



Clinical science

Unfolding dermatological spectrum of Still's disease: a cohort study from the International AIDA Network Still's Disease Registry

Laura Calabrese^{1,2,3}, Martina D'Onghia^{1,3}, Alessandra Cartocci^{1,3}, Andrea Hinojosa-Azaola ⁴, Jiram Torres-Ruiz⁴, Giuseppe Lopalco ⁵, Jessica Sbalchiero^{3,6}, Valeria Caggiano^{3,6}, Henrique A. Mayrink Giardini ⁷, Ibrahim A. Almaghlouth ^{8,9}, Piero Ruscitti¹⁰, Ilenia Di Cola ¹⁰, Petros P. Sfikakis ¹¹, Katerina Laskari ¹², Paolo Sfriso¹³, Lorenzo Dagna^{14,15}, Corrado Campochiaro ^{14,15}, Abdurrahman Tufan ¹⁶, Hamit Kucuk¹⁶, Riza Can Kardas¹⁶, Abdulsamet Erden ¹⁶, Gaafar Ragab ^{17,18}, Mohamed Tharwat Hegazy^{17,18}, Ahmed Hatem Laymouna¹⁷, Luca Navarini^{19,20}, Onorina Berardicurti^{19,20}, Francesco Ciccio ²¹, Daniela Iacono²¹, Flavia Riccio²¹, Lampros Fotis ²², Haner Direskeneli²³, Joanna Makowska²⁴, Annamaria Iagnocco²⁵, Alessandro Conforti²⁶, Donato Rigante^{27,28}, Maissa Thabet^{29,30}, Florenzo Iannone ⁵, Daniele Domanico⁵, Marcello Govoni ³¹, Maria Cristina Maggio³², Emanuela Del Giudice ³³, Francesco La Torre³⁴, Ezgi D. Batu ³⁵, Seza Ozen³⁵, Carla Gaggiano ^{3,6}, Eduardo Martín-Nares ⁴, Guillermo Arturo Guaracha-Basañez⁴, Anastasios Karamanakis³⁶, Alberto Lo Gullo ³⁷, Benedetta Monosi³⁸, Elena Bartoloni ³⁹, José Hernández-Rodríguez⁴⁰, Verónica Gómez-Caverzaschi⁴⁰, Giacomo Emmi^{41,42,43}, Sukran Erten ⁴⁴, Francesco Carubbi⁴⁵, Maria Francesca Gicchino⁴⁶, Amato De Paulis^{47,48}, Giovanni Conti⁴⁹, Benson Ogunjimi^{50,51,52,53}, Ewa Wiesik-Szewczyk ⁵⁴, Anna Nowakowska-Płaza⁵⁴, Ombretta Viapiana⁵⁵, Piercarlo Sarzi-Puttini⁵⁶, Samar Tharwat^{57,58}, Francesca Crisafulli ⁵⁹, Paola Parronchi ⁶⁰, Antonio Gidaro⁶¹, Ludovico De Stefano ⁶², Luciana Breda ⁶³, Lidia La Barbera⁶⁴, Giuliana Guggino ⁶⁴, Alberio Balistreri^{3,65}, Claudia Fabiani^{3,66}, Pietro Rubegni^{1,3}, Bruno Frediani^{3,6}, Roberto Giacomelli^{19,20}, Luca Cantarini ^{3,6,*}, Antonio Vitale^{3,6}, From the International AIDA (AutoInflammatory Diseases Alliance) Network and the Autoinflammatory Diseases Working Group of the Italian Society of Rheumatology (SIR)[‡]

¹Dermatology Unit, Department of Medical, Surgical and Neurological Sciences, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

²Institute of Dermatology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

³Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Senese [European Reference Network (ERN) for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases (RITA) Center], Siena, Italy

⁴Department of Immunology and Rheumatology, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico

⁵Department of Precision and Regenerative Medicine and Ionian Area (DiMePRE-J) Policlinic Hospital, University of Bari, Bari, Italy

⁶Department of Medical Sciences, Surgery and Neurosciences, Research Center of Systemic Autoinflammatory Diseases and Behçet's Disease Clinic, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

⁷Rheumatology Division, Faculdade de Medicina, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁸Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁹College of Medicine Research Center, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

¹⁰Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy

¹¹Joint Academic Rheumatology Program, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

¹²Rheumatology Unit, 1st Dept. of Propaedeutic Internal Medicine, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece

- ¹³Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Padua, [European Reference Network (ERN) for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases (RITA) Center], Padua, Italy
- ¹⁴Faculty of Medicine, Università Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Milan, Italy
- ¹⁵Unit of Immunology, Rheumatology, Allergy and Rare Diseases, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy
- ¹⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Gazi University Hospital, Ankara, Turkey
- ¹⁷Internal Medicine Department, Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt
- ¹⁸Faculty of Medicine, Newgiza University, Giza, Egypt
- ¹⁹Clinical and research section of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Fondazione Policlinico Campus Bio-Medico, Rome, Italy
- ²⁰Department of Medicine, University of Rome "Campus Biomedico" School of Medicine, Rheumatology, Immunology and Clinical Medicine Unit, Rome, Italy
- ²¹Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy
- ²²Department of Pediatrics, Attikon General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ²³Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Marmara University, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey
- ²⁴Department of Rheumatology, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland
- ²⁵Academic Rheumatology Centre, Dipartimento Scienze Cliniche e Biologiche, Università degli Studi di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ²⁶U.O. Medicina Generale, Ospedale San Paolo di Civitavecchia, ASL Roma 4, Civitavecchia, Rome, Italy
- ²⁷Department of Life Sciences and Public Health, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy
- ²⁸Periodic Fever Research Center, Università Cattolica Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy
- ²⁹Internal Medicine Department, Farhat Hached University Hospital, Sousse, Tunisia
- ³⁰Faculty of Medicine of Sousse, University of Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia
- ³¹Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medical Sciences, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria S. Anna-Ferrara, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
- ³²University Department of Health Promotion, Mother and Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties (PROMISE) "G. D'Alessandro", University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy
- ³³Pediatric and Neonatology Unit, Department of Maternal Infantile and Urological Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Latina, Italy
- ³⁴Department of Pediatrics, Pediatric Rheumatology Center, Giovanni XXIII Pediatric Hospital, University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- ³⁵Department of Pediatric Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ³⁶Department of Rheumatology, "Evangelismos" General Hospital, Athens, Greece
- ³⁷UOSD Reumatologia, ARNAS Garibaldi, Catania, Italy
- ³⁸Rheumatology, Allergology and Clinical Immunology, Fondazione Policlinico Tor Vergata, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- ³⁹Section of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- ⁴⁰Clinical Unit of Autoinflammatory Diseases, Department of Autoimmune Diseases, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Hospital Clínic de Barcelona [European Reference Network (ERN) for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases (RITA) Center], University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁴¹Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy
- ⁴²Clinical Medicine and Rheumatology Unit, Cattinara University Hospital, Trieste, Italy
- ⁴³Centre for Inflammatory Diseases, Monash University Department of Medicine, Monash Medical Centre, Melbourne, Australia
- ⁴⁴Department of Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine Ankara City Hospital, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁴⁵Department of Life, Health & Environmental Sciences and Internal Medicine and Nephrology Unit, Department of Medicine, University of L'Aquila and ASL Avezano-Sulmona-L'Aquila, San Salvatore Hospital, L'Aquila, Italy
- ⁴⁶Department of Woman, Child and of General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Napoli, Italy
- ⁴⁷Department of Translational Medical Sciences, Section of Clinical Immunology, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy
- ⁴⁸Center for Basic and Clinical Immunology Research (CISI), WAO Center of Excellence, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy
- ⁴⁹Pediatric Nephrology and Rheumatology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria (AOU), "G. Martino", Messina, Italy
- ⁵⁰"Antwerp Center for Translational Immunology and Virology, Vaccine and Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- ⁵¹Antwerp Unit for Data Analysis and Computation in Immunology and Sequencing, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- ⁵²Department of Paediatrics, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium
- ⁵³Center for Health Economics Research and Modeling Infectious Diseases, Vaccine and Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- ⁵⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Pneumology, Allergology, Clinical Immunology and Rare Diseases, Military Institute of Medicine National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland
- ⁵⁵Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, University and Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata of Verona, Verona, Italy
- ⁵⁶Rheumatology Unit, IRCCS Ospedale Galeazzi-S. Ambrogio, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy
- ⁵⁷Rheumatology and Immunology Unit, Internal Medicine Department, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt
- ⁵⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Horus University, New Damietta, Egypt
- ⁵⁹Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Spedali Civili and Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, [European Reference Network (ERN) for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases (RITA) Center], Brescia, Italy
- ⁶⁰Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy
- ⁶¹Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences Luigi Sacco, Luigi Sacco Hospital, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
- ⁶²Rheumatology Department, Istituto di ricovero e cura a carattere scientifico Policlinico S. Matteo Fondazione, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ⁶³Department of Paediatrics, University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy
- ⁶⁴Rheumatology Section, Department of Health Promotion, Mother and Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, University Hospital P. Giaccone, Palermo, Italy
- ⁶⁵Bioengineering and Biomedical Data Science Lab, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy
- ⁶⁶Ophthalmology Unit, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neurosciences, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

*Correspondence to: Luca Cantarini, Department of Medical Sciences, Surgery and Neurosciences, Research Center of Systemic Autoinflammatory Diseases and Behçet's Disease Clinics, Rheumatology Unit, University of Siena, Policlinico "Le Scotte", viale Bracci 16, 53100 Siena, Italy.
E-mail: cantariniluca@hotmail.com

†See Acknowledgements for a complete list of authors who are part of the International AIDA (AutoInflammatory Diseases Alliance) Network and the Autoinflammatory Diseases Working Group of the Italian Society of Rheumatology (SIR).

Abstract

Objectives: To investigate cutaneous manifestations in Still's disease patients, evaluating any correlation with ethnic origin, age at disease onset, disease patterns, occurrence of macrophage activation syndrome (MAS) and systemic activity scores.

Methods: Data were retrospectively drawn from the International AutoInflammatory Disease Alliance (AIDA) Network Registry dedicated to Still's disease.

Results: A total of 518 patients (41.3% males) were enrolled. Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash ($n=304$, 63.9%), macules ($n=40$, 7.7%), urticarial eruptions ($n=31$, 5.9%), erythema ($n=27$, 5.2%) and persistent pruritic papules and plaques (PPPP) ($n=25$, 4.8%) accounted for the most frequent skin manifestations observed in Still's disease. Overall, atypical skin rash were described in 110 (21.2%) patients. Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash and pruritus were more common among patients aged <16 years compared with patients aged 16–60 ($P=0.002$ and $P=0.008$, respectively). Pruritus was significantly more frequent among White than among Arab patients ($P=0.008$) and in polycyclic vs monocyclic course ($P=0.049$). Hispanics showed a significantly higher rate of atypical skin manifestations compared with Arabs ($P=0.036$) and White ($P=0.036$). Also, macules were more frequent among Hispanics than White ($P=0.027$), while PPPP was more frequent among Hispanics than Arabs ($P=0.023$) and White ($P=0.002$). Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash was significantly more frequent among patients with a systemic activity score ≥ 7 ($P<0.001$).

Conclusion: The present study enhances dermatologists' awareness of the diverse cutaneous lesions that may represent heterogeneous manifestations of Still's disease, shedding new light on the difference related to the age at disease onset, the patients' ethnic origin and the severity of the disease.

Keywords: registry, AIDA network, Still's disease, skin lesions, rare diseases, autoinflammatory diseases, systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis.

Rheumatology key messages

- Cutaneous manifestations in Still's disease vary significantly by age, ethnicity and disease severity.
- Atypical skin lesions are more frequent among Hispanic patients and older age groups.
- Typical salmon-coloured rash correlates with higher systemic disease activity.

Introduction

Still's disease is a systemic autoinflammatory disease more frequently affecting children and young adults [1]. Fever, arthralgia, arthritis, lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly, liver involvement and cutaneous eruption account for the commonest signs observed in affected patients. The course of the disease is classically divided into a systemic and a chronic-articular subtype and can be complicated by the onset of macrophage activation syndrome (MAS), characterized by excessive activation of macrophages and T-lymphocytes, with overwhelming systemic inflammation and potentially fatal multi-organ failure [2, 3]. Other potentially life-threatening complications encompass acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), fulminant hepatitis and cardiovascular complications such as myocarditis and pericarditis [4].

The hallmark cutaneous manifestation of Still's disease is a transient, salmon-coloured maculopapular rash that typically occurs during febrile episodes [5]. The appearance of the rash can be fleeting, frequently resolving within a few hours, which can pose challenges for clinical observation. In addition to the classic salmon-coloured rash, patients with Still's disease may present with other atypical skin manifestations, the most common being persistent pruritic papules and plaques (PPPP) [6]. The occurrence of atypical skin lesions is well documented in adult-onset Still's disease (AOSD) and has been occasionally described in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (sJIA) [7]. Given the plethora of skin manifestations in Still's disease, recognizing them is crucial for dermatologists to achieve an accurate diagnosis and effective management, as atypical skin lesions can often lead to misdiagnosis.

Indeed, due to the rarity of the disease, large observational studies on a wide number of patients with Still's disease, particularly focusing on skin manifestations, are lacking. The aim of this study was to thoroughly explore a large international cohort of patients with Still's disease, with a focus on skin lesions, and to correlate these with patients' ethnicities, age at onset, disease course and severity, as well as with the onset of specific clinical complications.

Methods

Study design and participants

This study was based on data from the International AutoInflammatory Disease Alliance (AIDA) Network Registry dedicated to Still's disease [8]. Data were retrospectively collected. The enrolment period started in July 2021; we retrospectively extrapolated information on 518 Still's disease patients up to January 2024. Still's disease was classified according to internationally accepted criteria proposed by Yamaguchi *et al.* and/or Fautrel *et al.* for adult patients [9, 10]; the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) and/or Pediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organization (PRINTO) criteria were employed for patients aged <16 years [11, 12].

Age, sex, ethnic origin, age at disease diagnosis and onset, clinical features, and patterns of the disease, cutaneous manifestations, the occurrence of life-threatening complications such as MAS were collected. Three clinical patterns (monocyclic, polycyclic and chronic) of the disease course were considered. A monocyclic course was defined as a single episode

lasting >2 months but <1 year, followed by sustained remission through the entire follow-up period. A polycyclic course was characterized by recurrent systemic flares with remission between inflammatory episodes. A chronic course was defined as a disease pattern characterized by persistent disease activity with prominent joint involvement and less intense systemic inflammatory manifestations [13]. The MAS was defined according to either the 2016 classification criteria proposed by Ravelli *et al.* [14], and/or the HLH-2004 criteria developed by the Histiocyte Society [15], and/or the HScore, which has been developed and validated for the diagnosis of reactive HLH in both rheumatologic and non-rheumatologic conditions [15].

Cutaneous manifestations of the disease were documented, including the characteristic salmon-coloured skin rash, PPPP as the most frequent atypical presentation of Still's disease, and a variety of less common atypical skin lesions, ranging from flagellate erythema to vesiculopustular eruptions. Moreover, the presence of pruritus as a symptom was assessed. Additional clinical characteristics recorded included: fever, sore throat, arthralgia or arthritis, myalgia, lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, or liver dysfunction, abdominal or thoracic pain, pleuritis, pericarditis and ocular, renal, neurological and cardiac involvement. Skin lesions were considered as atypical cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease if occurring during active phases of the disease, characterized by the presence of at least fever, hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy or severe joint involvement, accompanied by markedly increased ESR, CRP and ferritin levels. Alternatively, skin manifestations were considered atypical if histopathological examination demonstrated features consistent with predominant neutrophilic infiltration. The severity of the disease was assessed using the Pouchot score, with a threshold of 7 [16, 17]. It assigns points to fever, rash, pleuritis, pneumonia, pericarditis, hepatomegaly or abnormal liver function tests, splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, leukocytes $\geq 15\,000\text{ mm}^3$, sore throat, myalgia and abdominal pain as key clinical and laboratory findings, with each item worth 1 point. Conversely, the Rau score, also known as modified Pouchot score, has been proposed and employed to assess disease activity, with a threshold of 4 between active and inactive disease [18]. It focuses on a slightly different range of systemic features and inflammatory parameters, as it incorporates serum ferritin levels $\geq 3000\text{ }\mu\text{g/l}$ and arthritis, replacing splenomegaly and abdominal pain. The term 'liver involvement' was defined as the presence of abnormal liver function and/or hepatomegaly, as identified by US and/or other radiological documentation. Fulminant hepatitis was defined as the rapid onset of acute liver failure characterized by severe hepatic dysfunction, coagulopathy and hepatic encephalopathy in a patient with no pre-existing liver disease [19].

The aim of the study was to investigate cutaneous manifestations in a large international cohort of patients with Still's disease, evaluating their correlation with ethnic origin, age at disease onset, patterns of the disease, life-threatening complications such MAS, Pouchot score and modified Pouchot score.

Protocol approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Siena, Siena, Italy (Protocol Number 14951) as part of the AIDA Program. The study protocol

conformed to the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent to participate in the international AIDA Registry for Still's disease patients was obtained from all patients and/or their legal guardians.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics included mean and standard deviation (S.D.) for continuous variables, while frequency and percentages were reported for qualitative variables. To determine whether the data distribution was normal or not, the Shapiro–Wilk test was employed. Chi-squared test, Fisher's exact test and Student's *t* test were performed to compare two groups. To evaluate the difference of quantitative variables between age ranges and ethnicities, ANOVA test was used. As *post hoc* analysis of ANOVA, the Tuckey procedure was assessed. Instead, multiple Fisher exact tests with false discovery rate correction were performed as *post hoc* of the chi-squared test. The *P*-values reported for the *post hoc* analysis have already been adjusted for multiple comparisons.

Effect sizes were calculated to complement statistical significance testing and to provide an estimate of the strength of associations. For categorical variables, Cramer's *V* was used, while for continuous variables analysed via ANOVA, Eta-squared was reported. Both statistics range from 0 to 1, with values closer to 1 indicating stronger associations and 0 representing no association. The interpretation of Cramer's *V* depends on the size of the contingency table: for 2×2 or 3×2 contingency tables, values of 0.30 or higher are indicative of a strong association, while larger tables require higher thresholds (≥ 0.50 for 4×2 tables) to denote strong associations. Regarding Eta-squared, values ≥ 0.14 were interpreted as indicative of a strong difference.

A *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data were assessed using software version R 4.1.0 (RStudio Team, 2023; Boston, MA, USA: Posit Software, PBC).

Results

Distribution of Still's disease manifestations

A total of 518 patients (41.3% males) were included in the present study. Demographic data and manifestations referring to Still's disease onset, including cutaneous manifestations, are summarized in Table 1. The frequency of extracutaneous signs observed up to the time of the enrolment is listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Overall, 390 (77.5%) patients were White, 50 (9.9%) Arabian, 45 (8.9%) Hispanic and 18 (3.4%) recorded as 'others' (including Asians, Black, Jewish, Native Americans). The mean age at disease onset was 31.93 ± 17.39 years. Regarding skin manifestations, 304 (63.9%) patients presented with a salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash. In addition, macules were the most common cutaneous findings in 40 (7.7%) patients, followed by urticarial eruptions (5.9%), erythema (5.2%) and PPPP (4.8%). Less common manifestations were represented by pigmented plaques (0.6%), erythematous maculopapular lesions mimicking Gottron papules (0.4%), prominent linear dermatographism-like lesions (0.4%), flagellate erythema (0.2%) and prurigo pigmentosa-like rash (0.2%). Finally, pruritus was associated with skin lesions in 29.6% of cases. Arthralgia (84.5%) and arthritis (57%), splenomegaly (36.1%) and liver involvement (35%), lymphadenopathy (50.2%) and sore throat (56.4%)

Table 1. Demographic and clinical manifestations, including skin lesions, of the study population

	N (%) 518
Male sex	213 (41.3)
Ethnic origin	
Arab	50 (9.9)
Asian	6 (1.2)
Black	7 (1.4)
Hispanic	45 (8.9)
Jewish	1 (0.2)
Native American	1 (0.2)
White	390 (77.5)
Other	3 (0.6)
Age at disease onset (years), mean (S.D.)	31.93 (17.39)
Type of Still's disease	
Chronic-articular	101 (21.6)
Monocyclic	162 (34.7)
Polycyclic	137 (29.3)
Undefined course	67 (14.3)
Higher body temperature reached during the first episode (°C) (mean (S.D.))	39.35 (2.01)
Skin manifestations N, (%)	
Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash	304 (63.9)
Pruritus associated to skin lesions	104 (29.6)
Other skin manifestations	122 (25.8)
Macules	40 (7.7)
Erythema	27 (5.2)
Persistent pruritic papules and/or plaques (PPPP)	25 (4.8)
Urticarial eruptions	31 (5.9)
Pustular lesions on the extremities and the trunk	4 (0.8)
Lichenoid papules	3 (0.6)
Alopecia	3 (0.6)
Pigmented plaques	3 (0.6)
Eczema-like lesions	2 (0.4)
Prominent linear dermatographism-like lesions	2 (0.4)
Vesiculopustular eruptions	2 (0.4)
Acneiform lesions	2 (0.4)
Erythematous maculopapules mimicking Gottron papules	2 (0.4)
Insect bite-like papulonodules	1 (0.2)
Erythema chronicum migrans	1 (0.2)
Erysipelas-like	1 (0.2)
Oedema of the eyelids	1 (0.2)
Flagellate erythema-type manifestations	1 (0.2)
Prurigo pigmentosa-like rash	1 (0.2)

represented the most common extracutaneous manifestations at disease onset. Overall, 101 (21.6%) patients presented with a chronic-articular pattern of Still's disease, 162 (34.7%) showed a monocyclic course and 137 (29.3%) patients had a polycyclic one, while 67 (14.3%) were not classified.

Distribution of skin manifestations among different patient groups

Considering age at disease presentation, we noted that the occurrence of a salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash was more common in the <16 age group (80.2%) compared with the 16–60 (60.2%) and >60 (62.2%) age groups; however, the statistical significance was achieved only comparing the <16 age group with the 16–60 group (P -adjusted = 0.002). With regard to pruritus, it was more frequently observed in the 16–60 (33.2%) and >60 (30.4%) age groups compared with the <16 group (14.7%), with statistical significance being observed in the 16–60 group vs the <16 group (P -adjusted = 0.008) (Table 2).

Regarding ethnicities, Hispanics showed a significantly higher rate of atypical skin manifestations (44.2%) compared with Arabs (18.4%, P -adjusted = 0.036) and White (24.4%, P -adjusted = 0.036). Also, Hispanic patients showed a significantly higher prevalence of macules (20.0%) compared with White (6.4%, P -adjusted = 0.027). Consistently, PPPP were significantly more common in Hispanics (17.8%) and in the 'other' ethnicity group (22.2%) vs Arabs (2%) and White (2%) (Hispanic vs Arabs: P -adjusted = 0.023; 'other' group vs Arabs: P -adjusted = 0.023; Hispanic vs White: P -adjusted = 0.002; 'other' group vs White: P -adjusted = 0.011). Finally, a higher percentage of Hispanic patients (56.2%) reported pruritus associated with skin lesions compared with Arabs (7.7%, P -adjusted < 0.001) and White (29.3%, P -adjusted = 0.008). Moreover, the frequency of pruritus among Arabs was significantly lower compared with White (P -adjusted = 0.008) and the 'other' ethnicity group (38.5%, P -adjusted = 0.026) (Table 3). Figure 1 shows two examples of atypical cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease.

When analysing disease patterns, no specific cutaneous manifestation of Still's disease was significantly different in any disease course. The frequency of atypical cutaneous manifestations was higher in the chronic-articular pattern (32.3%) compared with the monocyclic (23.2%) and polycyclic (26.1%) patterns, but statistical significance was not achieved (P = 0.275). Moreover, pruritus was observed more frequently in the polycyclic group (36.9%) than in the chronic-articular (33.8%) and monocyclic (21.6%) groups, with a statistically significant difference between polycyclic vs monocyclic (P -adjusted = 0.049) groups (Supplementary Table S2).

Demographic, clinical and cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease contributing to the Pouchot score at baseline are listed in Table 4. A significantly higher percentage of patients with Pouchot score ≥ 7 during the first febrile episode had a salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash (84.2%) compared with those with Pouchot score < 7 (58.8%) (P < 0.001). This finding was still significant when the Rau score was considered (P < 0.05) (Supplementary Table S3).

No statistically significant association between the occurrence of MAS and the presence of salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash or other skin manifestations was observed (P > 0.05) (Supplementary Table S4).

Discussion

Recognizing Still's disease based on its cutaneous manifestations remains critical among dermatologists, especially due to the wide range of different atypical skin manifestations. This lack of familiarity can result in diagnostic delays, which may contribute to potential complications. Early detection is essential for timely intervention, as it helps prevent the progression of systemic involvement and reduces the risk of severe outcomes. Based on current evidence, AOSD might be characterized by a wide range of dermatological manifestations, including salmon-coloured rash, PPPP, and various other eruptions such as prurigo pigmentosa-like lesions, vesiculopustular lesions or flagellate erythema [20]. In contrast, the skin manifestations in sJIA are generally more uniform, often limited to the characteristic evanescent salmon-coloured rash, and less varied in terms of morphology and distribution [21]. For this reason, only the typical rash of Still's disease has been attributed to this disorder for a long timeframe, with

Table 2. Variations in the frequency of Still's disease manifestations across different age groups

Variables <i>n</i>	<16 years <i>N</i> = 85	16–60 years <i>N</i> = 366	>60 year <i>N</i> = 39	<i>P</i> -value	Effect size
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	41 (48.2)	144 (39.3)	16 (41.0)		0.068
Still subtype, <i>n</i> (%)					
Chronic-articular	21 (25.3)	67 (19.5)	12 (34.3)	0.089	0.102
Monocyclic	30 (36.1)	122 (35.6)	9 (25.7)	0.491	0.055
Polycyclic	22 (26.5)	107 (31.2)	6 (17.1)	0.182	0.086
Cutaneous Still manifestations					
Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash, <i>n</i> (%)	65 (80.2)	212 (60.2)	23 (62.2)	0.003 ^a	0.156
Atypical skin manifestations, <i>n</i> (%)	15 (18.5)	99 (28.4)	6 (17.1)	0.090	0.102
Macules, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (5.9)	34 (9.3)	1 (2.6)	0.242	0.076
PPPP, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2.4)	22 (6.0)	1 (2.6)	0.291	0.071
Erythema, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (1.2)	24 (6.6)	2 (5.1)	0.146	0.089
Pruritus, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (14.7)	85 (33.2)	7 (30.4)	0.012 ^a	0.160

The table also reports *P*-values and effect sizes calculated using Cramer's V (for categorical variables) and Eta-squared (for continuous variables). Both statistics range from 0 (no association) to 1 (perfect association). Interpretation of Cramer's V depends on the size of the contingency table; in this case, for a 3 × 2 table, values of 0.30 or higher are generally considered indicative of a strong association between different age groups and the observed variables. For continuous variables, Eta-squared values of 0.14 or higher reflect a strong difference. In order to account for multiple comparisons across the three age groups, the Tukey *post hoc* test following ANOVA or the false discovery rate correction following multiple Fisher's exact tests was employed.

^a <16 significantly different from 16 to 60.
PPPP, persistent pruritic papules and plaques.

Table 3. Variations in the frequency of Still's disease manifestations across different ethnicities

Variables <i>n</i>	Arab 50	White 390	Hispanic 45	Other ^a 18	<i>P</i> -value	Effect size
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	15 (30.0)	171 (44.0)	20 (44.4)	3 (16.7)	0.038	0.129
Age at disease onset, mean (S.D.), years	28.11 (12.20)	31.98 (18.58)	34.55 (10.83)	32.11 (16.33)	0.334	0.007
Still subtype, <i>n</i> (%)						
Chronic-articular	10 (20.8)	81 (23.4)	2 (4.7)	6 (33.3)	0.025 ^{b,c}	0.144
Monocyclic	25 (52.1)	113 (32.7)	15 (34.9)	4 (22.2)	0.040	0.135
Polycyclic	3 (6.2)	102 (29.5)	23 (53.5)	5 (27.8)	<0.001 ^{b,d,e,f}	0.232
Cutaneous Still manifestations						
Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash, <i>n</i> (%)	37 (77.1)	224 (63.5)	22 (50.0)	10 (58.8)	0.059	0.127
Atypical skin manifestations = yes (%)	9 (18.4)	86 (24.4)	19 (44.2)	6 (33.3)	0.020 ^{b,e}	0.146
Macules, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (6.0)	25 (6.4)	9 (20.0)	3 (16.7)	0.006 ^b	0.156
PPPP, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (2.0)	12 (3.1)	8 (17.8)	4 (22.2)	<0.001 ^{b,e,f,g}	0.248
Erythema, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (4.0)	22 (5.6)	3 (6.7)	–	0.703	0.053
Pruritus, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (7.7)	76 (29.3)	18 (56.2)	5 (38.5)	<0.001 ^{b,d,e,f}	0.243

The table also reports *P*-values and effect sizes calculated using Cramer's V (for categorical variables) and Eta-squared (for continuous variables). Both statistics range from 0 (no association) to 1 (perfect association). Interpretation of Cramer's V depends on the size of the contingency table; in this case, for a 4 × 2 table, values of 0.50 or higher are generally considered indicative of a strong association between ethnic groups and the observed variables. For continuous variables, Eta-squared values of 0.14 or higher reflect a strong difference. In order to account for multiple comparisons across the four ethnic groups, the Tukey *post hoc* test following ANOVA or the false discovery rate correction following multiple Fisher's exact tests was employed.

^a Including Asians, Black, Jewish, Native Americans.

^b White significantly different from Hispanic.

^c Hispanic significantly different from 'Other'.

^d Arab significantly different from White.

^e Arab significantly different from Hispanic.

^f Arab significantly different from 'Other'.

^g White significantly different from 'Other'.

PPPP, persistent pruritic papules and plaques.

atypical presentations misleading clinicians when facing with the diagnosis.

To date, some studies in the literature have explored the cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease and their correlation with other clinical features. However, these studies have generally been conducted on relatively small patient cohorts. In this perspective, Ruscitti *et al.* analysed a cohort of 100 AOSD patients, correlating various clinical manifestations to disease progression patterns (monocyclic, polycyclic and chronic). The typical skin rash was found to be more frequent in patients with a 'monocyclic' and 'chronic-articular' course, even though a statistical significance was not achieved [16].

Regarding any association between skin rash and the development of MAS, the results observed in the present study are in

line with what observed in a further study on 119 patients by Ruscitti *et al.* [22]. In particular, no association was found between the typical skin rash and the occurrence of MAS. However, while Ruscitti and colleagues only addressed cutaneous manifestations in terms of 'skin rash', referring to the typical one, this current study explores the full spectrum of AOSD skin lesions, confirming a lack of association with MAS.

At current, only a few studies have specifically focused on the various types of cutaneous lesions possibly associated with AOSD. In detail, Sato *et al.* analysed typical and atypical skin lesions and their frequency in a cohort of AOSD 28 patients [21]. They correlated the various types of lesions to the age at disease onset, distinguishing between patients younger and older than 65 years. As in our study, they found



Figure 1. Two examples of atypical cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease. **(A)** Slightly erythematous, annular plaque with a moderately firm, rope-like border and central clearing on the dorsal aspect of the third metacarpophalangeal joint of the left hand. Discrete 1–2 mm papules are noted at the periphery of the lesion. The lesion closely resembles the granuloma annulare. **(B)** Pigmented brown macules distributed on the dorsal aspect of the right leg. The lesions are flat, assume a linear morphology and are not pruritic

Table 4. Variations in the frequency of Still's disease manifestations according to disease severity measured in terms of Pouchot score

Variables <i>n</i>	Pouchot < 7 340	Pouchot ≥ 7 96	<i>P</i> -value	Effect size
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	136 (40.0)	39 (40.6)	1.000	0.005
Age at disease onset, mean (S.D.), years	32.14 (17.32)	32.57 (17.94)	0.831	0.001
Still subtype, <i>n</i> (%)				
Chronic-articular	78 (24.1)	15 (16.0)	0.127	0.071
Monocyclic	111 (34.3)	32 (34.0)	1.000	0.002
Polycyclic	87 (26.9)	32 (34.0)	0.219	0.067
Cutaneous Still manifestations				
Salmon-coloured evanescent skin rash, <i>n</i> (%)	198 (58.8)	80 (84.2)	<0.001	0.220
Atypical skin manifestations, <i>n</i> (%)	87 (26.0)	23 (24.5)	0.872	0.014
Macules, <i>n</i> (%)	30 (8.8)	10 (10.4)	0.782	0.023
PPPP, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (5.3)	6 (6.2)	0.913	0.017
Erythema, <i>n</i> (%)	20 (5.9)	4 (4.2)	0.691	0.031
Pruritus, <i>n</i> (%)	71 (29.7)	23 (28.0)	0.885	0.016

The table also reports the *P*-value and the effect sizes through Cramer's *V* (for categorical variables) and Eta-squared (for continuous variables). Both statistics range from 0 (no association) to 1 (perfect association). Values of Cramer's *V* ≥ 0.30 or Eta-squared ≥ 0.14 are considered to reflect a strong association or a strong difference, respectively, between the different thresholds of the Pouchot score and the observed variables. PPPP, persistent pruritic papules and plaques.

a significantly higher prevalence of typical rash in younger patients compared with older ones. Conversely, among the atypical skin rashes, PPPP was more common in patients over 65 years old. However, this last finding was not confirmed in our study, where none of the atypical skin lesions showed a significant association with the age of AOSD onset.

In the study by Narváez García *et al.*, typical and atypical cutaneous manifestations were analysed in 81 patients deriving from case reports and series, evaluating their impact on prognosis and mortality of AOSD. They observed that the development of atypical rash was associated with a worse prognosis due to a higher mortality rate compared with patients with typical rash, as well as with a Pouchot score ≥ 7 [23]. Nevertheless, our study did not confirm this finding. Indeed, typical rash was significantly associated with greater disease severity, according to the Pouchot score. Conversely, no statistically significant association was found between atypical

cutaneous manifestations and higher or lower severity scores, whether according to Pouchot or Rau scores.

A further study from Eveillard *et al.* investigated the spectrum of skin involvement of sJIA. These authors found that sJIA patients with atypical skin presentations were more likely to experience long-term, persistent disease, requiring more intensive or prolonged treatments [7].

Interestingly, no studies have explored the cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease across different ethnic groups so far. This represents a significant gap in our understanding of the disease and investigating these variations could provide valuable insights into the Still's disease's behaviour and progression in diverse ethnic backgrounds, potentially leading to more tailored and effective diagnostic and treatment approaches. In our study, no statistically significant differences were found in the frequency of typical rash across different ethnic groups. However, atypical cutaneous variants were significantly more frequent among Hispanics compared

with other White and Arabs. When analysing specific atypical cutaneous eruptions, it was observed that macules were significantly more frequent in Hispanics compared with other White. Furthermore, PPPP were significantly more prevalent in the Hispanic and 'other' ethnic groups, in comparison to Arabs or White. Noteworthy, pruritus was significantly more commonly reported among Hispanics than in Arabs or White. It is known that the clinical presentation of chronic inflammatory skin diseases, such as atopic dermatitis, can vary significantly among ethnic groups due to genetic, environmental and cultural factors, as well as differing immunological pathways [24]. It is plausible that this concept also applies to Still's disease, where the heterogeneity of cutaneous manifestations across different ethnicities may reflect distinct immunopathogenic mechanisms driven by diverse genetic backgrounds. In this context, both HLA and non-HLA genes, as well as various immune signalling pathways, are known to play a role in the pathogenesis of the disease [25]. Although to the best of our knowledge ethnicity-specific genetic studies have not yet been conducted in patients with Still's disease, evidence from other immune-mediated disorders indicates that allele frequencies and genetic susceptibility factors can differ significantly among populations [26]. These variations may contribute to differential immune responses, potentially accounting for the observed diversity in cutaneous involvement. However, specific genetic studies are needed to explore and eventually confirm this hypothesis.

The main strength of this work relies on the large cohort of Still patients retrieved from an international registry. Nonetheless, some limitations need to be recognized. Firstly, the retrospective nature of the study accounts for some missing data, along with its inherent limitations. Secondly, a comparison between scientific studies on Still's disease was complicated by the heterogeneity of methods employed in the literature and the lack of a consistent body of evidence on cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease.

Conclusion

In conclusion, various studies have analysed the different patterns of Still's disease, clinical manifestations, the development of complications, as well as the age at disease onset, correlating these factors with patient prognosis so far. However, very few studies explored the wide range of cutaneous manifestations of Still's disease, dissecting them in typical and atypical and correlating them to specific patient and disease characteristics.

Comprehensively, the present study enhances dermatologists' awareness of the diverse cutaneous lesions that may represent heterogeneous manifestations of Still's disease.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Rheumatology* online.

Data availability

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to the corresponding author: Luca Cantarini, MD, PhD, Research Center of Systemic Autoinflammatory Diseases and Behçet's

Disease Clinics, Department of Medical Sciences, Surgery and Neurosciences, University of Siena. cantariniluca@hotmail.com.

Author contributions

All the authors substantially contributed to the conception or design of the work, the acquisition and interpretation of data and critically revised the article. All the authors approved the final version and agreed to be responsible for all the aspects of the work. In addition, L.C. and A.C. wrote the first draft of the article and performed the preliminary data analysis and interpretation; L.C., A.C., M.D.O., A.H.A., J.T.R., E.M.N., G. A.G.B., G.L., F.I., D.D., J.S., V.C., H.M.G., I.A.A., P.R., I.D. C., P.P.S., K.L., P.S., L.D., C.C., A.T., H.K., R.C.K., A.E., V. G.C., A.N.P., R.G., G.R., L.N., O.B., M.T.H., A.H.L., F.C., D.I., F.R., L.F., H.D., J.M., A.I., A.C., D.R., M.T., M.G., M. C.M., E.D.G., F.L.T., E.B., S.O., C.G., A.K., A.L.G., B.M., E. B., J.H.R., G.E., S.E., F.C., A.N.O., A.D.P., G.C., B.O., E.W. S., O.V., P.S.P., S.T., M.F., P.P., A.G., L.D.S., G.G., L.B., L.L. B., A.B., C.F., B.F., P.R., L.C., A.V. were involved in the study according to their active role in enrolling patients in the AIDA Network Registries; A.B. is also the bioengineer involved in the technical management of the platform and registries; A.V. took care of the final revision of the manuscript and LC accounted for AIDA Registries Coordinator.

Funding

The authors declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article. We thank the University of Siena for their financial support in supporting the open access fees.

Disclosure statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The Ethics Committee of Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Senese, Siena, Italy (Ref. N. 14951; NCT05200715) approved the study, which was performed according to the Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the latest Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consents for involved patients were collected. Clinical data are kept in accordance with the EU General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), or other counterparts, on the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy (2016/679/EU).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported (not financially) by the European Reference Network (ERN) for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases (RITA). Some of the authors of this publication [Laura Calabrese, Martina D'Onghia, Alessandra Cartocci, Antonio Vitale, Valeria Caggiano, Jessica Sbalchiero, Carla Gaggiano, Paolo Sfriso, Micol Frassi, José Hernández-Rodríguez, Alberto Balistreri, Bruno Frediani, Claudia Fabiani, Pietro Rubegni and Luca Cantarini] belong to institutes that are members of the ERN RITA [Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Senese of Siena; Hospital Clinic of Barcelona].

All the authors in the manuscript are part of the AIDA Network. The authors part of the Autoinflammatory Diseases Working Group of the Italian Society of Rheumatology (SIR) are: Jessica Sbalchiero, Valeria Caggiano, Piero Ruscitti, Ilenia Di Cola, Paolo Sfriso, Lorenzo Dagna, Corrado Campochiaro, Francesco Ciccía, Dianela Iacono, Flavia Riccio, Annamaria Iagnocco, Florenzo Iannone, Giuseppe Lopalco, Marcello Govoni, Carla Gaggiano, Elena Bartoloni, Giacomo Emmi, Ombretta Viapiana, Francesca Crisafulli, Lidia La Barbera, Carla Guggino, Claudia Fabiani, Bruno Frediani, Roberto Giacomelli, Luca Cantarini, Antonio Vitale.

References

1. Seco T, Cerqueira A, Costa A *et al.* Adult-onset Still's disease: typical presentation, delayed diagnosis. *Cureus* 2020;12:e8510.
2. Li R, Liu X, Chen G *et al.* Clinical phenotypes and prognostic factors of adult-onset Still's disease: data from a large inpatient cohort. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2021;23:300.
3. Efthimiou P, Kontzias A, Hur P *et al.* Adult-onset Still's disease in focus: clinical manifestations, diagnosis, treatment, and unmet needs in the era of targeted therapies. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2021;51:858–74.
4. Mitrovic S, Fautrel B. Complications of adult-onset Still's disease and their management. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2018;14:351–65.
5. Tomaras S, Goetzke CC, Kallinich T *et al.* Adult-onset Still's disease: clinical aspects and therapeutic approach. *J Clin Med* 2021;10:733. doi: [10.3390/jcm10040733](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10040733)
6. Kikuchi N, Satoh M, Ohtsuka M *et al.* Persistent pruritic papules and plaques associated with adult-onset Still's disease: report of six cases. *J Dermatol* 2014;41:407–10.
7. Eveillard LA, Quartier P, Ouldali N *et al.*; Société Francophone pour la rhumatologie et les Maladies Inflammatoires en Pédiatrie (SOFREMIP). Association of atypical skin manifestations at the onset of systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis with difficult-to-treat disease: a retrospective multicenter study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2022;87:1425–8.
8. Vitale A, Della Casa F, Lopalco G *et al.* Development and implementation of the AIDA international registry for patients with Still's disease. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022;9:878797.
9. Yamaguchi M, Ohta A, Tsunematsu T *et al.* Preliminary criteria for classification of adult Still's disease. *J Rheumatol* 1992;19:424–30.
10. Fautrel B, Zing E, Golmard JL *et al.* Proposal for a new set of classification criteria for adult-onset still disease. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2002;81:194–200.
11. Petty RE, Southwood TR, Manners P *et al.*; International League of Associations for Rheumatology. International League of Associations for Rheumatology classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: second revision, Edmonton, 2001. *J Rheumatol* 2004;31:390–2.
12. Martini A, Ravelli A, Avcin T *et al.*; Pediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organization (PRINTO). Toward new classification criteria for juvenile idiopathic arthritis: first steps, pediatric rheumatology International Trials Organization International Consensus. *J Rheumatol* 2019;46:190–7.
13. Cush JJ, Medsger TA Jr, Christy WC, Herbert DC, Cooperstein LA. Adult-onset Still's disease. Clinical course and outcome. *Arthritis Rheum* 1987;30:186–94.
14. Ravelli A, Minoia F, Davì S *et al.*; Histiocyte Society. 2016 classification criteria for macrophage activation syndrome complicating systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:481–9.
15. Henter JI, Horne A, Aricó M *et al.* HLH-2004: diagnostic and therapeutic guidelines for hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2007;48:124–31. doi: [10.1002/pbc.21039](https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.21039)
16. Ruscitti P, Cipriani P, Masedu F *et al.* Adult-onset Still's disease: evaluation of prognostic tools and validation of the systemic score by analysis of 100 cases from three centers. *BMC Med* 2016;14:194.
17. Ruscitti P, Masedu F, Vitale A *et al.* The systemic score may identify life-threatening evolution in Still disease: data from the GIRRCS AOSD-study group and the AIDA Network Still Disease Registry. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2024;76:1141–52.
18. Rau M, Schiller M, Krienke S *et al.* Clinical manifestations but not cytokine profiles differentiate adult-onset Still's disease and sepsis. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:2369–76.
19. Rueff B, Benhamou JP. Acute hepatic necrosis and fulminant hepatic failure. *Gut* 1973;14:805–15.
20. Cozzi A, Papagrigoraki A, Biasi D *et al.* Cutaneous manifestations of adult-onset Still's disease: a case report and review of literature. *Clin Rheumatol* 2016;35:1377–82.
21. Sato M, Yamamoto T. Cutaneous manifestations of adult-onset Still's disease: a comparative study between young-onset and elderly-onset groups. *J Dermatol* 2024;51:1509–14. doi: [10.1111/1346-8138.17235](https://doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.17235)
22. Ruscitti P, Iacono D, Ciccía F *et al.* Macrophage activation syndrome in patients affected by adult-onset Still disease: analysis of survival rates and predictive factors in the Gruppo Italiano di Ricerca in Reumatologia Clinica e Sperimentale Cohort. *J Rheumatol* 2018;45:864–72.
23. Narvaez Garcia FJ, Pascual M, Lopez de Recalde M *et al.* Adult-onset Still's disease with atypical cutaneous manifestations. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017;96:e6318.
24. Chiricozzi A, Maurelli M, Calabrese L *et al.* Overview of atopic dermatitis in different ethnic groups. *J Clin Med* 2023;12:2701. doi: [10.3390/jcm12072701](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12072701)
25. Ruscitti P, Cantarini L, Nigrovic PA, McGonagle D, Giacomelli R. Recent advances and evolving concepts in Still's disease. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2024;20:116–32.
26. Lazarus R, Vercelli D, Palmer LJ *et al.* Single nucleotide polymorphisms in innate immunity genes: abundant variation and potential role in complex human disease. *Immunol Rev* 2002;190:9–25.