use of cyclodextrins as decontamination agents for herbicides. ASIA-PACIFIC JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING, 7(Supplement 3), S342-S347 [10.1002/apj.1654].
Availability:
This version is availablehttp://hdl.handle.net/11365/30196 since 2016-11-19T11:12:36Z
Published:
DOI:10.1002/apj.1654
Terms of use:
Open Access The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

P	Journal Code				A	Article ID Dispate		Dispatch: 30.04.12	CE: Cuyos, Glory	
[©] SPi	Α	Р	J		1	6	5	4	No. of Pages: 6	ME:

ASIA-PACIFIC JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING Asia-Pac. J. Chem. Eng. (2012) Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/apj.1654

Supplement article 📰

Supportive use of cyclodextrins as decontamination agents for herbicides: the case of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl

S. Petralito,¹ I. Zanardi,² D. Braconi,³ A. Santucci,³ A. Memoli,¹ E. Caccamo¹ and V. Travagli²*

¹Dipartimento di Chimica e Tecnologie del Farmaco, Sapienza Università di Roma, Italy ²Dipartimento Farmaco Chimico Tecnologico, Università degli Studi di Siena, Italy ³Dipartimento di Biotecnologie, Università degli Studi di Siena, Italy

ABSTRACT: The inclusion complexes of herbicides in cyclodextrins (CDs) have considered in an attempt to assess the potentiality of CDs as new formulation additives for both delivery improvemen, and reduction of toxicity in terms of environmental impact.

The aim of this work was to evaluate the role of three different types of CDs—namely β -CD and its two derivatives, randomly methylated β -CD and hydroxypropyl β -CD—towards the herbicide fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE) properties. The toxicity of both pure FE (either alone or as inclusion complex) and a commercial product has been evaluated by an amperometric biosensor based on a Clark electrode as a respirometry study on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain. Such a technique results more sensitive with respect to FE toxicity methods based on cell growth. The toxic effect of the commercial product was higher than that of the pure chemical entity. Photochemical studies on FE and FE-CD complexes in the presence of different soil colloidal components showed the catalytic effect of some of CDs on photodegradation. The obtained results suggest that CDs could be useful excipients to promote enhancement of solubility, photodegradation inductive effect, and bioremediation in case of FE-like hydrophobic herbicides. © 2012 Curtin University of Technology and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEYWORDS: fenoxaprop-p-ethyl; cyclodextrin; Clark electrode; respirometry study; photodegradation; detoxification; bioremediation

INTRODUCTION

The current condition of widespread environmental contamination, in Italy as well as generally existing in many other Countries, leads to the need to rationalize the increasingly amounts of pesticides used in agriculture to limit the onset of serious eco-toxicological problems.^[1] The toxicological requirements prescribed by the European regulatory context do not sufficiently consider the risks associated with co-formulants present in commercial herbicide preparations.^[2] In fact, they refer only to active ingredients (AI) despite a difference in toxicity between substances either alone or in formulation is well known.^[3,4] However, the US Environmental Protection Agency regulates the inclusion of certain ingredients in adjuvant formulations, but it does not stringently test and regulate the manufacture and use of adjuvant products (as they do for herbicides and other pesticides).^[5,6] Actually, if the so-called inert compounds such as surfactants, cosolvents, stabilizers, preservatives, and buffers, improve the AI properties (such as solubility, penetration, efficacy, chemical and thermal stability, biodegradability, smell,

volatility, soil mobility, persistence, and poor wettability), on the other hand, they may amplify its adverse effects.^[7,8] It is therefore necessary to evaluate the toxicity of the formulations as a whole rather than focusing only on AI and to propose new functional ingredients capable of improving the AI properties without increasing its toxicity profile, but if anything, minimizing the toxicity.

For this reason, the development of new formulations for herbicides represents therefore an important resource to rely on to fulfill the AI effectiveness, resulting in both dose limitation and significant reduction of the environmental dispersion of the used product.

Cyclodextrins (CDs), cyclic oligosaccharides able to host hydrophobic molecules in the toroidal interior part, by complexing pesticides can result in products with superior performance as carrying agents of pesticides.^[9]

In the field of environmental chemistry, CDs promote the degradations of organic pollutants through the enhancement of their solubility and, therefore, their desorption from soils.^[10,11] In detail, inclusion complex results in advantageous modifications of the properties of the complexed substances, such as enhancement of wettability, rate of dissolution, solubility, and long lasting effect.^[12] Furthermore, the effect of CDs other than on increasing water solubility of some herbicides can be observed on their photodegradation, possibly in the presence of soil components.^[13] The study of the

^{*}*Correspondence to*: Valter Travagli, Dipartimento Farmaco Chimico Tecnologico, Università degli Studi di Siena, Via Aldo Moro 2–53100 Siena, Italy. E-mail: travagli@unisi.it

2 S. PETRALITO ET AL.

F1

photolysis of pesticides is important in environmental chemistry because of its influence on their fate in terms of persistence in natural sunlight.^[12]

In a previous study,^[14] the toxicity of various pesticides, including fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE, Fig. 1), an aryloxyphenoxypropionate post-emergence herbicide inhibiting fatty acid synthesis in grasses,^[15,16] as both pure AI and commercial product, has been evaluated using a cell growth method. The obtained results showed that pure FE affected at a lower extent cell growth and metabolism with respect to its commercial preparations containing various additives, capable of imparting significant major negative influence on veast biological parameters.^[14]

On the other hand, Zhang et al. almost simultaneously characterized the interactions of CDs with FE, highlighting how these are capable of forming complexes with FE, thus not only enhancing the solubility of FE for more efficient delivery, but also preventing its hydrolysis to facilitate its penetration into plant cells.^[17] Consequently, it is also possible to provide for the use of CDs, especially random methylated β -CD and hydroxypropyl- β -CD, in FE formulations as common enhancing additives in the bioremediation of soils.^[18]

In the present paper, the complexation of herbicide FE, with natural and synthetic CDs was therefore investigated, as well as the toxicity of FE either alone, or in combination with CDs or even in commercial formulation. The possible influence of inclusion complexes on the photodegradation, still in the presence of soil constituents, has been also studied. For such purposes, goethite and bentonite have been selected because of their pro-oxidant and adsorbent properties, respectively.^[19-22]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and chemicals

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Zymasil, 3.0.10¹⁰ cells/g) was purchased from Pascal Biotech (Italy); D (+)-Glucose Monohydrate, Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE, Pestanal[®]), Goethite, and Bentonite from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany), whereas proper energy (PE) was from commercial sources (Aventis CropScience, Milan, Italy); β-CD



© 2012 Curtin University of Technology and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

and Kleptose HPB, respectively) were the generous gift from Roquette (France) and randomly methylated β-CD (RAMEB, Cavasol) from Wacker Chemie AG (Germany). Freshly distilled water was used throughout the experiments.

Phase-solubility studies

Phase-solubility studies were performed according to the method reported by Higuchi and Connors.^[23] FE, in constant amounts exceeding its solubility, was transferred to vials containing 10 mL of different CDs in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer 0.15 M (BCD ranging from 0 to 16 mM; HPβCD and RAMEB ranging from 0 to 100 mM). The contents were stirred (400 rpm) on electromagnetic stirrer (VELP Scientifica, Italy) at $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for 7 days. After reaching equilibrium, samples were filtered through a 0.45-µm nylon membrane filter (Millipore, USA). The filtered samples suitably diluted were assaved for FE by measuring absorbance at the wavelength of 239 nm (Lambda 25 UV/VIS, Perkin Elmer, Milan, Italy). The analytical method has been validated for the quantitative determination of the analyte at the experimental conditions adopted by coefficient of determination of the relative calibration curves ($r^2 \ge 0.9998$). Solubility studies were performed in triplicate. The stability constant of the inclusion complex (K_c) were calculated from the phase solubility diagrams using Equation 1:

$$K_{c} = \frac{k}{S_0(1-k)} \tag{1}$$

where S_0 (intercept value) is the intrinsic solubility of FE in the absence of CD, and k is the slope of the straight line.

All the measurements were performed five times, unless otherwise stated.

Toxicity studies

The measurement of oxygen consumption in solution due to biochemical-physiological process of cellular respiration^[24] has been obtained by deeping a Clark electrode, connected to a potentiostat Amel 2059 (Amel srl, Milan, Italy) and in thermostatted $(37 \pm 0.5 \text{ °C})$ Saccharomyces cerevisiae suspensions (0.15 mg/mL, pH 6.8 phosphate buffer 0.15 M, glucose 9.9 mg/mL), under stirring conditions,^[25] in the absence or in presence of either pure FE or PE as toxic agents $(1.5 \cdot 10^{-4} - 7.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mM})$. In the case of pure FE, ethanol has been added as co-solvent (5%v/v final). It is assumed that a decrease in respiratory activity of cells is related to the damage suffered by the exposed cells and then the index of respiratory inhibition (I_{RI}) was calculated using Equation 2:

> Asia-Pac. J. Chem. Eng. (2012) DOI: 10.1002/apj

$$I_{RI} = 1 - \frac{r}{r_0} \tag{2}$$

where r is the respiratory activity with herbicide and r_0 is the respiratory activity without herbicide.

All the measurements were performed five times, unless otherwise stated.

Photodegradation study

Buffered FE solutions (pH 6.8, 2.77 mM) were exposed at a distance of 10 cm under aerobic conditions and constant stirring to a Photochemical Multirays Apparatus (ten lamps, $\lambda \ge 310$ nm, 15 W, Helios Italquartz, Milan, Italy) at room temperature $(25 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C)$ at the following different conditions: (i) as is; (ii) in the presence of CDs in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer 0.15 M (BCD 16 mM; HPBCD or RAMEB, 10 and 100 mM); iii) in pH 6.8 buffered suspension of colloidal soil components (0.08 mg/L of either goethite or bentonite), in the absence and in presence of RAMEB 100 mM. Samples withdrawn at fixed time intervals (0-180 min) were filtered and spectroscopically analyzed as previously reported, to monitor the CD-induced effects on the photodegradation process. The results are expressed as the ratio among spectroscopic variations. Control experiments were carried out in the darkness.

All the measurements were performed five times, unless otherwise stated.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as the mean values (CV% < 2), unless the bar errors have been reported (\pm SD). Oneway ANOVA performing the Bonferroni post-test (Instat software, version 3.0 GraphPAD Software Inc., San Diego, CA) was used for the statistical analysis of the results. Significance was defined as a p-value less than 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The solubility phase diagram of FE is reported in Fig. 2. **F2** Panel A shows an unusual pattern because of the presence of the natural β CD. It follows the B_I model described by Higuchi and Connors, characteristic of insoluble complexes, according to which there is an immediate and progressive decrease in the solubility of the molecule complexed with increasing concentrations of CD.^[23]

On the other hand, the presence of HP β CD results in a linear increase of FE solubility (Fig. 2, panel B), with $K_{1:1(\text{HP}\beta\text{CD})} = 204 \text{ M}^{-1}$ as the calculated complex stability. Even in the case of RAMEB (Fig. 2, panel C), it has been shown a proportional trend of FE solubility with respect to RAMEB. Such an increase was linear

© 2012 Curtin University of Technology and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Figure 2. Solubility studies of FE in the presence of β CD (panel A), HP β CD (panel B), and RAMEB (panel C). The coefficient of variation was always less than 5%.

until the concentration of 30 mM, whereas at concentrations above the trend conforms to the type A_N .^[23] It represents a typical case of self-associate complexes and is potentially caused by alteration of the solvent properties as a result of the use of high concentrations of inclusion complexation agent. As for the linear segment, it has been possible to evaluate $K_{1:1(\text{RAMEB})} =$ 440 M^{-1} , showing a greater complexing power of RAMEB than HP β CD, with a greater and more rapid significant increase in solubility. Otherwise, at the maximum CDs concentration, the relative solubility increase is similar at the experimental conditions adopted.

F4

Compared with what has been previously published operating at lower concentrations of CDs (≤ 10 mM) and at lower temperatures (25 °C),^[17] it is clear that the solubility of FE has shown higher values in our conditions, as well as K values for each CD were lower, as expected for an exothermic process.^[26,27]

The toxicity of FE either alone or as PE formulation **F3** is shown in Fig. 3 in terms of $I_{\rm RI}$.

Although for FE concentrations $\leq 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mM, both as pure substance as well as in formulation, the toxicity appears to be negligible (data not shown), starting from $1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mM, FE shows a lower toxicity than PE, with maximum values of $I_{\rm RI}$ of about 0.4 at $7.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mM for FE with respect to at least 0.8 at $1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mM for PE.

This result shows that the toxic effect of the formulated product is markedly higher than the pure herbicide because of the synergy of the ingredients. The comparison with previously published data by carrying out toxicity tests with inhibition of cell growth showed a higher sensitivity of the present amperometric method. In particular, the pure compound provided a concentration-dependent toxicity expressed in terms of $I_{\rm RI}$ with values ranging from 0.04 to 0.39 *vs* the absence of toxicity (no inhibition) pre-viously reported.^[14] Also in the presence of excipients of the commercial PE, differences as obtained by the two methods have been confirmed, obtaining in the case of $1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mM an $I_{\rm RI}$ of 0.81 vs a toxicity index of 0.36 as extrapolated by the speed variation of cultured cells growth. At the maximum AI concentration studied $(7.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mM})$, the differences in toxicity are less evident (0.88 and 0.81, respectively).

Moreover, the toxicity of FE in terms of $I_{\rm RI}$ has been tested in pH 6.8 buffered solution, in the presence of either β CD (16 mM) or HP β CD (100 mM), or RAMEB (100 mM). The results are shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 3. Index of respiratory inhibition (I_{R}) as evaluated for both pure fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE) and in commercial formulation proper energy (PE), at different concentrations. Statistical analysis: two symbols, p < 0.01; three symbols, p < 0.001; star symbol, FE vs PE; sharp symbol, effect of concentration.

© 2012 Curtin University of Technology and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering



Figure 4. Influence of cyclodextrins (CDs) in relation to fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE) toxicity by index of respiratory inhibition (I_{RI}). Statistical analysis: ns, not significant; three stars, p < 0.001.

It is evident that the presence of CDs in the solution reduces the exposure of *S. cerevisiae* to FE. In particular, the protection with respect to the toxic effect as evaluated by respirometric assay decreases in the order: RAMEB > HP β CD > > β CD.

Regarding the studies of photodegradation, the FE solutions when light-irradiated undergo photodegradation, as shown in Fig. 5 where the % of residual FE, in F5 the presence and absence of the CDs previously observed as shield compounds in terms of toxicity, namely RAMEB and HP β CD, are plotted as a function of exposure time.

The degradation profiles of FE alone in aqueous solution buffered to pH 6.8 show a discrete reduction of the concentration of the herbicide with a residual percentage of FE, after 180 min of irradiation, of 61.8%. The presence of CD increases the rate of photodegradation. In



Figure 5. Degradation profile of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE) at pH 6.8 buffered solution, in the absence and in presence of cyclodextrins (CDs) at various concentrations. The coefficient of variation was always less than 5%.

Asia-Pac. J. Chem. Eng. (2012) DOI: 10.1002/apj **T1**

F6 T2 detail, in the presence of HP β CD, the degradation profile is similar at the two concentrations under investigation, and it is practically over imposable to that of RAMEB at the lower concentration. On the contrary, RAMEB at the highest concentration shows a remarkable and fast decrease until a degradation of about 80% after 180 min of treatment. From a mathematical point of view, the degradation profiles in the different experimental conditions follow a first-order kinetic, and both the degradation constants, k_{deg} and the half-lives ($t_{1/2}$, min), have been calculated. They are reported in Table 1.

From these results, it is possible to say that RAMEB and HP β CD act as catalysts in the photodegradation of FE, ensuring a higher rate of degradation in the light and thus less of the toxic compound remaining in the soil. It is also evident that there is a clear correlation between photodegradation and characteristics of the complex with CDs: the higher the value of $K_{1:1}$, the greater the stability of the binary system, with a greater photocatalytic effect.^[28]

The presence of colloidal components of the soil can vary the photodegradation of herbicide compounds, reducing eco-toxicological characteristics.^[13,29] To mimic the environmental conditions, the photodegradation studies were also conducted in buffered solution in the presence of different soil colloidal components. In particular, two components of the soil were chosen: goethite and bentonite, both tested at a concentration of 0.08 mg/mL. In particular, goethite suspensions may act to form singlet oxygen in aqueous environment systems leading to effective oxidation of pollution matters,^[22] whereas bentonite differently adsorb chemical entities.^[19,20] Because of its favorable complex characteristics, 100-mM RAMEB was also chosen to test the influence of CD complexation on the degradation kinetics in the presence of these colloidal constituents. The remaining% of FE, in the presence and absence of colloidal components in the suspension buffer and in the presence and absence of RAMEB, is plotted as a function of exposure time in Fig. 6, whereas the corresponding degradation constants and $t_{1/2}$ are reported in Table 2.

The presence of goethite causes a significant reduction in herbicide photodegradation with a residual 87.5% after 180 min of irradiation, and a $t_{1/2}$ = 826.1 min compared with 243.2 min of buffered aqueous solution. Such a result suggests a photoprotection by this colloidal component

Table 1. Degradation constants, k_{deg} and half-life, $t_{1/2}$ of FE in the presence of HP β CD or RAMEB at the different experimental conditions.

Samples	$k_{\rm deg} \cdot 10^{-3} ({\rm min}^{-1})$	$t_{1/2}$ (min)
FE FE + HPβCD 10 mM FE + RAMEB 10 mM FE + HPβCD 100 mM FE + RAMEB 100 mM	$\begin{array}{c} 2.85 \pm 0.12 \\ 4.24 \pm 010 \\ 5.72 \pm 0.19 \\ 4.29 \pm 0.16 \\ 9.17 \pm 0.43 \end{array}$	243.2 163.5 121.2 161.6 75.6

© 2012 Curtin University of Technology and John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Figure 6. Degradation profile of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (FE) at pH 6.8 buffered solution, in the presence of either goethite (top) or bentonite (bottom), with or without RAMEB. The coefficient of variation was always less than 5%.

probably due to adsorption of FE on the surface of the goethite with a consequent shielding effect.^[13] The addition of 100-mM RAMEB to the aforementioned colloidal suspension let to a much more pronounced FE photodegradative profile (Fig. 6, top), even if such an increase did not reach the same values of k_{deg} and $t_{1/2}$ obtained in the absence of goethite (3.63 min⁻¹ vs 9.17 min⁻¹ and 190.9 min vs 75.6 min, respectively). This indicates the possible occurrence of competitive phenomena between both the photoprotective and the photocatalytic effects by goethite and RAMEB. A deeper study of these phenomena is under investigation, and it has been considered beyond the aim of the present paper.^[30,31]

On the other hand, the kinetic of FE photodegradation in the presence of bentonite has shown a trend almost similar to that achieved in only phosphate buffer at pH 6.8. Such a result suggests a lack of photoprotective effect of bentonite. The addition of 100-mM RAMEB to FE suspension facilitates and noticeably promotes the photocatalytic degradation of FE, obtaining a residual percentage 36% (Fig. 6, bottom). Moreover, for exposure

14 Q4

Samples	$k_{\rm deg} \cdot 10^{-3} ({\rm min}^{-1})$	<i>t</i> _{1/2} (min)
FE	2.85 ± 0.12	243.2
FE + Goethite	0.839 ± 0.03	826.1
FE + Goethite + RAMEB 100 mM	3.63 ± 0.31	190.9
FE + Bentonite	3.62 ± 0.32	191.5
FE + Bentonite + RAMEB 100 mM	$K_{\rm lim} = 34.03 \pm 2.16$	$t_{1/2(\text{lim})} = 20.4$
	$C_{\rm lim} = 33.15\% \pm 1.34$	

Table 2. Degradation constants, k_{deg} and half-life, $t_{1/2}$ of FE in the presence of colloidal components of the soil, with or without RAMEB.

times <60 min, the FE degradation shows a velocity much higher than the system without CD, as if it were able to act in synergy and increase the mildly photocatalytic ability exhibited by bentonite, because of a concentration-dependent modification by RAMEB of its surface, pore, and aggregate properties.^[32] Such a dual effect can be explained by the combination of the shielding properties of the bentonite with its ability to produce radical species on the surface adsorption.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the complex formation of herbicides with suitable CDs can be a useful tool for bioremediation of soils. In particular, the adopted technique has proven a very sensitive method in terms of toxicity evaluation at different potential contamination conditions, and it advances the knowledge of these applicative fields. As for the toxicity studies, the adaptation of the FE respirometry study on the effect of colloidal components and CDs is an area that still requires further investigation and understanding, and it will be evaluated in future studies. Analogously, the toxicity studies of both commercial products and degradation products in the presence of CDs with and without CDs are potential and attractive developments of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Vapnek, I. Pagotto, M. Kwoka. Designing national pesticide legislation. FAO - Legislative Study 97. Rome 2007.
- [2] Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of The Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC. EN Official Journal of the European Union 16.3.2005. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/ LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2005:070:0001:0016:EN: PDF (Accessed February, 29th 2012).
- [3] J.L. Alberdi, M.E. Sáenz, W.D. Di Marzio, M.C. Tortorelli. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 1996; 57, 229-235
- [4] R.K.D. Peterson, A.G. Hulting. Weed Science, 2004; 52, 834-844.
- R.L. Tominack. J. Toxicol. Clin. Toxicol., 2000; 38, 129-135.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Setting Tolerances for Pesticide Residues in Foods. http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/ factsheets/stprf.htm (February, 29th 2012).
- [7] M. Surgan, M. Condon, C. Cox. Environ. Manage., 2010; 45, 834-841.

- [8] L. Fuentes, L.J. Moore, J.H. Rodgers Jr., W.W. Bowerman, G.K. Yarrow, W.Y. Chao. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 2011; 30, 2756-2761
- [9] J. Villaverde, C. Maqueda, E. Morillo. J. Agric. Food Chem., 2005; 53, 5366-5372
- J. Villaverde, J.I. Pérez-Martínez, C. Maqueda, J.M. Ginés, [10] E. Morillo. Chemosphere, 2005; 60, 656-664.
- [11] A. Katayama, R. Bhula, G.R. Burns, E. Carazo, A. Felsot, D. Hamilton, C. Harris, Y.H. Kim, G. Kleter, W. Koedel, J. Linders, J.G. Peijnenburg, A. Sabljic, R.G. Stephenson, D.K. Racke, B. Rubin, K. Tanaka, J. Unsworth, R.D. Wauchope. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 2010; 203, 1-86.
- [12] J. Szejtli. *Starch/Stärke*, 1985; 37, 382–386.
 [13] J. Villaverde, C. Maqueda, T. Undabeytia, E. Morillo. *Chemosphere*, 2007; 69, 575–584.
- [14] D. Braconi, M. Sotgiu, L. Millucci, A. Paffetti, F. Tasso, C. Alisi, S. Martini, R. Rappuoli, P. Lusini, A.R. Sprocati, C. Rossi, A. Santucci. J. Agric. Food Chem., 2006; 54, 3163-3172
- [15] L. Lucini, G.P. Molinari. Pest Manag. Sci., 2010; 66, 621-626.
- X. Chen, S. Yu, L. Han, S. Sun, Y. Zhi, W. Li. Bull. Environ. [16] Contam. Toxicol., 2011; 87, 50-53.
- A. Zhang, W. Liu, L. Wang, Y. Wen. J. Agric. Food Chem., 2005; 53, 7193–7197. [17]
- [18] E. Fenyvesi, K. Gruiz, S. Verstichel, B. De Wilde, L. Leitgib, K. Csabai, N. Szaniszlo. Chemosphere, 2005; 60, 1001-1008.
- [19] C.I. Harris, G.F. Warren. Weeds, 1964; 12, 120-126.
- [20] G. Lagaly. Prog. Colloid. Polym. Sci., 1994; 95, 61-72.
- S. Nir, Y. El Nahhal, T. Undabeytia, G. Rytwo, T. Polubesova, [21] Y. Mishael, U. Rabinovitz, B. RubinIn. In the book of Clay Science, Vol. 1Eds. (Eds.: F. Bergaya, 1995). Theng, G. Lagaly)Vol., Elsevier, London, 2006pp; pp. 677–691.
- [22] S.K. Han, T.M. Hwang, Y. Yoon, J.W. Kang. Chemosphere, **2011**: 84, 1095–1101.
- [23] T. Higuchi, K.A. Connors. Adv. Anal. Chem. Instrum., 1965; 4, 117–212.
- [24] S.F. D'Souza. Biosens. Bioelectron., 2001; 16, 337-353.
- [25] L. Campanella, T. Gatta, M. Pintore. Sens. Instrumen. Food *Qual.*, **2009**; 3, 143–155. S. Tommasini, D. Raneri, R. Ficarra, M.L. Calabrò, R.
- [26] Stancanelli, P. Ficarra. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2004; 35, 379-387.
- [27] C. Dos Santos, M.P. Buera, M.F. Mazzobre. J. Sci. Food Agric., 2011; 91, 2551-2557.
- [28] D. Landy, I. Mallard, A. Ponchel, E. Monflier, S. Fourmentin. In Cyclodextrins for Remediation Technologies. In Environmental Chemistry for a Sustainable World, Vol. 1Eds. (Eds.: E. Lichtfouse, J. Schwarzbauer, D. Robert)Vol, Springer, 2012pp; pp. 47-81.
- [29] H.D. Burrows, M.L. Canle, J.A. Santaballa, S. Steenken. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 2002; 67, 71-108.
- [30] C.K. Yeh, C.Y. Hsu, C.H. Chiu, K.L. Huang. J. Hazard. Mater., 2008; 151, 562-569
- [31] M.A. TarrIn. In Chemical Degradation Methods for Wastes and Pollutants Environmental and Industrial Applications (Ed.: M.A. Tarr)Ed., CRC Press, **2003pp.; pp.** 165–200.
- [32] G. Jozefaciuk, A. Muranyi, E. Fenyvesi. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2003; 37, 3012-3017.

Journal: Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering Article: apj_1654

Dear Author,

During the copyediting of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by annotating your proofs with the necessary changes/additions.

- · If you intend to annotate your proof electronically, please refer to the E-annotation guidelines.
- If you intend to annotate your proof by means of hard-copy mark-up, please refer to the proof mark-up symbols guidelines. If manually writing corrections on your proof and returning it by fax, do not write too close to the edge of the paper. Please remember that illegible mark-ups may delay publication.

Whether you opt for hard-copy or electronic annotation of your proofs, we recommend that you provide additional clarification of answers to queries by entering your answers on the query sheet, in addition to the text mark-up.

Query No.	Query	Remark
Q1	Wiley-Blackwell/Editor: Please supply date of submission, revision and acceptance.	
Q2	AUTHOR: Please check the suitability of the suggested short title.	
Q3	AUTHOR: Please provide publisher location in reference 28.	
Q4	AUTHOR: Please provide publisher location in reference 31.	

WILEY-BLACKWELL

USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION

Required software to e-Annotate PDFs: <u>Adobe Acrobat Professional</u> or <u>Adobe Reader</u> (version 8.0 or above). (Note that this document uses screenshots from <u>Adobe Reader X</u>) The latest version of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at: <u>http://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the Comment tab at the right of the toolbar:



3. Add note to text Tool – for highlighting a section to be changed to bold or italic.



Highlights text in yellow and opens up a text box where comments can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight the relevant section of text.
- Click on the Add note to text icon in the Annotations section.
- Type instruction on what should be changed regarding the text into the yellow box that appears.

4. Add sticky note Tool – for making notes at specific points in the text.



Marks a point in the proof where a comment needs to be highlighted.

How to use it

- Click on the Add sticky note icon in the Annotations section.
- Click at the point in the proof where the comment should be inserted.



• Type the comment into the yellow box that appears.

tand and supply shocks, most of



USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION





How to use it

- Click on one of the shapes in the Drawing Markups section.
- Click on the proof at the relevant point and draw the selected shape with the cursor.
- To add a comment to the drawn shape, move the cursor over the shape until an arrowhead appears.
- Double click on the shape and type any text in the red box that appears.



Allows shapes, lines and freeform annotations to be drawn on proofs and for comment to be made on these marks..



WILEY-BLACKWELL

For further information on how to annotate proofs, click on the Help menu to reveal a list of further options:

🔁 ecoj_2384_CrxRev2_EV_19-Jul-10.pdf - Adobe Reader							
File	Edit View Wind	ow Help				×	
1		Adobe Reader X <u>H</u> elp	F1	-		Tools Comment Share	
R	characterised different de prices (Bert	by m About Adobe Reader X About Adobe <u>P</u> lug-Ins			ſ	Annotations	
	costs allow u sector. There	to er fore, i Improvement Program Options				🖻 🦻 🍪 🖉 –	
	on the form number of fi	of com ms. Digital Editio <u>n</u> s			=	Та 🛱 🕂 <u>Т</u> Тр	
	of the econo	my the <u>O</u> nline Support	•				
	the costs of	^{evel, a} Repair Adobe Reader Installation				 Drawing Markups 	
	because of the Moreover, si	e tend Check for <u>U</u> pdates				▼ Comments List (14)	
	novel form o BGM model	f dyn In pPu <u>r</u> chase Adobe Acrobat				Q Find A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
	high enoug maximise st	, the number of firms is above the 'golden rule' number ady state utility: when consumers are extremely patient the	that would ey save too			🥖 dthreshe 🕶 🔺	



WILEY AUTHOR DISCOUNT CLUB

We would like to show our appreciation to you, a highly valued contributor to Wiley's publications, by offering a **unique 25% discount** off the published price of any of our books*.

All you need to do is apply for the **Wiley Author Discount Card** by completing the attached form and returning it to us at the following address:

The Database Group (Author Club) John Wiley & Sons Ltd The Atrium Southern Gate Chichester PO19 8SQ UK

Alternatively, you can **register online** at <u>www.wileyeurope.com/go/authordiscount</u> Please pass on details of this offer to any co-authors or fellow contributors.

After registering you will receive your Wiley Author Discount Card with a special promotion code, which you will need to quote whenever you order books direct from us.

The quickest way to order your books from us is via our European website at:

http://www.wileyeurope.com

Key benefits to using the site and ordering online include: Real-time SECURE on-line ordering Easy catalogue browsing Dedicated Author resource centre Opportunity to sign up for subject-orientated e-mail alerts

Alternatively, you can order direct through Customer Services at: <u>cs-books@wiley.co.uk</u>, or call +44 (0)1243 843294, fax +44 (0)1243 843303

So take advantage of this great offer and return your completed form today.

Yours sincerely,

600

Verity Leaver Group Marketing Manager <u>author@wiley.co.uk</u>

***TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

This offer is exclusive to Wiley Authors, Editors, Contributors and Editorial Board Members in acquiring books for their personal use. There must be no resale through any channel. The offer is subject to stock availability and cannot be applied retrospectively. This entitlement cannot be used in conjunction with any other special offer. Wiley reserves the right to amend the terms of the offer at any time.



REGISTRATION FORM For Wiley Author Club Discount Card

To enjoy your 25% discount, tell us your areas of interest and you will receive relevant catalogues or leaflets from which to select your books. Please indicate your specific subject areas below.

Accounting Public	[]	Architecture	[]
Corporate	[]	Business/Management	[]
Chemistry Analytical Industrial/Safety Organic Inorganic Polymer Spectroscopy	[] [] [] [] [] []	Computer Science Database/Data Warehouse Internet Business Networking Programming/Software Development Object Technology	
Encyclopedia/Reference Business/Finance Life Sciences Medical Sciences Physical Sciences Technology	[] [] [] [] []	Engineering Civil Communications Technology Electronic Environmental Industrial Mechanical	
Earth & Environmental Science Hospitality	[]	Finance/Investing Economics Institutional Personal Finance	[] [] [] []
Genetics Bioinformatics/ Computational Biology Proteomics Genomics Gene Mapping Clinical Genetics		Life Science Landscape Architecture Mathematics Statistics	[] [] []
		Manufacturing Materials Science	[]
Medical Science Cardiovascular Diabetes Endocrinology Imaging Obstetrics/Gynaecology Oncology Pharmacology Psychiatry		Manufacturing Materials Science Psychology Clinical Forensic Social & Personality Health & Sport Cognitive Organizational Developmental & Special Ed Child Welfare Self-Help	[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []

Please complete the next page /



I confirm that I am (*delete where not applicable):

a **Wiley** Book Author/Editor/Contributor* of the following book(s): ISBN:

ISBN:

a **Wiley** Journal Editor/Contributor/Editorial Board Member* of the following journal(s):

SIGNATURE:	 Date:

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING DETAILS IN BLOCK CAPITALS:

JOB TITLE (or Occupation):	TITLE: (e.g. Mr, Mrs, Dr) FULL NAME:
DEPARTMENT:	JOB TITLE (or Occupation):
COMPANY/INSTITUTION:	DEPARTMENT:
ADDRESS:	COMPANY/INSTITUTION:
TOWN/CITY:	ADDRESS:
TOWN/CITY: COUNTY/STATE: COUNTRY: POSTCODE/ZIP CODE: DAYTIME TEL: FAX: E-MAIL:	
COUNTY/STATE:	TOWN/CITY:
COUNTRY:	COUNTY/STATE:
POSTCODE/ZIP CODE:	COUNTRY:
DAYTIME TEL:	POSTCODE/ZIP CODE:
FAX:	DAYTIME TEL:
E-MAIL:	FAX:
	E-MAIL:

YOUR PERSONAL DATA

We, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, will use the information you have provided to fulfil your request. In addition, we would like to:

- Use your information to keep you informed by post of titles and offers of interest to you and available from us or other Wiley Group companies worldwide, and may supply your details to members of the Wiley Group for this purpose.
 Please tick the box if you do **NOT** wish to receive this information
- 2. Share your information with other carefully selected companies so that they may contact you by post with details of titles and offers that may be of interest to you.
 - $[\] \$ Please tick the box if you do $\ensuremath{\textbf{NOT}}$ wish to receive this information.

E-MAIL ALERTING SERVICE

We also offer an alerting service to our author base via e-mail, with regular special offers and competitions. If you **DO** wish to receive these, please opt in by ticking the box [].

If, at any time, you wish to stop receiving information, please contact the Database Group (<u>databasegroup@wiley.co.uk</u>) at John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, PO19 8SQ, UK.

TERMS & CONDITIONS

This offer is exclusive to Wiley Authors, Editors, Contributors and Editorial Board Members in acquiring books for their personal use. There should be no resale through any channel. The offer is subject to stock availability and may not be applied retrospectively. This entitlement cannot be used in conjunction with any other special offer. Wiley reserves the right to vary the terms of the offer at any time.

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO:

Database Group (Author Club), John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, PO19 8SQ, UK <u>author@wiley.co.uk</u> Fax: +44 (0)1243 770154