



Central nervous system and osteodural multiple myeloma

Alessandro Gozzetti[^]

Hematology, University of Siena, AOUS, Policlinico Le Scotte, Siena, Italy

Correspondence to: Prof. Alessandro Gozzetti, MD, PhD. Hematology, University of Siena, Policlinico Le Scotte, viale Bracci 16, 53100 Siena, Italy.

Email: gozzetti@unisi.it.

Comment on: Xu Z, Yang S, Zhu L, *et al.* Visual impairment as the initial presentation in multiple myeloma: a case report and literature review. *Transl Cancer Res* 2024;13:5149-56.

Keywords: Central nervous system (CNS); myeloma; therapy

Submitted Dec 15, 2024. Accepted for publication Feb 03, 2025. Published online Mar 25, 2025.

doi: 10.21037/tcr-2024-2157

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-2024-2157>

I read with interest the case report of Xu and colleagues (1), in which they described a 53-year-old male patient admitted to the Neurosurgery Department for visual impairment and a intracranial (IC) right temporal mass that revealed to be a plasmacytoma. In particular, cranial magnetic resonance imaging showed a 3.5 cm × 2.1 cm right temporal bone tumor. The patient underwent endoscope- assisted surgery from the skull base. Postoperative pathology revealed no brain malignancy and a diagnosis of plasmacytoma was made. Blood and marrow workup revealed a multiple myeloma (MM) immunoglobulin G (Ig) lambda with a multiple bone localizations and cranial involvement. Patient responded well to ixazomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone and radiotherapy (RT). Authors stated a central nervous system (CNS) involvement, but it seems probably a para-osseous mass involving the temporal bone. I would like to report our experience (not cited by the authors) (2) to underline the importance that the different localization of CNS or para-osseous myeloma can make on therapy and prognosis. We have been interested in studying cranial myeloma for several years (3-5) and collected cases from hematological Institutions in Italy from 2000 until 2010. IC involvement in MM is uncommon and often it arises from a bone mass in the skull base or dural involvement, i.e., osteodural (OD) (5). CNS MM is characterized by intra-parenchymal lesions or CNS diffusion that consists with the detection of myeloma cells in the cerebrospinal fluid (2). Prognosis is usually poor in

these patients, however in our national trial we showed that novel drugs (such as first and second-generation proteasome inhibitors and immunomodulatory drugs) (6) associated with RT and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) can ameliorate responses and ultimately progression free and overall survivals (PFS, OS). In fact, OD myeloma seem to behave differently from true CNS MM because it can respond as well as the usual myeloma.

Briefly, we described 50 patients and differentiate 38 OD localizations and 12 CNS localizations: median survivals were 25 months for OD and 12 months for CNS myeloma patients. Better prognosis was seen in those patients treated with ASCT and bortezomib or lenalidomide. Achieving a complete response (CR) or very good partial response (VGPR) was predictive of survival in all IC [CR + VGPR *vs.* partial response (PR) + no response (NR), not reached *vs.* 12 months, respectively, $P=0.004$]. This was confirmed in both CNS and OD MM (not reached *vs.* 5 months, $P=0.008$; not reached *vs.* 20 months, $P=0.03$, respectively). When we compared ASCT *vs.* chemotherapy in IC and OD this was not significant because of a low number of patients analysed but a trend for better survival was seen ($P=0.03$). Patients receiving RT *vs.* no RT did not have benefit ($P=0.6$), while a benefit was observed in MM patients treated with novel drugs + RT *vs.* other therapies ($P=0.001$). Age >65 years was not predictive for survival, but β_2 -microglobulin >5.5 was a poorly associated with survival ($P=0.002$). IC MM had a better PFS when B_2 microglobulin was <5.5 ($P=0.004$)

[^] ORCID: 0000-0003-0769-6891.

and when novel agents *vs.* chemotherapy were compared ($P=0.02$). Also when RT was associated with novel agents and compared with chemotherapy treated patients ($P=0.02$).

We recently define real-life practical guidelines (7) to help decision making and awareness of CNS myeloma. When a patient with a cranial mass enters our hospital, MM should be searched for a differential diagnosis. It is mandatory to assess all the diagnostic features to look for a monoclonal component in the serum and urine such as: serum and urine total protein and electrophoresis, serum and urine immunofixation. Some drugs can reduce the bulk of disease and patient could ultimately benefit from high dose therapy and RT. In conclusion, we need to differentiate between CNS and OD myeloma, to collect and describe cases with IC myeloma from clinical and biological point of view and as shown by Xu and colleagues, teamwork (neurosurgery, hematology, RT) is fundamental for success in treating these patients.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Funding: None.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-2024-2157/coif>). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related

to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Xu Z, Yang S, Zhu L, et al. Visual impairment as the initial presentation in multiple myeloma: a case report and literature review. *Transl Cancer Res* 2024;13:5149-56.
2. Gozzetti A, Cerase A, Lotti F, et al. Extramedullary intracranial localization of multiple myeloma and treatment with novel agents: a retrospective survey of 50 patients. *Cancer* 2012;118:1574-84.
3. Gozzetti A, Cerase A, Tarantino A, et al. Multiple myeloma involving the cavernous sinus: a report of 3 cases and response to bortezomib. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma* 2007;7:376-8.
4. Pirrotta MT, Gozzetti A, Cerase A, et al. Unusual discordant responses in two multiple myeloma patients during bortezomib treatment. *Onkologie* 2008;31:45-7.
5. Cerase A, Tarantino A, Gozzetti A, et al. Intracranial involvement in plasmacytomas and multiple myeloma: a pictorial essay. *Neuroradiology* 2008;50:665-74.
6. Gozzetti A, Cerase A. Novel agents in CNS myeloma treatment. *Cent Nerv Syst Agents Med Chem* 2014;14:23-7.
7. Sammartano V, Cerase A, Venanzi V, et al. Central Nervous System Myeloma and Unusual Extramedullary Localizations: Real Life Practical Guidance. *Front Oncol* 2022;12:934240.

Cite this article as: Gozzetti A. Central nervous system and osteodural multiple myeloma. *Transl Cancer Res* 2025;14(3):2168-2169. doi: 10.21037/tcr-2024-2157