### [Heliyon 10 \(2024\) e30492](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30492)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/24058440) 

# Heliyon



journal homepage: [www.cell.com/heliyon](https://www.cell.com/heliyon) 

Research article

5© CelPress

# Assessing the virucidal activity of essential oils against feline calicivirus, a non-enveloped virus used as surrogate of norovirus

Gianvito Lanave<sup>a</sup>, Cristiana Catella<sup>a</sup>, Alessia Catalano<sup>b</sup>, Maria Stella Lucente<sup>a</sup>, Francesco Pellegrini<sup>a</sup>, Giuseppe Fracchiolla<sup>b</sup>, Georgia Diakoudi<sup>a</sup>, Jolanda Palmisani <sup>c</sup>, Claudia Maria Trombetta <sup>d</sup>, Vito Martella <sup>a</sup>, Michele Camero <sup>a, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari Aldo Moro, 70010, Valenzano, Bari, Italy* 

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Pharmacy-Drug Sciences, University of Bari Aldo Moro, 70125, Bari, Italy* 

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Biosciences, Biotechnologies and Environment, University of Bari Aldo Moro, 70126, Bari, Italy* 

<sup>d</sup> *Department of Molecular and Developmental Medicine, University of Siena, 53100, Siena, Italy* 

# ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  Essential oils Virucidal activity Feline calicivirus Norovirus Surrogate

# ABSTRACT

Norovirus (NoV) causes serious gastrointestinal disease worldwide and is regarded as an important foodborne pathogen. Due the difficulties of *in vitro* cultivation for human NoV, alternative caliciviruses (i.e., feline calicivirus, FCV, or murine NoV) have long been used as surrogates for *in vitro* assessment of the efficacy of antivirals. Essential oils (EOs) are natural compounds that have displayed antimicrobial and antioxidant properties.

We report *in vitro* the virucidal efficacy of four EOs, *Melissa officinalis* L. EO (MEO), *Thymus vulgaris* L. EO (TEO), *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. EO (REO), and *Salvia officinalis* L. EO (SEO) against FCV at different time contacts (10, 30 min, 1, 4 and 8 h). At the maximum non-cytotoxic concentration and at 10- and 100- fold concentrations over the cytotoxic threshold, the EOs did not decrease significantly FCV viral titers. However, MEO at 12,302.70 μg/mL exhibited a significant efficacy decreasing the viral titer by 0.75  $\log_{10}$  Tissue Culture Infectious Dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>)/50 µl after 10 min as compared to virus control.

In this study, virucidal activity of four EOs against FCV, was investigated. A lack of virucidal efficacy of TEO, REO and SEO at different compound concentrations and time contacts against FCV was observed whilst MEO was able to significantly decrease FCV titer.

# **1. Introduction**

Noroviruses (NoVs) are single-stranded RNA viruses belonging to the *Caliciviridae* family. NoV was initially named as Norwalk virus, after the city in Ohio state where the virus was discovered [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Human NoVs (HNoVs) represent the second leading cause of viral acute gastroenteritis and represent a serious problem for food safety [\[2\]](#page-8-0). HNoV infections chiefly develop in medical centres, residential care homes, schools, and commercial and cruise ships [\[3\]](#page-8-0).

\* Corresponding author.

#### <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30492>

Received 26 October 2023; Received in revised form 27 April 2024; Accepted 28 April 2024

Available online 29 April 2024



*E-mail addresses:* [gianvito.lanave@uniba.it](mailto:gianvito.lanave@uniba.it) (G. Lanave), [cristiana.catella@uniba.it](mailto:cristiana.catella@uniba.it) (C. Catella), [alessia.catalano@uniba.it](mailto:alessia.catalano@uniba.it) (A. Catalano), [mariastella.lucente@uniba.it](mailto:mariastella.lucente@uniba.it) (M.S. Lucente), [francesco.pellegrini@uniba.it](mailto:francesco.pellegrini@uniba.it) (F. Pellegrini), [giuseppe.fracchiolla@uniba.it](mailto:giuseppe.fracchiolla@uniba.it) (G. Fracchiolla), [georgia.](mailto:georgia.diakoudi@uniba.it) [diakoudi@uniba.it](mailto:georgia.diakoudi@uniba.it) (G. Diakoudi), [jolanda.palmisani@uniba.it](mailto:jolanda.palmisani@uniba.it) (J. Palmisani), [trombetta@unisi.it](mailto:trombetta@unisi.it) (C.M. Trombetta), [vito.martella@uniba.it](mailto:vito.martella@uniba.it) (V. Martella), [michele.camero@uniba.it](mailto:michele.camero@uniba.it) (M. Camero).

<sup>2405-8440/© 2024</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Contamination by HNoV can occur during food production or preparation. When contaminated by water and food sources might represent a serious threat for disease transmission as they are often consumed raw [\[4,5](#page-8-0)].

Difficulties in NoV cultivation have caused many diagnostic challenges before the advent of biomolecular assays [[1](#page-8-0)]. The shortfall of a duplicable NoV cultivation system has long hindered the evaluation of the antiviral activities of compounds/disinfectants against NoV. For this purpose, several cultivable viral models, including feline calicivirus (FCV), were used as HNoV surrogates [[6](#page-8-0)]. Although surrogate viruses may not demonstrate firmly the efficacy of disinfectants against HNoV, these surrogates are regarded as useful models. Therefore, the effectiveness of sanitizers has long been evaluated employing cultivable HNoV surrogates [\[7](#page-8-0)–9]. Recently, B cells and human intestinal enteroids have been implemented to gather more precise information on HNoV properties [10–[12\]](#page-8-0).

The use of environmental disinfectants and personal hygiene products by staff handling foodstuffs is strongly recommended to control HNoV infections [[13\]](#page-8-0). Since NoVs display resistance to sanitizers, it is crucial employing products with ascertained virucidal activity to hinder and contain virus proliferation  $[14]$  $[14]$ . There are several products that have been tested for the disinfection of surfaces and food against HNoV and hypochlorite and sodium bicarbonate and quaternary ammonium compounds proved to be very effective [\[15](#page-8-0)–18].

Unfortunately, the organoleptic properties of many food products are affected by treatment with disinfectants at concentrations effective against NoV. Therefore, the food processing industries have a growing interest in non-chemical disinfectants able to inactivate HNoV without affecting the quality of food [\[19,20](#page-8-0)]. These natural products can be easily used on contaminated hands and surfaces due to their safety and ecological properties. Certain natural extracts, essential oils (EOs), erpenoids, lignans, alkaloids, and phenols (i.e., flavonoids, tannins, and coumarins), display antiinfective and antireplicative activities against viruses [\[20](#page-8-0)].

EOs are compounds of natural origin with antimicrobial and antioxidant activity. According to a chemical perspective, they consist of complex combinations of organic substances (monoterpenic and sesquiterpenic hydrocarbons and oxygenated substances i.e., phenols, alcohols, aldehydes, ethers, and ketones), in very different quantities [\[21,22](#page-8-0)]. They are usually characterized by 2 or 3 main components, present in high concentrations (from 20 to 70 %), and generally responsible for the biological action [[23\]](#page-8-0). EOs are commonly used in mouthwashes [[24\]](#page-8-0), in disinfectant solutions [\[25](#page-8-0)], in aromatherapy and cosmetics for the preparation of creams, emulsions, soaps, perfumes [[26\]](#page-8-0).

Pellegrini et al., reported the virucidal efficacy of lemon EO (LEO) against FCV. LEO at the highest concentration decreased FCV titer up to 1.25 log10 Tissue Culture Infectious Dose (TCID50)/50 μL after 8 h of time contact [\[27](#page-8-0)]. In the present study the *in vitro*  virucidal activity of different EOs against FCV was evaluated.





2

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>**2. Materials and methods**

# *2.1. Analysis of EOs*

*Melissa officinalis* L. EO (MEO), *Thymus vulgaris* L. EO (TEO), *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. EO (REO), and *Salvia officinalis* L. EO (SEO) were provided by Specchiasol Srl, (Bussolengo, VR, Italy), and were stored in a sealed brown glass vials at 4 ◦C. Solvents, standard mixture of C10–C40 *n*-alkanes, and standard compounds reported in [Tables 1](#page-1-0)–4 were purchased from Supelco Sigma-Aldrich Srl (Milan, Italy).

# *2.2. Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrophotometry (GC/MS)*

A solution 1:100 v/v in ethyl acetate of each EO was prepared and filtered, then 1 μL of each solution was injected into the GC/MS. Analyses of EOs were carried out on an Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph hyphenated with a 5973 N mass spectrometer provided with HP-5 MS capillary column (J & W Scientific, Folsom), using the conditions reported in our previous work [\[28](#page-8-0)].

### *2.3. Compound identification*

Compound identification was carried out comparing the Linear Retention Indices (LRIs) and Similarity Index of Mass Spectra (SI/ MS) for the obtained peaks with the Arithmetic Index (AI) and the analogous data reported in the literature [\[29\]](#page-8-0) and in the NIST 2017 Databases (NIST 17, 2017. Mass Spectral Library-NIST/EPA/NIH. Gaithersburg, USA: National Institute of Standards and Technology. Last access 09\_2023), respectively. The LRI of each compound was calculated with an equation related to a homologous series of *n*-alkanes (C10–C40) under the same operating conditions, as previously described [\[30](#page-8-0)]. SI/MS were determined as previously reported [[31,32\]](#page-8-0).

# *2.4. Cells and virus*

Crandell-Reese Feline kidney (CRFK) cells were mantained at 37 °C in a 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in Dulbecco-MEM, as previously described [\[27](#page-8-0)]. The same medium was employed for the antiviral assays.

The FCV field strain 283/12 was maintained and titrated on CRFK cells displaying a titer of  $10^8$ TCID<sub>50</sub>/50 μl.

**Table 2** 

Standard compounds related to Thymus vulgaris L. essential oil (TEO). The most representative compounds are in bold.				
--	--	--	--	--



#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 3**

Standard compounds related to *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil (REO). The most representative compounds are in bold.



## **Table 4**

Standard compounds related to *Salvia officinalis* L. essential oil (SEO). The most representative compounds are in bold.



# *2.5. Cytotoxicity assay*

The cytotoxicity of all EOs was evaluated using an *in vitro* toxicological test kit (Sigma-Aldrich Srl, Milan, Italy), based on 3-(4,5 dimethylthiazol-2yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (XTT). The assay was performed in triplicate as previously described [[27\]](#page-8-0). MEO was evaluated at 8670, 4335, 2167.5, 1083.75, 541.87, 270.93, 135.46, 67.73 μg/mL. TEO was tested at 8900, 4450, 2225, 1112.5, 556.25, 278.12, 139.06, 69.53 μg/mL. REO was analysed at 8660, 4330, 2165, 1082.5, 541.25, 270.62, 135.31, 67.65 μg/mL. SEO was determined at 8790, 4395, 2197.5, 1098.75, 549.37, 274.68, 137.34, 68.67 μg/mL.

In the study, untreated cells and cells treated with equivalent dilutions of DMSO without EOs were used as control and vehicle control, respectively. The percentage of cytotoxicity for each EO was calculated using the formula: % cytotoxicity = [(OD of control cells− OD of treated cells) × 100]/OD of control cells.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>







■ CV ■ TEO 19,498.40 µg/mL



■ CV E REO 34,673.70 µg/mL



*(caption on next page)* 

5

**Fig. 1.** Virucidal effect of *Melissa officinalis* L. EO (MEO), *Thymus vulgaris* L. EO (TEO), *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. EO (REO), and *Salvia officinalis* L. EO (SEO) incubated with Feline Calicivirus (FCV) for 10 min, 30 min, 1 h, 4 h, and 8 h at room temperature and subsequently titrated in Crandell-Reese Feline Kidney (CrFK) cells. MEO was used at 1230.27 μg/mL (A) and 12,302.70 μg/mL (B), against FCV. TEO was tested at 1949.84 μg/mL (C) and 19,498.40 μg/mL (D), against FCV. REO was assessed at 3467.37 μg/mL (E) and 34,673.70 μg/mL (F), against FCV. SEO was used at 10,964.78 μg/ mL (G) and 109,647.82 μg/mL (H), against FCV. Viral titers of different mixtures of virus-EOs and untreated virus, defined as control virus (CV) on CrFK cells at different time contacts are presented in the plot as mean of three different experiments. Viral titers of FCV were expressed as  $log_{10}$ TCID50/50 μL and plotted against different EOs at different time contacts. Significant p values are displayed. Bars in the figures indicate the means. Error bars indicate the standard deviation.

The maximum non-cytotoxic concentration was evaluated and considered as the concentration at which the viability of treated CrFK cells decreased to 20 % compared to control cells  $(CC_{20})$ .

## *2.6. Virucidal activity assay*

One-hundred µL of FCV with a titers of  $10^8$ TCID<sub>50</sub>/50 µl were treated with the different EOs (900 µL) at different concentrations at room temperature for different interval times (10 min, 30 min, 1 h, 4 h, and 8 h). The virucidal effects of EOs were assessed in triplicate at the maximum non-cytotoxic concentration  $(CC_{20})$  and 10- and 100-fold concentrations over the cytotoxic threshold. The different mixtures of virus-EOs and untreated virus, defined as control virus (CV) were titrated on CrFK cells. Since LEO (a molecule of the same class/topology as other EOs) showed virucidal activity *in vitro* in the same laboratory settings/conditions [\[27](#page-8-0)], LEO was tested in parallel and used as "positive control" of the experiments.

# *2.7. Viral titration*

Ten-fold dilutions (up to 10<sup>-8</sup>) of each supernatant were subjected to titration in quadruplicates in 96-well plates including CrFK cells. The plates were mantained for 72 h at 37 °C in a 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> in incubator. Viral titer of the different mixtures of virus-EOs and CV was assessed according to the cytopathic effect, calculated according to the Reed–Muench method [\[34](#page-8-0)] and expressed as TCID50/50 μL.

## *2.8. Data analysis*

Data derived from GC/MS and virucidal assays were described as area  $% \pm$  SEM and mean  $\pm$  SD, respectively.

EOs concentrations were transformed in  $log_{10}$ , and cytotoxicity assay results were assessed by a non-linear curve fitting. Moreover, a dose-response curve was produced through non-linear regression analysis to estimate goodness of fit. From the fitted dose response curves acquired in each experiment,  $CC_{20}$  was obtained.

The normality of the data (Shapiro-Wilk test) and the homogeneity of variances (Levene median test) were assessed. If both requirements were fulfilled, the effects of time intervals for each substance concentration were evaluated by One-way ANOVA, succeeded by Bonferroni test as a *post hoc* test. The statistical significance level was always set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism v8.1.2 program Intuitive Software for Science, San Diego, CA, USA.

### **3. Results**

### *3.1. Analytical details of EOs*

Analyses of the EOs displayed complex combinations of compounds which are reported in [Tables 1](#page-1-0)–4 Thirty compounds were evidenced in MEO, representing 92 % of the total. MEO mainly presented citral (43 %), caryophyllene (25 %), humulene (4.4 %) and limonene (4.3 %) ([Table 1](#page-1-0)).

A total of 26 components of TEO composed 99 % of the total detected constituents. The main components were thymol (47 %), *p*-cymene (20 %), and γ-terpinene (9 %), β-linalool (4 %) [\(Table 2](#page-2-0)).

Twenty-five components of REO were characterized and accounted for 98 % with respect to the whole detected constituents. The main components were eucalyptol (23 %), α-pinene (20 %), camphor (20 %), camphene (8 %), β-pinene (4 %), endo-borneol (4 %) [\(Table 3](#page-3-0)).

A total of 17 components of SEO were identified and accounted for 91 % of the total detected constituents. The main components were eucalyptol (29 %), α-pinene (9.5 %), camphene (8.8 %), β-pinene (8.4 %), Lynalin acetate (5 %) ([Table 4](#page-3-0)).

## *3.2. Cytotoxicity*

Cytotoxicity of the EOs was obtained by microscopic evaluation of cell morphology and assessment of cell viability by the XTT assay after putting into contact the cells to different concentrations of the substances for 72 h. The intensity and variety of cellular morphological modifications (loss of cell monolayer, granulation, cytoplasmic vacuolization, lengthening and shrinkage of cell extensions, and darkening of cell borders) were dose dependent [\[33](#page-8-0)]. Cytotoxicity was evaluated by calculating the absorbance signal spectrophotometrically. In all assays, the presence of DMSO did not show any consequence on cells. Based on the adjusted dose-response curves, the CC<sub>20</sub> value of the EOs were calculated. CC<sub>20</sub> for MEO accounted for 123.02 μg/mL whilst TEO displayed a CC20 equal to 194.98 μg/mL. REO exhibited a CC<sub>20</sub> equal to 346.73 μg/mL and CC<sub>20</sub> of SEO was equivalent to 1096.48 μg/mL.

### *3.3. Virucidal activity*

FCV was pre-treated with EOs at a maximum non-cytotoxic concentration (MEO at 123.02 μg/mL, TEO at 194.98 μg/mL, REO at  $346.73 \,\mu$ g/mL and SEO at 1096.48  $\mu$ g/mL). EOs, tested against FCV at CC<sub>20</sub> and at 10- and 100- fold concentrations, over the cytotoxic threshold did not induce any significant reductions in viral titer (mean difference ranging from 0 to 0.50  $\log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/50 µl) when compared to virus control at different time contacts (10, 30 min, 1, 4 and 8 h) and at room temperature [\(Fig. 1](#page-4-0)A-H).

However, MEO at 12,302.70 μg/mL displayed a significant inhibitory effect ( $p = 0.0075$ ) against FCV decreasing the viral titer of  $0.75 \log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/50 µl after 10 min as compared to virus control. Increasing the time contacts did not reveal significant effects of MEO against FCV ([Fig. 1B](#page-4-0)).

One-way-ANOVA analysis evaluated on the viral titers of the EOs-treated FCV at different concentrations for different time contacts were related with the virus control, displaying a statistically significant effect in time contacts ( $F = 5.47$ ,  $p = 0.0008$ ) only for MEO when used at 12,302.70 μg/mL.

# **4. Discussion**

Following the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the demand for chemical disinfectants for personal hygiene or surface cleaning has grown considerably. Unfortunately, the immoderate use of disinfectants (i.e., bleach and alcohol), used as antimicrobials, poses serious threats to humans and the environment [\[35](#page-9-0)]. Inhalation, ingestion, or skin absorption of alcohol in people below 12 years-old can induce intoxication with subsequent confusion, vomiting, and drowsiness. The excessive use of disinfectants and hand sanitizers increases the risk of contracting dermatitis due to alteration of the natural physiological barrier of the skin [\[36](#page-9-0)]. As previously observed for antibiotics and antivirals, microbial resistance has been reported also for disinfectants [[36\]](#page-9-0). Furthermore, the chemical compounds present in disinfectants, if released improperly into the environment, are harmful because they are highly polluting for fauna, flora, and sea [\[35](#page-9-0),[36\]](#page-9-0).

The growing awareness of the importance of health and hygiene and the concerns regarding the presence of viruses and bacteria in the environment have led to an increment in the demand for natural products with antimicrobial activities. Additionally, for many decades, governments worldwide have implemented policies to reduce or remove potentially hazardous chemicals in household cleaning and personal hygiene products [\[37](#page-9-0)].

The antimicrobial efficacy of various EOs against foodborne bacteria is widely reported  $[38–40]$  $[38–40]$ . Conversely, the antiviral activity of EOs against foodborne viruses is still under-investigated. Although the antiviral efficacy of EOs has been reported against enveloped RNA and DNA viruses [[41\]](#page-9-0), the effects of EOs against non-enveloped viruses remain to be elucidated. In previous studies, EOs have not been considered a valid alternative to control foodborne viruses in the food-processing industry [[42\]](#page-9-0), although the efficacy of EOs against non-enveloped RNA or DNA viruses (i.e., poliovirus, adenovirus, coxsackievirus) has been demonstrated [[43\]](#page-9-0).

In the present report, we evaluated the efficacy of four commercially available EOs (MEO, TEO, REO and SEO) against FCV. EOs were tested at the maximum non-cytotoxic concentration and at 10- and 100-fold over the cytotoxic threshold. Concentrations exceeding the cytotoxic threshold up to 100 times did not interfere with the reading of viral titers because the cytotoxic effects of the substance were observed only in the initial dilutions (10 $^1$  - 10 $^2$ ) of the titration. Starting from dilution 10 $^3$  the substances did not cause any effect reading of the titration plates.

The use of EOs at concentrations over the maximum non-cytotoxic threshold finds application in disinfection of surfaces. The application of EOs in food production could trigger deterioration and changes in organoleptic characteristics. Accordingly, it is preferable not to exceed with EOs concentrations due to the negative impacts of sharp and strong aroma not appreciated by consumers [\[44](#page-9-0),[45\]](#page-9-0).

TEO, REO, and SEO, at all the concentrations tested in this study, did not induce any significant reductions in viral titer when compared to virus control at different time contacts (10, 30 min, 1, 4, and 8 h) and at room temperature. These results mirror what previously observed for other non-enveloped viruses which display a certain resistance to EOs [[42,46,47\]](#page-9-0).

However, MEO, in our study, at a 100-fold concentration over the maximum non-cytotoxic dose (12,302.70 μg/mL) displayed significant inhibitory effect against FCV decreasing the viral titer of 0.75  $log_{10}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/50 µl after 10 min compared to virus control. Surprisingly, the inhibitory effect of the substance on the virus did not show a time-dependent pattern, since extending the contact times did not increase the activity of the EO. MEO contained 27 distinct components, the main fractions of which were citral (43 %), caryophyllene (25 %), limonene (4.3 %), humulene (4.4 %) and, to a lesser extent, several terpenes and terpenoids. In a previous study, citral was moderately effective against Murine NoV type 1 (MNoV-1), producing significant reductions of up to 1.88 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml after 6 h [[48\]](#page-9-0). Citral, a main constituent of lemongrass EO (over 50 %), also displayed antiviral activity against yellow fever virus and Herpes Simplex Virus type-1 [\[49](#page-9-0),[50\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, in a subsequent report, citral, despite being present to a lower concentration, was the most effective against MNoV-1 whilst other components of lemongrass EO (i.e., Ocimene, α-terpinolene, p-limonene, 1,4-cineole, and geraniol) did not display relevant effects on MNoV-1 inhibition [[51\]](#page-9-0). Main components of MEO retrieved in this study should be investigated to reduce cytotoxicity of MEO and identify the active molecules against FCV. Despite the chemical composition of the solo EO constituents modify their exact mode of action and biological activity, presumably EOs biological activity strictly is based on the phytocomplex and is the consequence of unrelated and diverse mechanisms induced by synergic interactions with different cell targets.

Other EOs were also significantly effective against HNoV surrogates [[52\]](#page-9-0). Oregano EO (OEO) induced a statistically significant decrease in MNoV-1 infectivity within 15 min of contact. Moreover, OEO affected the morphology of the viral particles [\[53](#page-9-0)]. However, in the OEO, citral was not identified among the components. The most representative substance of OEO was carvacrol which also proved to be active against MNoV-1 [[53\]](#page-9-0). The EOs tested in our study did not have carvacrol among its components. LEO decreased FCV infectivity by 1.25 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub>/50 μL after 8 h of time contact [[27\]](#page-8-0) and was used as "positive control" in our experiments. The main constituent was represented by limonene (53 %), followed by β-pinene, γ-terpinene, citral, α-pinene and β-thujene. β-pinene along with α-phellandrene and limonene tested individually against MNoV-1 demonstrated significant virucidal effects [\[54](#page-9-0)]. In our study, although these fractions were retrieved in almost all the EOs tested, no remarkable effects were observed against FCV, likely due to their very low concentrations in the EOs.

TEO and one of its components, thymol, tested individually, demonstrated efficacy against HNoV surrogates in a dose-dependent manner, displaying a more significant reduction in FCV titers compared to MNoV-1 [\[55](#page-9-0)]. In our study, TEO, tested at different concentrations with respect to a previous study [[55\]](#page-9-0), did not display efficacy against FCV, despite the presence of thymol.

In REO and SEO, evaluated in our report, eucalyptol was observed in their composition ranging from 23 to 29 %. Both EOs were not effective against FCV. Although the antimicrobial efficacy of eucalyptol has been demonstrated [[49,56,57](#page-9-0)], the data are still limited [\[58](#page-9-0)]. Moreover, efficacy of eucalyptol against caliciviruses has to be still elucidated.

Limited effects of EOs against HNoV surrogates were observed also in other reports. The lack of a relevant *in vitro* antiviral effects of hyssop and marjoram EOs against was also observed in MNoV-1 [[42\]](#page-9-0). Viral infectivity of FCV strain F9 was reasonably decreased when the cell-free virions were put in contact for 1 h with 0.1 % of *A. princeps* var.*orientalis* EO (plaque reduction of about 48 %) before cell infection [[59\]](#page-9-0).

## **5. Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study assessed the virucidal activities of different EOs against FCV, as a surrogate model useful to control HNoV contamination. EOs could be applied for food disinfection, although the aroma is required to be compatible with foods. EOs are able to interact either with the virus envelope causing its destruction or with the capsid proteins causing their disintegration with the subsequent loss of viral infectivity [\[53](#page-9-0)]. MEO, in our report, was able to affect the infectivity of FCV, a non-enveloped virus, notoriously resistant in the environment and to antimicrobials [[60\]](#page-9-0). MEO was effective at the highest concentration tested overcoming the cytotoxic limit of 123.02 μg/mL, assessed in this study. Although, EOs are compounds of natural origin, side effects and contraindications should be considered in their applications for different uses. In our study, citral was the predominant fraction among the components of MEO. Citral is an acyclic monoterpene aldehyde largely applied to food, cosmetics, and beverages as a natural component for its intense lemon aroma and flavor [[61\]](#page-9-0). For this component antimicrobial, antifungal, and antiparasitic properties have been reported [\[62](#page-9-0)–64].

EOs, being natural remedies rich in active components, present a very low toxicity threshold. It is therefore necessary to use caution during their application, since the limit between efficacy and toxicity sometimes is small [[65\]](#page-9-0).

**Declaration of Competing Interest**: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **Funding**

Not applicable.

# **Institutional review board statement**

The authors confirm that the ethical policies of the journal, as noted on the journal's author guidelines page, have been adhered to. Ethical approval was not required, and an ethical statement is not applicable as an *in vitro* study was performed.

#### **Informed consent statement**

Not applicable.

## **8. Data availability statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are described in the manuscript.

# **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Gianvito Lanave:** Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation. **Cristiana Catella:** Investigation. **Alessia Catalano:** Supervision. **Maria Stella Lucente:** Investigation. **Francesco Pellegrini:** Software, Investigation, Data curation. **Giuseppe Fracchiolla:** Resources, Investigation. **Georgia Diakoudi:** Formal analysis. **Jolanda Palmisani:** Formal analysis, Data curation. **Claudia Maria Trombetta:** Supervision. **Vito Martella:** Writing – review & editing. **Michele Camero:** Writing – review & editing, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Conceptualization.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Arturo Gentile for their excellent technical assistance.

## **References**

- [1] A.Z. Kapikian, The discovery of the 27-nm Norwalk virus: an historic perspective, in: Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2000, <https://doi.org/10.1086/315584>.
- [2] S.P. Grytdal, E. DeBess, L.E. Lee, D. Blythe, P. Ryan, C. Biggs, M. Cameron, M. Schmidt, U.D. Parashar, A.J. Hall, Incidence of norovirus and other viral pathogens that cause acute gastroenteritis (AGE) among kaiser permanente member populations in the United States, 2012-2013, PLoS One 11 (2016), [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148395) [doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148395.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148395)
- [3] R. Goodgame, Norovirus gastroenteritis, Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep. 9 (2007), [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-007-0004-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-007-0004-5)
- [4] H.Y. Kim, I.S. Kwak, I.G. Hwang, G.P. Ko, Optimization of methods for detecting norovirus on various fruit, J Virol Methods 153 (2008), [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.07.022)  [10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.07.022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.07.022)
- [5] P. Tian, D. Yang, R. Mandrell, Differences in the binding of human norovirus to and from romaine lettuce and raspberries by water and electrolyzed waters, J Food Prot 74 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-10-494>.
- [6] G.W. Park, L. Barclay, D. MacInga, D. Charbonneau, C.A. Pettigrew, J. Vinjé, Comparative efficacy of seven hand sanitizers against murine norovirus, feline calicivirus, and GII.4 norovirus, J Food Prot 73 (2010), [https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.12.2232.](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.12.2232)
- [7] L. Feliciano, J. Li, J. Lee, M.A. Pascall, Efficacies of sodium hypochlorite and quaternary ammonium sanitizers for reduction of norovirus and selected bacteria during ware-washing operations, PLoS One 7 (2012), [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050273.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050273)
- [8] J. Li, A. Predmore, E. Divers, F. Lou, New interventions against human norovirus: progress, opportunities, and challenges, Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. 3 (2012),<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-022811-101234>.
- [9] A. Predmore, J. Li, Enhanced removal of a human norovirus surrogate from fresh vegetables and fruits by a combination of surfactants and sanitizers, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00174-11>.
- [10] M.K. Jones, K.R. Grau, V. Costantini, A.O. Kolawole, M. de Graaf, P. Freiden, C.L. Graves, M. Koopmans, S.M. Wallet, S.A. Tibbetts, S. Schultz-Cherry, C. E. Wobus, J. Vinjé, S.M. Karst, Human norovirus culture in B cells, Nat. Protoc. 10 (2015) 1939–1947,<https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.121>
- [11] M.C.-W. Chan, S.K.C. Cheung, K.N. Mohammad, J.C.M. Chan, M.K. Estes, P.K.S. Chan, Use of human intestinal enteroids to detect human norovirus infectivity, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 25 (2019) 1730–1735, [https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2509.190205.](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2509.190205)
- [12] W.Y. Zou, S.E. Blutt, S.E. Crawford, K. Ettayebi, X.-L. Zeng, K. Saxena, S. Ramani, U.C. Karandikar, N.C. Zachos, M.K. Estes, in: Human Intestinal Enteroids: New Models to Study Gastrointestinal Virus Infections, 2017, pp. 229–247, [https://doi.org/10.1007/7651\\_2017\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/7651_2017_1).
- [13] E. Robilotti, S. Deresinski, B.A. Pinsky, Norovirus, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 28 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00075-14>.
- [14] M. Eggers, M. Suchomel, In-vivo efficacy of alcohol-based hand rubs against noroviruses: a novel standardized European test method simulating practical conditions, J. Hosp. Infect. 135 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2023.03.005>.
- [15] L. Baert, J. Debevere, M. Uyttendaele, The efficacy of preservation methods to inactivate foodborne viruses, Int. J. Food Microbiol. 131 (2009), [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.03.007) [10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.03.007).
- [16] M. Girard, K. Mattison, I. Fliss, J. Jean, Efficacy of oxidizing disinfectants at inactivating murine norovirus on ready-to-eat foods, Int. J. Food Microbiol. 219 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.11.015>.
- [17] J.H. Ha, S.H. Kim, H.M. Lee, S.J. Kim, H.W. Lee, Efficacy of combination treatment with sodium metasilicate and sodium hypochlorite for inactivation of norovirus on fresh vegetables, Foodborne Pathog Dis 15 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2017.2331>.
- [18] Y.S. Malik, S.M. Goyal, Virucidal efficacy of sodium bicarbonate on a food contact surface against feline calicivirus, a norovirus surrogate, Int. J. Food Microbiol. 109 (2006), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.08.033>.
- [19] E. DiCaprio, Y. Ma, J. Hughes, J. Li, Epidemiology, prevention, and control of the number one foodborne illness. Human norovirus, Infect Dis Clin North Am 27 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2013.05.009>.
- [20] T. Murata, D. Jamsransuren, S. Matsuda, H. Ogawa, Y. Takeda, Rapid virucidal activity of Japanese saxifraga species-derived condensed tannins against SARS-CoV-2, influenza A virus, and human norovirus surrogate viruses, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 89 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00237-23>.
- [21] C.R. Manion, R.M. Widder, Essentials of essential oils, Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm. 74 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp151043>.
- [22] S. Mathur, C. Hoskins, Drug development: lessons from nature, Biomed Rep 6 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2017.909>. [23] S. Ravishankar, L. Zhu, J. Reyna-Granados, B. Law, L. Joens, M. Friedman, Carvacrol and cinnamaldehyde inactivate antibiotic-resistant salmonella entérica in
- buffer and on celery and oysters, J Food Prot 73 (2010), [https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.2.234.](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.2.234)
- [24] N. Claffey, Essential oil mouthwashes: a key component in oral health management, in: J Clin Periodontol, 2003, [https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-051X.30.s5.8.](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-051X.30.s5.8.x) [x.](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-051X.30.s5.8.x) [25] E.S. Bailey, M. Curcic, J. Biros, H. Erdogmus¸, N. Bac, A. Sacco, Essential oil disinfectant efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 microbial surrogates, Front. Public Health 9
- (2021), <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.783832>.
- [26] A. Sattayakhom, S. Wichit, P. Koomhin, The effects of essential oils on the nervous system: a scoping review, Molecules 28 (2023), [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28093771) [molecules28093771](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28093771).
- [27] F. Pellegrini, M. Camero, C. Catella, G. Fracchiolla, S. Sblano, G. Patruno, C.M. Trombetta, M. Galgano, A. Pratelli, M. Tempesta, V. Martella, G. Lanave, Virucidal activity of lemon essential oil against feline calicivirus used as surrogate for norovirus, Antibiotics 12 (2023), [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12020322)  [antibiotics12020322.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12020322)
- [28] M. Camero, G. Lanave, C. Catella, P. Capozza, A. Gentile, G. Fracchiolla, D. Britti, V. Martella, C. Buonavoglia, M. Tempesta, Virucidal activity of ginger essential oil against caprine alphaherpesvirus-1, Vet. Microbiol. 230 (2019), [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.02.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.02.001)
- [29] [R.P. Adams, Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 8 \(2007\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-8440(24)06523-X/sref29)
- [30] H. van Den Dool, P. Dec Kratz, A generalization of the retention index system including linear temperature programmed gas-liquid partition chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A 11 (1963), [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9673\(01\)80947-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9673(01)80947-x).
- [31] I. Koo, S. Kim, X. Zhang, Comparative analysis of mass spectral matching-based compound identification in gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A 1298 (2013), [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.05.021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.05.021)
- [32] K.X. Wan, I. Vidavsky, M.L. Gross, Comparing similar spectra: from similarity index to spectral contrast angle, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 13 (2002), [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305(01)00327-0) [doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305\(01\)00327-0.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305(01)00327-0)
- [33] G. Lanave, A. Cavalli, V. Martella, T. Fontana, R. Losappio, M. Tempesta, N. Decaro, D. Buonavoglia, M. Camero, Ribavirin and boceprevir are able to reduce Canine distemper virus growth in vitro, J Virol Methods 248 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2017.07.012>.
- [34] L.J. Reed, H. Muench, A simple method of estimating fifty per cent ENDPOINTS12, Am. J. Epidemiol. 27 (1938) 493–497, [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118408)  [oxfordjournals.aje.a118408](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118408).
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[35] V. Parra-Acevedo, R.E. Ocazionez, E.E. Stashenko, L. Silva-Trujillo, P. Rondón-Villarreal, Comparative virucidal activities of essential oils and alcohol-based solutions against enveloped virus surrogates: in vitro and in silico analyses, Molecules 28 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28104156>
- [36] A. Mahmood, M. Eqan, S. Pervez, H.A. Alghamdi, A.B. Tabinda, A. Yasar, K. Brindhadevi, A. Pugazhendhi, COVID-19 and frequent use of hand sanitizers; human health and environmental hazards by exposure pathways, Sci. Total Environ. 742 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140561>.
- [37] A.M. Kore, A. Kiesche-Nesselrodt, Toxicology of household cleaning products and disinfectants, Vet. Clin. N. Am. Small Anim. Pract. 20 (1990), [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-5616(90)50043-1) [10.1016/S0195-5616\(90\)50043-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-5616(90)50043-1)
- [38] [S. Pattnaik, V.R. Subramanyam, C. Kole, Antibacterial and antifungal activity of ten essential oils in vitro, Microbios 86 \(1996\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-8440(24)06523-X/sref38).
- [39] C. Valeriano, T.L.C. de Oliveira, S.M. de Carvalho, M. das G. Cardoso, E. Alves, R.H. Piccoli, The sanitizing action of essential oil-based solutions against Salmonella enterica serotype Enteritidis S64 biofilm formation on AISI 304 stainless steel, Food Control 25 (2012), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. [foodcont.2011.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.12.015).
- [40] M.Q. Zeshan, M. Ashraf, M.O. Omer, A.A. Anjum, M.A. Ali, M. Najeeb, J. Majeed, Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of Curcuma longa and Syzygium aromaticum against multiple drug-resistant pathogenic bacteria, Trop. Biomed. 40 (2023),<https://doi.org/10.47665/tb.40.2.008>.
- [41] J. Reichling, P. Schnitzler, U. Suschke, R. Saller, Essential oils of aromatic plants with antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, and cytotoxic properties an overview, Forsch Komplementarmed 16 (2009), [https://doi.org/10.1159/000207196.](https://doi.org/10.1159/000207196)
- [42] K. Kovač, M. Diez-Valcarce, P. Raspor, M. Hernández, D. Rodríguez-Lázaro, Natural plant essential oils do not inactivate non-enveloped enteric viruses, Food Environ Virol 4 (2012) 209–212, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-012-9088-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-012-9088-7)
- [43] A.R. Wani, K. Yadav, A. Khursheed, M.A. Rather, An updated and comprehensive review of the antiviral potential of essential oils and their chemical constituents with special focus on their mechanism of action against various influenza and coronaviruses, Microb. Pathog. 152 (2021), [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104620) [j.micpath.2020.104620.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104620)
- [44] A. Maurya, J. Prasad, S. Das, A.K. Dwivedy, Essential oils and their application in food safety, Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 5 (2021), [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.653420) [fsufs.2021.653420.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.653420)
- [45] H. Soetjipto, N.R. Aminu, Positive and negative impacts of the use of essential oils in food, in: Essential Oils: Applications and Trends in Food Science and Technology, 2022, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99476-1\\_9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99476-1_9)
- [46] A. Garozzo, R. Timpanaro, B. Bisignano, P.M. Furneri, G. Bisignano, A. Castro, In vitro antiviral activity of Melaleuca alternifolia essential oil, Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 49 (2009), [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02740.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02740.x)
- [47] Z. Rouis, N. Abid, S. Koudja, T. Yangui, A. Elaissi, P.L. Cioni, G. Flamini, M. Aouni, Evaluation of the cytotoxic effect and antibacterial, antifungal, and antiviral activities of Hypericum triquetrifolium Turra essential oils from Tunisia, BMC Complement Altern Med 13 (2013) 24, [https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-13-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-13-24)  $24.$
- [48] D.H. Gilling, M. Kitajima, J.R. Torrey, K.R. Bright, Mechanisms of antiviral action of plant antimicrobials against murine norovirus, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80 (2014), [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00402-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00402-14)
- [49] A. Astani, J. Reichling, P. Schnitzler, Comparative study on the antiviral activity of selected monoterpenes derived from essential oils, Phytother Res. 24 (2010), [https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.2955.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.2955)
- [50] L.A. Gómez, E. Stashenko, R.E. Ocazionez, Comparative study on in vitro activities of citral, limonene and essential oils from Lippia citriodora and L. alba on yellow fever virus, Nat. Prod. Commun. 8 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578x1300800230>.
- [51] Y.W. Kim, H.J. You, S. Lee, B. Kim, D.K. Kim, J.B. Choi, J.A. Kim, H.J. Lee, I.S. Joo, J.S. Lee, D.H. Kang, G. Lee, G.P. Ko, S.J. Lee, Inactivation of norovirus by lemongrass essential oil using a norovirus surrogate system, J Food Prot 80 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-16-162>.
- [52] P. Elizaquível, M. Azizkhani, R. Aznar, G. Sánchez, The effect of essential oils on norovirus surrogates, Food Control 32 (2013), [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.11.031) [foodcont.2012.11.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.11.031).
- [53] D.H. Gilling, M. Kitajima, J.R. Torrey, K.R. Bright, Antiviral efficacy and mechanisms of action of oregano essential oil and its primary component carvacrol against murine norovirus, J. Appl. Microbiol. 116 (2014),<https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12453>.
- [54] D. Solis-Sanchez, A. Rivera-Piza, S. Lee, J. Kim, B. Kim, J.B. Choi, Y.W. Kim, G.P. Ko, M.J. Song, S.J. Lee, Antiviral effects of Lindera obtusiloba leaf extract on murine norovirus-1 (MNV-1), a human norovirus surrogate, and potential application to model foods, Antibiotics 9 (2020), [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9100697) [antibiotics9100697](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9100697).
- [55] G. Sánchez, R. Aznar, Evaluation of natural compounds of plant origin for inactivation of enteric viruses, Food Environ Virol 7 (2015), [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-015-9181-9) [s12560-015-9181-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-015-9181-9)
- [56] A.K. Dhakad, V.V. Pandey, S. Beg, J.M. Rawat, A. Singh, Biological, medicinal and toxicological significance of Eucalyptus leaf essential oil: a review, J. Sci. Food Agric. 98 (2018), [https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8600.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8600)
- T. Drevinskas, A. Maruška, L. Telksnys, S. Hjerten, M. Stankevičius, R. Lelešius, R. Mickiene, A. Karpovaite, A. Šalomskas, N. Tiso, O. Ragažinskiene. Chromatographic data segmentation method: a hybrid analytical approach for the investigation of antiviral substances in medicinal plant extracts, Anal. Chem. 91 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04595>.
- [58] E. Spisni, G. Petrocelli, V. Imbesi, R. Spigarelli, D. Azzinnari, M.D. Sarti, M. Campieri, M.C. Valerii, Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and microbial-modulating activities of essential oils: implications in colonic pathophysiology, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (2020), https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21114152
- [59] M.S. Chung, Antiviral activities of Artemisia princeps var. orientalis essential oil and its α-thujone against norovirus surrogates, Food Sci. Biotechnol. 26 (2017) 1457–1461,<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-017-0158-3>.
- [60] R. Hofmann-Lehmann, M.J. Hosie, K. Hartmann, H. Egberink, U. Truyen, S. Tasker, S. Belák, C. Boucraut-Baralon, T. Frymus, A. Lloret, F. Marsilio, M.G. Pennisi, D.D. Addie, H. Lutz, E. Thiry, A.D. Radford, K. Möstl, Calicivirus infection in cats, Viruses 14 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.3390/v14050937>.
- [61] M. Maswal, A.A. Dar, Inhibition of citral degradation in an acidic aqueous environment by polyoxyethylene alkylether surfactants, Food Chem. 138 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.12.031>.
- [62] M. Machado, P. Pires, A.M. Dinis, M. Santos-Rosa, V. Alves, L. Salgueiro, C. Cavaleiro, M.C. Sousa, Monoterpenic aldehydes as potential anti-Leishmania agents: activity of Cymbopogon citratus and citral on L. infantum, L. tropica and L. major, Exp. Parasitol. 130 (2012), [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.012)
- [63] M.S.A. Khan, A. Malik, I. Ahmad, Anti-candidal activity of essential oils alone and in combination with amphotericin B or fluconazole against multi-drug resistant isolates of Candida albicans, Med. Mycol. 50 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.3109/13693786.2011.582890>.
- [64] E. Korenblum, F.R.V. de Goulart, I.A. de Rodrigues, F. Abreu, U. Lins, P.B. Alves, A.F. Blank, É. Valoni, G.V. Sebastián, D.S. Alviano, C.S. Alviano, L. Seldin, Antimicrobial action and anti-corrosion effect against sulfate reducing bacteria by lemongrass (Cymbopogon citratus) essential oil and its major component, the citral, Amb. Express 3 (2013), [https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-0855-3-44.](https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-0855-3-44)
- [65] M. Vigan, Essential oils: renewal of interest and toxicity, Eur. J. Dermatol. 20 (2010), <https://doi.org/10.1684/ejd.2010.1066>.