

# Logic Explained Networks

Gabriele Ciravegna<sup>1</sup>, Pietro Barbiero<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Giannini<sup>3,\*</sup>, Marco Gori<sup>1,4</sup>,  
Pietro Liò<sup>2</sup>, Marco Maggini<sup>4</sup> and Stefano Melacci<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Maasai, Inria, I3S, CNRS, Université Côte d'Azur, (France)*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Computer Science and Technology, University of Cambridge (UK)*

<sup>3</sup>*Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per l'Informatica, CINI, (Italy)*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Information Engineering and Mathematics, University of Siena (Italy)*

The rising popularity of deep learning has brought to light a fundamental limitation of neural network architectures: they lack the ability to provide interpretable justifications for their decisions, making them unsuitable for contexts where human experts require transparent explanations [1]. This abstract summarizes a newly introduced comprehensive approach to Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI), which demonstrates how a deliberate design of neural networks produces a family of interpretable deep learning models known as Logic Explained Networks (LEN) [2]. LENs only necessitate human-understandable predicates as input concepts and offer logic explanations of the output predictions via a set of First-Order Logic (FOL) formulas build on these predicates (see an example in Figure 1). A very interesting feature of this model is its versatility, indeed LENs can be applied in many use cases, including as interpretable classifiers or to explain another black-box model. In case of interpretable classification, some design choices, like learning criterion and parsimony index, allows to achieve state-of-the-art results in the prediction accuracy while gaining transparency on the model's decision process [3]. Concerning the learning paradigms, LENs can be successfully trained to learn and provide explanations both in supervised and unsupervised learning settings [2, 4].

**Experimental Analysis** Experimental findings on several datasets and tasks demonstrate that LENs can yield superior classifications compared to established white-box models such as decision trees and Bayesian rule lists[5], while providing more succinct and meaningful explanations. For instance, LENs have been applied to classification problems ranging from computer vision to medicine, such as (MIMIC-II) [6] and (CUB) [7], and recently also to NLP tasks [8], always with the aim of solving the classification task, while also providing FOL explanations of the underlying decision process. In [3] six quantitative metrics are defined and used to compare the proposed approach with other state-of-the-art methods. In addition, in order to make LENs accessible to the whole community, we released the library PyTorch,

---


*NeSy 2023, 17th International Workshop on Neural-Symbolic Learning and Reasoning, Certosa di Pontignano, Siena, Italy*

\*Corresponding author.


✉ [gabriele.ciravegna@unifi.it](mailto:gabriele.ciravegna@unifi.it) (G. Ciravegna); [pb737@cam.ac.uk](mailto:pb737@cam.ac.uk) (P. Barbiero); [francesco.giannini@unisi.it](mailto:francesco.giannini@unisi.it) (F. Giannini); [marco.gori@unisi.it](mailto:marco.gori@unisi.it) (M. Gori); [pl219@cam.ac.uk](mailto:pl219@cam.ac.uk) (P. Liò); [marco.maggini@unisi.it](mailto:marco.maggini@unisi.it) (M. Maggini); [mela@diism.unisi.it](mailto:mela@diism.unisi.it) (S. Melacci)



© 2023 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

 CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org)

Explain! as a Python package on PyPI: <https://pypi.org/project/torch-explain/> with an extensive documentation that is available on read at <https://pytorch-explain.readthedocs.io/en/latest/>



**Figure 1:** Example of a possible instance of a LEN on the CUB 200-2011 fine-grained classification dataset. Here, a LEN is placed on top of a convolutional neural network  $g(\cdot)$  in order to (i) classify the species of the bird in input and (ii) provide an explanation on why it belongs to this class.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by TAILOR and by HumanE-AI-Net, projects funded by EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under GA No 952215 and No 952026, respectively.

## References

- [1] A. Chander, R. Srinivasan, S. Chelian, J. Wang, K. Uchino, Working with beliefs: Ai transparency in the enterprise., in: IUI Workshops, volume 1, 2018.
- [2] G. Ciravegna, P. Barbiero, F. Giannini, M. Gori, P. Lió, M. Maggini, S. Melacci, Logic explained networks, *Artificial Intelligence* 314 (2023) 103822.
- [3] P. Barbiero, G. Ciravegna, F. Giannini, P. Lió, M. Gori, S. Melacci, Entropy-based logic explanations of neural networks, in: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, 2022, pp. 6046–6054.
- [4] G. Ciravegna, F. Giannini, S. Melacci, M. Maggini, M. Gori, A constraint-based approach to learning and explanation, in: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, 2020, pp. 3658–3665.
- [5] H. Yang, C. Rudin, M. Seltzer, Scalable bayesian rule lists, in: *International conference on machine learning*, PMLR, 2017, pp. 3921–3930.
- [6] M. Saeed, M. Villarroel, A. T. Reisner, G. Clifford, L.-W. Lehman, G. Moody, T. Heldt, T. H. Kyaw, B. Moody, R. G. Mark, Multiparameter intelligent monitoring in intensive care ii (mimic-ii): a public-access intensive care unit database, *Critical care medicine* 39 (2011) 952.
- [7] C. Wah, S. Branson, P. Welinder, P. Perona, S. Belongie, The caltech-ucsd birds-200-2011 dataset (2011).
- [8] R. Jain, G. Ciravegna, P. Barbiero, F. Giannini, D. Buffelli, P. Lio, Extending logic explained networks to text classification, in: *Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2022.