



The integration of dermoscopy and reflectance confocal microscopy improves the diagnosis of lentigo maligna

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original:

Cinotti, E., Fiorani, D., Labeille, B., Gonzalez, S., Debarbieux, S., Agozzino, M., et al. (2019). The integration of dermoscopy and reflectance confocal microscopy improves the diagnosis of lentigo maligna. JOURNAL OF THE EUROPEAN ACADEMY OF DERMATOLOGY AND VENEREOLOGY, 33(10), e372-e374 [10.1111/jdv.15669].

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/11365/1105318 since 2020-04-03T15:46:01Z

Published:

DOI:10.1111/jdv.15669

Terms of use:

Open Access

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license.

For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)



DR. ELISA CINOTTI (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4009-0659)

DR. FRANCESCA FARNETANI (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7088-9077)

Article type : Letter to Editor

The integration of dermoscopy and reflectance confocal microscopy improves the diagnosis of lentigo maligna

Elisa Cinotti, MD, PhD¹; Diletta Fiorani, MD¹, Bruno Labeille, MD²; Salvador Gonzalez, MD, PhD³; Sébastien Debarbieux, MD⁴; Marina Agozzino, MD⁵; Marco Ardigò, MD⁶; Francesco Lacarrubba, MD⁶; Francesca Farnetani, MD⁶; Cristina Carrera, MD, PhD⁰; Gabriele Cevenini; PhD¹⁰; Le Duff Florence, MD¹¹; Linda Tognetti, MD¹¹¹; Giovanni Pellacani, MD, PhD⁰; Pietro Rubegni, MD, PhD¹; Jean Luc Perrot, MD, PhD²

¹Department of Medical, Surgical and Neurological Science, Dermatology Section, University of Siena, S. Maria alle Scotte Hospital, Siena, Italy,

²Department of Dermatology, University Hospital of St-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France,

³Medicine and Medical Specialities Department, Alcalá University, Madrid

⁴Departments of Dermatology, Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre Benite, France,

⁵Dermatology Unit, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy,

⁶Clinical Dermatology, San Gallicano Dermatological Institute, Rome, Italy,

⁷Dermatology Clinic, University of Catania, Italy,

⁸Department of Dermatology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy,

⁹Melanoma Unit, Department of Dermatology, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, IDIBAPS, Barcelona University, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Barcelona, Spain

¹⁰Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena (Italy)

¹¹Department of Dermatology, Clinical Research Center, Hopital Archet 2, Nice, France

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jdv.15669

Running head: Dermoscopy versus confocal microscopy for lentigo maligna

This study received the approval from the Ethical Committee of the University Hospital of Saint-Etienne (Institutional review board number 672016/CHUSTE).

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Corresponding author:

Elisa Cinotti. Dermatology Section, University of Siena, S. Maria alle Scotte Hospital, 53100 Siena, Italy. Tel: 0039-0577 585428. Fax: 0039 0577 585488, elisacinotti@gmail.com

To the Editor: The clinical diagnosis of lentigo maligna (LM)/lentigo maligna melanoma (LMM) often represents a challenge due to its overlapping features with benign lesions. Dermoscopy and reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) are non-invasive skin imaging techniques that can help the clinical diagnosis of this tumor^{1–10}. However, there is no study that compares these techniques used alone to their combination for LM/LMM and there are only two studies that directly compared their diagnostic accuracy for LM/LMM^{8,9}. The largest study was performed by our group on 223 facial lesions with histological diagnosis and compared dermoscopy to RCM alone⁹, whereas the other compared dermoscopy plus digital dermoscopic monitoring to RCM in 70 lesions (33 with histological diagnosis)⁸.

Here, our aim was to evaluate if the combination of dermoscopy and RCM could improve the diagnosis of LM/LMM compared to dermoscopy and RCM alone.

Having both dermoscopic and RCM images available, seven experts in non-invasive skin imaging techniques evaluated the same series of 223 clinically equivocal facial lesions in clinical differential diagnosis with LM/LMM (including 115 LM/LMM, 20 basal cell carcinomas and 88 benign lesions) previously diagnosed⁹ either by dermoscopy alone (evaluation performed by 4 of them) or RCM alone (evaluation performed by 6 of them) one year before and results were compared with the previous study. Mean sensitivity, specificity and overall diagnostic accuracy (ACC) and 95% CI were calculated for the specific diagnosis of different facial lesions (Table 1).

As expected, considering the evaluation of the seven experts, the combination dermoscopy/RCM had a higher mean ACC for both malignant tumor (including LM/LMM) and LM/LMM of the face (84%, CI 80-89 and 84%, CI 79-89, respectively) than the two imaging techniques alone (74%, CI

tumo Control C

68-80 for dermoscopy versus 80%, CI 75-85 for RCM in case of LM/LMM and 75%, CI 68-80 for dermoscopy versus 80%, CI 74-85 for RCM in case of malignant tumor). Notably, ACC increased for each investigator. The superiority of the ACC of the combination dermoscopy/RCM on the single imaging techniques for LM/LMM was also observed when comparing the current data to the results obtained in the previous study by all the 21 investigators. Considering all evaluations, the ACC increased from dermoscopy to RCM and to dermoscopy plus RCM. According to the CI, the combination dermoscopy/RCM improved both mean sensitivity and mean specificity of RCM alone for LM/LMM, whereas it highly improved mean sensitivity of dermoscopy alone but not its mean specificity (sensitivity of 65% CI 57-73, 82% CI 76-89 and 85% CI 80-91 and specificity of 88% CI 82-95, 77% CI 68-86 and 83% CI 75-91 for dermoscopy, RCM, and dermoscopy plus RCM, respectively). As already discussed, this fact was probably related to the presence of hyper-reflective dendritic Langerhans cells under RCM mistaken for neoplastic melanocytes in the epidermis of most misdiagnosed benign lesions⁹. Concerning the facial lesions other than LM/LMM we also observed an increase in ACC with the combination dermoscopy/RCM (Table1).

In conclusion this study shows that the integration of dermoscopy and RCM increases the ACC of both these techniques used alone for facial tumors. More in-depth studies should be carried out, even using machine learning techniques, for optimal integration of dermoscopic data with RCM data, in order to increase ACC by reducing possible false positives induced by the RCM examination.

Key words: Dermoscopy; reflectance confocal microscopy; lentigo maligna; melanoma; face; tumor; diagnosis; imaging.

References

- 1 Guitera P, Pellacani G, Crotty KA, *et al.* The impact of in vivo reflectance confocal microscopy on the diagnostic accuracy of lentigo maligna and equivocal pigmented and nonpigmented macules of the face. *J Invest Dermatol* 2010; **130**:2080–2091.
- 2 Pralong P, Bathelier E, Dalle S, *et al.* Dermoscopy of lentigo maligna melanoma: report of 125 cases. *Br J Dermatol* 2012; **167**:280–287.
- 3 Champin J, Perrot J-L, Cinotti E, *et al.* In Vivo Reflectance Confocal Microscopy to Optimize the Spaghetti Technique for Defining Surgical Margins of Lentigo Maligna. *Dermatol Surg* 2014; **40**:247-256.
- Bollea-Garlatti LA, Galimberti GN, Galimberti RL. Lentigo Maligna: Keys to Dermoscopic Diagnosis. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 2016; **107**:489–497.
- 5 Couty E, Tognetti L, Labeille B, *et al.* In vivo reflectance confocal microscopy combined with the 'spaghetti technique' for the identification of surgical margins of lentigo maligna: experience in 70 patients. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2018; **32**:e366–368.
- 6Gómez-Martín I, Moreno S, Andrades-López E, *et al.* Histopathologic and Immunohistochemical Correlates of Confocal Descriptors in Pigmented Facial Macules on Photodamaged Skin. *JAMA Dermatol* 2017; **153**:771–780.
- 7Guitera P, Haydu LE, Menzies SW, *et al.* Surveillance for treatment failure of lentigo maligna with dermoscopy and in vivo confocal microscopy: new descriptors. *Br J Dermatol* 2014; **170**:1305–1312.
- 8Wurm E, Pellacani G, Longo C, *et al.* The value of reflectance confocal microscopy in diagnosis of flat pigmented facial lesions: a prospective study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2017; **31**:1349–1354.
- 9 Cinotti E, Labeille B, Debarbieux S, *et al.* Dermoscopy vs. reflectance confocal microscopy for the diagnosis of lentigo maligna. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2018. doi:10.1111/jdv.14791.
- 10 Farnetani F, Manfredini M, Chester J, *et al.* Reflectance confocal microscopy in the diagnosis of pigmented macules of the face: differential diagnosis and margin definition. *Photochem Photobiol* 2019. doi:10.1039/c8pp00525g.

Abbreviation and acronym list:

Lentigo maligna (LM)

Lentigo maligna melanoma (LMM)

Overall diagnostic accuracy (ACC)

Reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM)

Table 1. Inter-observer mean sensitivity, specificity and overall diagnostic accuracy of dermoscopy, RCM and dermoscopy plus RCM of different facial tumors:

different facia	different facial tumors:						
		Current study (7 investigators)			Previous study *(21 investigators including the 7 of the current study)		
		Dermoscopy	RCM	Dermoscopy and RCM	Dermoscopy	RCM	
		4 investigators	6 investigators	7 investigators	15 investigators	12 investigators	
5	SE (95% CI)	65 (57-73)	82 (76-89)	85 (80-91)	69 (61-78)	84 (78-91)	
	SE range	51-80	78-85	77-96	51-86	75-92	
Malignant tumor (n.136)	SP (95% CI)	88 (82-95)	77 (68-86)	83 (75-91)	85 (78-91)	75 (66-84)	
	SP range	84-95	73-80	73-88	74-95	62-84	
	ACC (95% CI)	74 (68-80)	80 (75-85)	84 (80-89)	75 (69-81)	81 (76-86)	
LM/LMM (n.115)	SE (95% CI)	56 (47-66)	76 (68-84)	80 (73-87)	61 (51-71)	80 (72-88)	

	SE range	35-80	66-82	69-97	35-83	66-90
5	SP (95% CI)	94 (90-99)	84 (77-91)	89 (83-94)	92 (88-96)	81 (75-88)
	SP range	89-98	81-86	83-94	84-98	73-90
7	ACC (95% CI)	75 (68-80)	80 (74-85)	84 (79-89)	76 (70-82)	81 (76-86)
	SE (95% CI)	80 (62-100)	84 (68-100)	89 (62-100)	81 (63-100)	82 (65-99)
	SE range	75-85	75-95	75-100	70-90	65-95
Basal cell carcinoma (n.20)	SP (95% CI)	97 (95-99)	96 (94-99)	98 (97-98)	98 (96-100)	97 (95-99)
	SP range	95-99	94-98	94-99	95-100	94-99
4	ACC (95% CI)	96 (93-98)	95 (92-98)	97 (95-99)	96 (94-99)	96 (93-98)
Solar lentigo (n.37)	SE (95% CI)	53 (37-70)	50 (34-66)	58 (41-74)	60 (44-76)	51 (34-67)

	SE range	43-68	41-57	43-73	43-76	35-70
	SP (95% CI)	88 (84-92)	92 (89-96)	92 (88-95)	87 (82-91)	93 (89-96)
	SP range	84-90	84-95	84-99	80-93	84-97
G	ACC (95% CI)	83 (79-88)	86 (82-90)	87 (83-91)	83 (78-87)	87 (83-91)
	SE (95% CI)	90 (80-100)	57 (36-77)	75 (57-93)	81 (65-96)	62 (41-82)
	SE range	83-100	35-70	61-87	65-100	35-83
Seborrheic keratosis (n.23)	SP (95% CI)	91 (87-95)	95 (92-98)	95 (92-98)	93 (90-96)	95 (92-98)
	SP range	84-94	93-98	91-96	84-99	92-98
	ACC (95% CI)	91 (88-95)	91 (88-95)	93 (89-96)	92 (88-95)	92 (89-95)
Pigmented actinic keratosis	SE (95% CI)	28 (5-52)	19 (2-40)	29 (3-54)	30 (6-54)	17 (0-35)

(n.15)	SE range	20-33	13-27	13-53	0-55	0-40
3	SP (95% CI)	93 (90-97)	95 (92-98)	96 (93-98)	94 (91-97)	96 (94-99)
	SP range	90-96	92-99	89-99	89-99	92-100
G	ACC (95% CI)	89 (86-93)	90 (87-94)	92 (88-95)	90 (86-94)	91 (88-95)
	SE (95% CI)	69 (36-100)	63 (26-99)	63 (24-100)	53 (14-92)	54 (16-92)
	SE range	50-88	25-88	25-88	13-88	25-88
Nevus (n.8)	SP (95% CI)	98 (96-100)	99 (98-100)	100 (99-100)	98 (97-100)	99 (98-100)
	SP range	97-99	97-100	100	97-100	97-100
	ACC (95% CI)	97 (95-99)	98 (96-100)	98 (97-100)	97 (95-99)	97 (95-99)

ACC: overall accuracy; LM: lentigo maligna;

LMM: lentigo maligna melanoma; RCM: reflectance confocal microscopy;

SE: sensitivity;

SP: specificity.

* Cinotti E, Labeille B, Debarbieux S, et al. Dermoscopy vs. reflectance confocal microscopy for the diagnosis of lentigo maligna. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol JEADV 2018. doi:10.1111/jdv.14791.