

## First data on plastic ingestion by blue sharks (*Prionace glauca*) from the Ligurian Sea (North-Western Mediterranean Sea)

This is a pre print version of the following article:

*Original:*

Bernardini, I., Garibaldi, F., Canesi, L., Fossi, M.C., Baini, M. (2018). First data on plastic ingestion by blue sharks (*Prionace glauca*) from the Ligurian Sea (North-Western Mediterranean Sea). MARINE POLLUTION BULLETIN, 135, 303-310 [10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.07.022].

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/11365/1058881> since 2018-09-14T11:08:20Z

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.07.022>

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license.

For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

1   **Title:**

2   **First data on plastic ingestion by blue sharks (*Prionace glauca*) from the Ligurian**  
3   **Sea (North-Western Mediterranean Sea)**

4   **Authors:**

5   **Ilaria Bernardini <sup>a,b</sup>, Fulvio Garibaldi <sup>a</sup>, Laura Canesi <sup>a</sup>, Maria Cristina Fossi <sup>b</sup>, Matteo Baini <sup>b</sup>**

6       a- Department of Earth, Environment and Life Sciences (DISTAV), University of Genoa, Corso  
7       Europa 26, 16132 Genova, Italy

8       b- Department of Physical, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Siena, Via Mattioli  
9       4, 53100 Siena, Italy

10   **Abstract:** Plastic pollution in the oceans represents a risk for the marine environment and biota.  
11   Few studies have focused so far on plastic ingestion by sharks in the Mediterranean Sea. The aim  
12   of this paper was to determine for the first time the ingestion of plastic litter by blue sharks  
13   (*Prionace glauca*), an opportunistic and widespread species in Mediterranean Sea, caught in the  
14   Pelagos Sanctuary SPAMI (North-Western Mediterranean Sea). The analysis of the ingested debris  
15   in the stomach contents was performed following the MSFD Descriptor 10 standard protocol  
16   developed for sea turtles and implemented with FT-IR spectroscopy technique. The results showed  
17   that the 25.26% of samples ingested plastic debris of wide scale of sizes from microplastics (<5  
18   mm) to macroplastics (> 25 mm). The FT-IR analysis showed that ingested plastic debris, mainly  
19   transparent sheetlike items, were composed by polyethylene. Considering that in the  
20   Mediterranean Sea Blue shark is categorized as “Critically Endangered” by IUCN List and, besides,  
21   given that this species is a top predator of the pelagic habitat, the high amount of plastic litter  
22   found in this research contributes to highlight the real impact of plastic debris both on the marine  
23   environmental in a protected area and also on a species with an important ecological role in the  
24   food web.

25   **Keywords:**

26   Marine litter  
27   Plastic ingestion  
28   Mediterranean Sea  
29   Blue sharks

## 30   **1 Introduction**

31   Plastic pollution is present in all the oceans and seas of the world, including the Mediterranean  
32   Sea, which is considered one of the most impacted areas by marine litter in the world, with an  
33   average concentration calculated at 0.243 items /m<sup>2</sup> (Cózar et al., 2015). Plastic waste can cause  
34   physical damages to marine organisms like entanglement and smothering; moreover, plastic  
35   ingestion can induce lacerations and ulcerating wounds in the digestive tract, leading to general  
36   debilitation (Gregory, 2009; Kühn et al., 2015). Plastics ingestion is the most commonly studied

phenomenon, since it could lead to more serious consequences, including changes in satiety and hunger, decrease of the power and capacity of predation, energy disturbance, impairment of reproduction, endocrine disruption, as well as more specific effects such as oxidative stress, dysfunctions in immune defences and neurotransmission, genotoxicity and, as extreme consequences, drowning and death (Avio et al., 2015; Coe and Rogers, 1997; Gregory, 1978; Hjelmeland et al., 1998; Jackson, G.D. et al., 2000; Net et al., 2015; Rochman et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2013).

Neutrally buoyant plastic items are the most suitable to be ingested (Outi Setälä et al., 2015), both intentionally and accidentally (Cliff et al., 2002; Laist, 1997). Moreover, plastic debris can be eaten either directly from the water column (primary ingestion), or indirectly (secondary ingestion) from plastic-contaminated food, also in large pelagic species (Romeo et al., 2015). The potential deleterious effects of ingestion underline the urgency to evaluate the impact of plastics on the whole marine food web and the related consequences for end consumers (Galloway, 2015; Koch and Calafat, 2009; UNEP, 2011), especially in hot spot area of plastic pollution such as the Mediterranean Sea.

Although plastic ingestion by marine organisms has been investigated in several Mediterranean species (Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Fossi et al., 2018), only few data are available on cartilaginous fish from the Mediterranean Sea; these are mainly focused on demersal species such as *Galeus melastomus* (Alomar and Deudero, 2017; Carrassón et al., 1992; Cartes et al., 2016; Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Madurell, 2003), *Centroscymnus coelolepis* (Carrassón et al., 1992; Cartes et al., 2016), *Etmopterus spinax* (Aikaterini Anastasopoulou et al., 2013; Cartes et al., 2016; Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Madurell, 2003). Due to their role as apex predators and their wide distribution, sharks could be exposed to plastic ingestion and to other environmental contaminants, through the food web with bioaccumulation and biomagnification processes (Alves et al., 2016; Serrano et al., 2000; Strid et al., 2007). Therefore, they are considered as sentinel organisms for marine pollution biomonitoring studies (Alves et al., 2016; Marcovecchio et al., 1991; Vas, 1991).

The blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) is one of the most wide ranging shark in the Mediterranean Sea (Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000) and worldwide (Stevens, 2009). It is an oceanic and pelagic species with a highly migratory behaviour, for reproductive and feeding purposes; it is also able of huge vertical movements, from the surface to over 600 m depth (Camhi et al., 2008; Campana et al., 2011; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000; Rondinini et al., 2013; Sims et al., 2016). Blue sharks have an opportunistic feeding strategy (Camhi et al., 2008; Carvalho et al., 2011; Garibaldi and Orsi

69 Relini, 2000) with a non specific diet (Cortés, 1997; Lopez et al., 2010; Vanadia et al., 2004), and  
70 are commonly considered "sea shelters" playing a key role in the Mediterranean food web. Most  
71 of their preys are pelagic, but bottom fishes and floating elements are also present in their diet  
72 (Camhi et al., 2008; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000). The IUCN Red List assessed the blue shark  
73 globally conservation status as "Near Threatened" (Stevens, 2009) however, in the Mediterranean  
74 basin, whose population is separated and independent from the North Atlantic one (Kohler et al.,  
75 2002; Leone et al., 2017; Megalofonou et al., 2009), is categorized as "Critically Endangered" (Sims  
76 et al., 2016). In this area, blue shark is one of the most incidental by-catch species of the long line  
77 fisheries targeting swordfish of albacore and bluefin tuna (Camhi et al., 2008; De la Serna et al.,  
78 2002; Garibaldi, 2015; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000; Megalofonou et al., 2005a, 2005b). The  
79 Mediterranean population was estimated to face a 90% decline over 30 years and it is increasingly  
80 closer to overfishing (Sims et al., 2016). Although the presence of various types of debris (metals,  
81 plastic) in *P. glauca* stomachs has been occasionally detected, both in the Mediterranean Sea  
82 (Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000) and worldwide (McCord and Campana, 2003; Teodoro Vaske  
83 Júnior et al., 2009) scale, no specific analysis and detailed data were carried out.  
84 Thus, the aim of this work was to investigate, for the first time, plastic ingestion in samples of blue  
85 sharks from the North Western Mediterranean (Ligurian Sea), in the Specially Protected Area of  
86 Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI), Pelagos Sanctuary. To achieve this goal standardized  
87 protocols, developed for the analysis of other marine species, were applied to analyze the  
88 stomach contents in order to quantify and characterize the litter ingested.

## 89 **2 Materials and methods**

### 90 **2.1 Study area and sampling**

91 From 1999 to 2015 a total of 139 blue sharks (*P. glauca*) were sampled in the Western Ligurian  
92 Sea, in an offshore area in front of the coast of Sanremo, Imperia and Nice (Fig. 1). This area is part  
93 of the Pelagos Sanctuary, a Specially Protected Area of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI)  
94 established in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea for the conservation of cetaceans.



**Figure 1. Sampling area**

The blue sharks were caught by longlines, deployed both at surface during the night and to a maximum depth of 600 m during the day. Samples were taken directly on board of fishing vessels or at landing, where total length measurement (TL in cm), total weight (TW in g) and sex data were recorded. Specimens were grouped into two size classes on the basis of their total length : TL  $\leq$  120 cm and TL > 120 cm.

According to Megalofonou et al. (2009), below the threshold of 120 cm samples were considered juveniles (J) whereas over this value adults (A) (Tab. 1).

**Table 1. Specimens of *P. glauca* sampled subdivided by size class: juveniles (TL  $\leq$  120 cm) and adults (TL > 120 cm).**

		Juveniles		Adults	
		Male	Female	Male	Female
n° of specimens		29	31	27	52
Total length (cm)	Min	52	66	121	122
	Max	115	115	262	199
	Mean $\pm$ S.D.	90.94 $\pm$ 39.58	94.95 $\pm$ 40.05	157.4 $\pm$ 39.81	157.78 $\pm$ 39.83

During the necropsy, the stomach of blue sharks were isolated, by means of clamps, to prevent spillage of the contents and removed. The stomachs section was opened and the contents

collected. The contents were inspected for the presence of any tar, oil, and preserved in 70% alcohol before the subsequent laboratory analysis. The liquid portion, mucus and digested unidentifiable matter were removed by washing the contents through a 1 mm metal sieve with pre-filtered water. The remaining portion was placed in a petri dish and examined under the microscope. Marine litter items were identified from other ingested material, isolated and placed in closed glass jars, for subsequent counts and characterization.

## **2.2 Marine litter count and characterization**

Marine litter was separated from other ingested residue and categorized according to the “Litter in Biota” protocol included in the “Monitoring Guidance for Marine Litter in European Seas” (MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter, 2013) following the “Guidance of monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas” protocol developed for sea birds and sea turtles. All items were identified through direct visual sorting of the stomach content using the microscope (Wild Herrtbrugc M5A), isolated and dried at room temperature. The dried items were counted, weighed (Mettler AE 260 DeltaRenge) and scanned with a printer-scanner (Canon MP280). Different measurements (length (cm), width (cm) and area (cm<sup>2</sup>)) of each item were obtained processing the scanned images with ImageJ program. Items were also classified based on their colors. All plastic items were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy technique (Agilent Cary 630 spectrophotometer) to identify the plastics polymer composition (Hummel, 2002). For each plastic fragment found, depending on its heterogeneity (including degradation status and fouling presence), three measurements were carried out. Only spectra matching more than 80% with reference polymers present in libraries (Agilent Polymer Handheld ATR Library, Agilent Elastomer Oring and Seal Handheld ATR Library and Agilent ATR General Library) were accepted (Fossi et al., 2017; Lusher et al., 2013). In order to avoid the risk of contamination, stringent laboratory and sampling procedures were carried out to ensure the quality of the results.

## **3 Results**

### **3.1 Stomach content of plastic items**

Of all the 139 blue shark stomachs examined, 44 (31.4 %) were found completely empty, due to the fact that some specimens could vomit up food during capture (Stevens, 1973). As a consequence, in order to determine the frequency of marine litter in gastric contents, only full contents (95) were considered (Tab.2).

141

142 **Table 2. Number of specimens of blue sharks analyzed, number of full stomachs and frequency of occurrence of**  
 143 **marine litter in the stomach contents of juveniles (TL≤120 cm) and adults (TL >120 cm).**

	Juveniles			Adults			All
	M	F	Tot.	M	F	Tot.	
<b>n° individuals analyzed</b>	29	31	60	27	52	79	<b>139</b>
<b>Full stomachs</b>	17	26	43	17	35	52	<b>95</b>
<b>Frequency of full stomachs with marine litter (%)</b>	41.18%	30.77%	34.88%	17.65%	17.14%	17.31%	<b>25.26%</b>

144

145 Overall, 109 items of marine litter were found, amounting to a total weigh of 6.14 g; the majority  
 146 (107 items) were represented by user plastic items and only 2 debris were categorized as rubbish.

147 In 24 out 95 specimens analyzed, the presence of plastic litter was recorded (25.26%) with a range  
 148 from 1 to 30 items per sample. The total mass of plastics ingested was 3.37 g (range: 0.0001-0.977  
 149 g), with a total area of 30693.61 cm<sup>2</sup> (range: 0.019-27.65 cm<sup>2</sup>).

150 Analyzing the presence/absence of marine litter in different size classes, juvenile blue sharks  
 151 seems more likely to ingest marine litter than adults showing higher percentage of occurrence  
 152 (Table 2). The greater quantity of plastics was found into the stomach of juveniles (65 items),  
 153 amounting to a total weight of 2.836 g (range: 0.0001-0.977 g) and total area of 30615cm<sup>2</sup> (range  
 154 0.23-27644.99 cm<sup>2</sup>). Adults ingested 42 plastic pieces, with a total weight 0.5302 g (range: 0.0001-  
 155 0.5718 g) and a total area 7860.18 mm<sup>2</sup> (range 0.01871-18.907 cm<sup>2</sup>). In addition, no relevant  
 156 differences were observed between sex (Table 2).

### 157 **3.2 Characteristics of total plastic items**


158

159 Ingested plastic items were classified based on their shape: sheetlike, threadlike, fragments,  
 160 foamed and other typologies (other). The majority of plastic items were sheetlike (72.38%),  
 161 followed by fragments (18.10%), threadlike (5.71%), others (3.81%). No plastic foams were  
 162 detected.

163 Total sheetlike items not only had greater external area, but also accounted for the highest  
 164 weight; the area of threadlike, fragments and other was irrelevant (<1%) (Fig.2).

165


166



**Figure 2. Weight, area and number of different user plastics categories found in the in gastric contents of blue sharks.**

Items were also grouped in three size classes following (Galgani et al., 2013b): microplastics (<5mm), mesoplastics (5-25 mm), macroplastics (>25 mm). All size classes were present in sheetlike, fragments and other typologies of plastic. More than 50% of plastic pieces belong to mesoplastics, followed by microplastics (25.71%) and macroplastics (20%). Mesoplastics and macroplastics were present in all category of plastic; in particular mesoplastics accounted for 39.05% and 11.43% of sheetlike and fragments, respectively; the threadlike type was composed of the same quantity of mesoplastics and macroplastics (Fig.3a). A similar proportion of microplastics was present in sheetlike, fragments and other, whereas they were absent in the threadlike type.





**Figure 3. Categories of plastics (sheetlike, threadlike, fragments and other) in relation to a) sizes (macroplastic:>25 mm, mesoplastic: 5-25 mm, microplastic:<5 mm) b) colors and c) type of polymers.**

Sheetlike plastics showed all colors, except red, which is present just in fragment category, and blue, present in threadlike and other categories. However sheetlike fragments were represented mainly by transparent and white pieces (47.37 and 28.95%, respectively); fragments were mostly white, black and green. Threadlike pieces were composed of 4 green pieces, one blue and one black. Other class was composed of white, transparent, blue and grey colors in the same proportion (Fig.3b).


With regards to the polymer type, FT-IR analysis revealed that the majority of plastic pieces (75.2%) were made of polyethylene (PE), both low density and high density followed by of Polypropylene (PP) (19.1%), and a small proportion of polystyrene (PS) (1.90%) and other polymers (3.8%).

PE and PP were present in all plastic items independent of shape (Fig. 3c).

On the contrary, other polymers were present only in 4 fragments made of Polyacrylate polyester, Ehylene propylene diene, Polyester and Polyvinyl chloride (PVC).

With regards to the relationship between the polymer type and plastic item size, PE and PP represented the main polymers in all size classes, in particular in Mesoplastics. PS and other polymers were much less represented and only in meso- and macroplastics, respectively (Fig. 10).


198 All types of polymers were present in mesoplastics, with 41% represented by PE.  
199



200  
201 **Figure 4. Frequency of plastic polymers in relation to size.**

202  
203 **3.3 Characteristics of plastic in different sizeclasses**

204 Mesoplastics represented the majority of plastic ingested in both juveniles and adults. The  
205 percentage of microplastics was higher in juveniles than in adults (Fig.5a).



208 **Figure 5. Analysis of plastic ingested by the different sizeclasses, juveniles (n=43) and adults (n=52) in relation to a)**  
 209 **size, b) shape, c) color and d) type of polymer**

210 With regards to size, in both classes the most widespread typology was sheetlike, 54.69% and  
 211 60.98%, respectively in juveniles and adults (Fig. 5b). Transparent and white were the most  
 212 common colors in adults (56.15%) and in juveniles (39.1%) respectively (Fig. 5c).

213 In juveniles, transparent and green are present with the same percentage (21.9%) and together  
 214 constitute quite 45% of plastics (Fig. 5c). Adults showed more differences among color categories:  
 215 white (22%) and green (9.8%) are the most signified colors after transparent (Fig. 5c).

216 Both in juveniles and in adults PE was the most abundant polymer (79.69% in juveniles, 68.29% in  
 217 adults), followed by PP, however present in much smaller quantities than PE in both classes  
 218 (<20%) (Fig. 5d).

219

## 220 **4 Discussions and conclusions**

221 This paper represent the first study on occurrence and characterization of marine plastic litter in  
 222 stomachs of blue sharks in the Mediterranean Sea and worldwide scale, given that data available  
 223 so far regarding marine litter ingestion these species came from feeding ecology studies.

224 It is difficult to compare among different studies on amounts, types of ingested debris because  
 225 the methods used for marine litter quantification and characterization are not standardized (Fossi  
 226 et al., 2018), however comparing the occurrence of plastic ingested by sharks species sampled in  
 227 different areas of Mediterranean Sea, with data obtaining in the present study (Tab. 3), *P. glauca*  
 228 shows high occurrence of plastic items (25% of specimens with full stomachs), second only to  
 229 *Squalus acanthias*, caught in the Adriatic Sea where the authors isolated, from 9 specimens,  
 230 plastics particles smaller than 1 mm (Avio et al., 2015).

231 **Table 3. Comparison of plastic occurrence in different species of shark caught in the Mediterranean Sea. The specific**  
 232 **areas of sampling are: AS (Adriatic Sea), IS (Ionian Sea), Eastern Mediterranean Sea (EMS), CMS (central**  
 233 **Mediterranean Sea), WMS (Western Mediterranean Sea), Aegian-Levantine Sea (ALS) and the habitat (demersal**  
 234 **and pelagic)**

Order	Species	GSA	Study area	Habitat	N° of stomaches analyzed	Plastic occurrence (%)	Bibliography
Carcharhiniformes	<i>Prionace glauca</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA09	WMS	Pelagic	95	25.3%	Present study
Carcharhiniformes	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque,	GSA20	IS, CMS	Demersal	741	3.2%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013

	1810)						
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	Eastern Mediteranean	ALS	Demersal	1350	3.0%	Madurell 2003; Deudero & Alomar 2015
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	Eastern Mediteranean	ALS	Demersal	125	16.8%	Alomar & Deudero 2017
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	37	10.8%	Carrassón et al.1992
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	125	15.2%	Cartes et al.2016
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	95	6.3%	Cartes et al.2016
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Galeus melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	125	16.8%	Alomar & Deudero 2017
<b>Carcharhiniformes</b>	<i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Demersal	1	0%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Centrophorus granulosus</i> (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Demersal	5	0%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Centroscymnus coelolepis</i> (Barbosa du Bocage & de Brito Capello, 1866)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	69	2.9%	Carrassón et al.1992
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Centroscymnus coelolepis</i> (Barbosa du Bocage & de Brito Capello, 1866)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	11	9.1%	Cartes et al.2016
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Centroscymnus coelolepis</i> (Barbosa du Bocage & de Brito Capello, 1866)	GSA05	WMS	Demersal	54	1.8%	Cartes et al.2016
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Etmopterus spinax</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Demersal	16	6.2%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Etmopterus spinax</i> (Linnaeus,	Eastern Mediter	ALS	Demersal	323	6.0%	Madurell 2003; Deudero &

	1758)	Mediterranean					Alomar 2015
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Etmopterus spinax</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA 05	WMS	Demersal	9	11.1%	Cartes et al. 2016
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Squalus acanthias</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA 17	AS	Demersal	9	44.4%	Avio et al. 2015
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Squalus acanthias</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Demersal	10	0%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013
<b>Squaliformes</b>	<i>Squalus blainville</i> (Risso, 1827)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Demersal	75	1.3%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013

235

236 Blackmouth catshark (*Galeus melastomus*) is the most studied species of shark in Mediterranean  
 237 Sea for plastic ingestion (Table 3), with an occurrence between 3% and 17%, much lower than  
 238 those obtained in the present study.

239 A factor that could explain the differences observed between the blue shark and blackmouth  
 240 catshark is the feeding habitat: while *P. glauca* is a pelagic shark which feeds from the surface to  
 241 more than 600 m depth (Campana et al., 2011; Rondinini et al., 2013) following the prey  
 242 distribution in mesopelagic waters (Bres, 1993; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000), blackmouth  
 243 catshark is demersal and epibatial species, which lives from 150 m up to 1400 m depth and  
 244 therefore they feed in deep water and on the seafloor (A. Anastasopoulou et al., 2013; Bres,  
 245 1993). Although plastics litter is ubiquity in the water column, the mean concentrations of plastic  
 246 floating on the surface are higher than on the seafloor (Eriksen et al., 2014; Galgani et al., 1996).  
 247 In addition, the high occurrence of plastic in the stomach contents of the blue sharks is also  
 248 related to their opportunistic feeding strategy, playing the role of scavenger. Their position at the  
 249 top of the Mediterranean food web could also increase the probability of exposure to secondary  
 250 plastic ingestion as described in other Mediterranean top predator (Romeo et al., 2015).

251 Concerning the size of the specimens, juvenile blue sharks seem more likely to ingest marine litter  
 252 than adults. These findings are in accordance with other studies on the occurrence of plastic  
 253 ingestion in adults and juveniles of different marine species (Acampora et al., 2014; Bravo  
 254 Rebolledo et al., 2013; Day et al., 1985; Denuncio et al., 2011; Hutton et al., 2008; Kühn et al.,  
 255 2015; Plotkin and Amos, 1990; Schuyler et al., 2014; van Franeker et al., 2011). Such differences  
 256 are probably related to their foraging strategy; in fact, larger (older) specimens are more skilled  
 257 of predation having a major foraging efficiency, whereas young individuals may have a more  
 258 opportunistic strategy (Bres, 1993; Kühn et al., 2015).

259 User plastics constitutes the principal category of marine litter found in blue sharks confirming the  
 260 composition of marine litter observed in marine turtles (Campani et al., 2013; Grammentz, 1988;

261 Lazar and Gračan, 2011; Matiddi et al., 2017; Tomás et al., 2002), in large pelagic fishes (Karakulak  
262 et al., 2009; Romeo et al., 2015) and in sharks (Alomar and Deudero, 2017; Aikaterini  
263 Anastasopoulou et al., 2013; Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000). Another  
264 analogy with the Loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*), a widespread species in the Mediterranean  
265 Sea with high opportunistic behavior and selected as bioindicator species for the marine litter by  
266 the MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter (2013), is the predominance of sheetlike and  
267 fragments among shape categories (Camedda et al., 2014; Campani et al., 2013; Tomás et al.,  
268 2002).

269 Mesoplastics are the predominant size class ingested, both in juveniles and in adults, followed by  
270 microplastics and macroplastics. The little amount of macroplastics may be related to the  
271 predominant smaller size of the shark's preys.

272 Furthermore, another factor often considered to influence the ingestion of plastic debris is their  
273 color, probably in relation to those of their usual preys, which could trick the predators (Kühn et  
274 al., 2015; Wright et al., 2013). The variable amount of plastic items of different colors detected in  
275 the present work could be also in relation to the opportunistic feeding strategy of the blue shark  
276 (Kühn et al., 2015). With regards to the possible impact of plastic items ingested, independent of  
277 the amount, size and shape the chemical composition may play a major role (Wright et al., 2013).

278 Polyethylene (PE), both low density and high density followed by of Polypropylene were the most  
279 abundant plastic types identified in the present study. These are in fact widespread used in  
280 packaging, grocery sacks, stretch-wrap, balloons, cables or pipe insulations (Peacock Andrew J.,  
281 2000). These low density polymers (Andrady, 2011) represent about 70% of floating plastics in the  
282 Western of Mediterranean Sea (Suaria et al., 2016). The plastic debris isolated from the stomach  
283 of the blue sharks analyzed in this study, reflect the composition and characteristics of floating  
284 plastic litter found in the same study area (Suaria et al., 2016; Suaria and Aliani, 2014).

285 All things considered, blue shark could give information on the environmental status of the area,  
286 not only for pollutant contamination (Alves et al., 2016), but also for plastic pollution.

287 This study adds important information to assess the source of marine litter that impact the  
288 Mediterranean biodiversity suitable for futures mitigation actions. The high occurrence of plastic  
289 litter in blue sharks raises a warning alarm on the impact that marine litter could have on the  
290 Mediterranean population, which is already declining and listed as Critically Endangered due to  
291 the impact of longline fisheries targeting other pelagic species. For this reason, in the future,

specific studies aimed at evaluating this impact for the blue shark in the entire Mediterranean basin are needed.

294  
295

## Acknowledgements

Sampling activities were carried out in the framework of national and international projects funded by EU, Italian Ministry of Agricultural, Alimentary and Forestry Policies (MiPAAF) and Ligurian Regional Government.

301

## 5 References

- Acampora, H., Schuyler, Q.A., Townsend, K.A., Hardesty, B.D., 2014. Comparing plastic ingestion in juvenile and adult stranded short-tailed shearwaters (*Puffinus tenuirostris*) in eastern Australia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 78, 63–68.
- Alomar, C., Deudero, S., 2017. Evidence of microplastic ingestion in the shark *Galeus melastomus* Rafinesque, 1810 in the continental shelf off the western Mediterranean Sea. *Environ. Pollut.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.015>
- Alves, L.M.F., Nunes, M., Marchand, P., Le Bizec, B., Mendes, S., Correia, J.P.S., Lemos, M.F.L., Novais, S.C., 2016. Blue sharks (*Prionace glauca*) as bioindicators of pollution and health in the Atlantic Ocean: Contamination levels and biochemical stress responses. *Sci. Total Environ.* 563–564, 282–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.085>
- Anastasopoulou, A., Mytilineou, C., Lefkaditou, E., Dokos, J., Smith, C.J., Siapatis, A., Bekas, P., Papadopoulou, K.-N., 2013. Diet and feeding strategy of blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*. *J. Fish Biol.* 83, 1637–1655. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/jfb.12269>,
- Anastasopoulou, Aikaterini, Mytilineou, C., Smith, C.J., Papadopoulou, K.N., 2013. Plastic debris ingested by deep-water fish of the Ionian Sea (Eastern Mediterranean). *Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 74, 11–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2012.12.008>
- Avio, C.G., Gorbi, S., Regoli, F., 2015. Experimental development of a new protocol for extraction and characterization of microplastics in fish tissues: First observations in commercial species from Adriatic Sea 111, 18–26.
- Bravo Rebolledo, E.L., Van Franeker, J.A., Jansen, O.E., Brasseur, S.M., 2013. Plastic ingestion by harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in the Netherlands. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 67, 200–202.
- Bres, M., 1993. The behaviour of sharks. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.* 3, 133–159.
- Camedda, A., Marra, S., Matiddi, M., Massaro, G., Coppa, S., Perilli, A., Ruiiu, A., Briguglio, P., de Lucia, G.A., 2014. Interaction between loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) and marine litter in Sardinia (Western Mediterranean Sea). *Mar. Environ. Res.* 100, 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.12.004>
- Camhi, M.D., Pikitch, E.K., Babcock, E.A., 2008. *Sharks of the Open Ocean. Biology, Fisheries and Conservation.* Blackwell Science. Fish Aquat. Resour., 13.
- Campana, S.E., Dorey, A., Fowler, M., Joyce, W., Wang, Z., Wright, D., Yashayaev, I., 2011. Migration pathways, behavioural thermoregulation and overwintering grounds of blue sharks in the Northwest Atlantic. *PLoS ONE* 6e16854.

334 Campani, T., Baini, M., Giannetti, M., Cancelli, F., Mancusi, C., Serena, F., Marsili, L., Casini, S.,  
335 Fossi, M.C., 2013. Presence of plastic debris in loggerhead turtle stranded along the  
336 Tuscany coasts of the Pelagos Sanctuary for Mediterranean Marine Mammals (Italy). *Mar.*  
337 *Pollut. Bull.* 74, 225–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.06.053>

338 Carrassón, M., Stefanescu, C., Cartes, J.E., 1992. Diets and bathymetric distributions of two bathyal  
339 sharks of the Catalan deep sea (western Mediterranean). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 1 82, 21–30.

340 Cartes, J.E., Soler-Membrives, A., Stefanescu, C., Lombarte, A., Carrassón, M., 2016. Contributions  
341 of allochthonous inputs of food to the diets of benthopelagic fish over the northwest  
342 Mediterranean slope (to 2300m). 123–136.

343 Carvalho, F.C., Murie, D.J., Hazin, F.H.V., Hazin, H.G., Leite-Mourato, B., Burgess, G.H., 2011.  
344 Spatial predictions of blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) catch rate and catch probability of  
345 juveniles in the Southwest Atlantic 68, 890–900. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr047>

346 Cliff, G., Dudley, S.F.J., Ryan, P.G., Singleton, N., 2002. Large sharks and plastic debris in KwaZulu-  
347 Natal, South Africa. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 53, 575–581.

348 Coe, J.M., Rogers, D.B. (Eds.), 1997. *Marine debris: sources, impacts, and solutions*, Springer series  
349 on environmental management. Springer, New York.

350 Cortés, E., 1997. A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of stomach  
351 contents: application to elasmobranch fishes 726–738.

352 Cózar, A., Sanz-Martín, M., Martí, E., González-Gordillo, J.I., Ubeda, B., Gálvez, J.Á., Irigoien, X.,  
353 Duarte, C.M., 2015. Plastic Accumulation in the Mediterranean Sea. *PLOS ONE* 10,  
354 e0121762. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121762>

355 Day, R.H., Wehle, D.H.S., Coleman, F.C., 1985. Ingestion of plastic pollutants by marine birds. In R.  
356 S. Shomura & H. O. Yoshida (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Fate and Impact of*  
357 *Marine Debris* (pp. 344–386). Honolulu, Hawaii: U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo.  
358 NMFS.

359 De la Serna, J.M., Valeiras, J., Ortiz, J.M., Macías, D., 2002. Large pelagic Sharks as By-catch in the  
360 Mediterranean Swordfish Longline Fishery: Some Biological Aspects. *Elasmobranch*  
361 *Fisheries – NAFO Scr Doc* 02137, N4759.

362 Denuncio, P., Bastida, R., Dassis, M., Giardino, G., Gerpe, M., Rodriguez, D., 2011. Plastic ingestion  
363 in franciscana dolphins, *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Gervais and D’Orbigny, 1844), from  
364 Argentina. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 1836–1841.

365 Deudero, S., Alomar, C., 2015. Mediterranean marine biodiversity under threat: Reviewing  
366 influence of marine litter on species. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 98, 58–68.  
367 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.012>

368 Eriksen, M., Lebreton, L.C.M., Carson, H.S., Thiel, M., Moore, C.J., Borerro, J.C., Galgani, F., Ryan,  
369 P.G., Reisser, J., 2014. Plastic Pollution in the World’s Oceans: More than 5 Trillion Plastic  
370 Pieces Weighing over 250,000 Tons Afloat at Sea. *PLoS ONE* 9, e111913.  
371 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111913>

372 Fossi, M.C., Pedà, C., Compa, M., Tsangaris, C., Alomar, C., Claro, F., Ioakeimidis, C., Galgani, F.,  
373 Hema, T., Deudero, S., Romeo, T., Battaglia, P., Andaloro, F., Caliani, I., Casini, S., Panti, C.,  
374 Baini, M., 2018. Bioindicators for monitoring marine litter ingestion and its impacts on  
375 Mediterranean biodiversity. *Environ. Pollut.* 237, 1023–1040.  
376 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.019>

377 Fossi, M.C., Romeo, T., Baini, M., Panti, C., Marsili, L., Campani, T., Canese, S., Galgani, F., Druon, J.-  
378 N., Airolidi, S., Taddei, S., Fattorini, M., Brandini, C., Lapucci, C., 2017. Plastic Debris  
379 Occurrence, Convergence Areas and Fin Whales Feeding Ground in the Mediterranean  
380 Marine Protected Area Pelagos Sanctuary: A Modeling Approach. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4.  
381 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00167>



382 Galgani, F., Hanke, G., Werner, S., Oosterbaan, L., Nilsson, P., Fleet, D., Thompson, R.C.,  
383 VanFraneker, J., Vlachogianni, T., Scoullos, M., Mira Veiga, J., Palatinus, J., Matiddi, M.,  
384 Maes, T., Korpinen, S., Budziak, A., Leslie, H., Gago, J., Liebezeit, J., 2013b. Monitoring  
385 Guidance for Marine Litter in European Seas. JRC scientific and policy reports.

386 Galgani, F., Souplet, A., Cadiou, Y., 1996. Accumulation of debris on the deep sea floor off the  
387 French Mediterranean coast. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 142, 225–234.  
388 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps142225>

389 Galloway, S.T., 2015. Micro- and nano-plastics and human health. In M. Bergmann, L. Gutow & M.  
390 Klages (Eds.), *Marine anthropogenic litter* (pp. 347–370). Berlin: Springer.

391 Garibaldi, F., 2015. By-catch in the mesopelagic swordfish longline fishery in the Ligurian Sea  
392 (western Mediterranean). *ICCAT, Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap.*, 3 71, 1495–1498.

393 Garibaldi, F., Orsi Relini, L., 2000. Abbondanza estiva, struttura di taglia e nicchia alimentare della  
394 verdesca, *Prionace glauca*, nel Santuario pelagico del Mar Ligure. *Biol. Mar. Medit.* 7, 324–  
395 333.

396 Gramentz, D., 1988. Involvement of loggerhead turtle with the plastic, metal, and hydrocarbon  
397 pollution in the central Mediterranean. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 19, 11–13.  
398 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X\(88\)90746-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X(88)90746-1)

399 Gregory, M.R., 2009. Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings—  
400 entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien invasions. *Philos.*  
401 *Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 364, 2013–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265>

402 Gregory, M.R., 1978. Accumulation and distribution of virgin plastic granules on New Zealand  
403 beaches.

404 Hjelmeland, K., Pedersen, H.B., Nilssen, E.M., 1998. Trypsin content in intestines of herring larvae,  
405 *Clupea harengus*, ingesting inert polystyrene spheres or live crustacea prey.

406 Hummel, D.O., 2002. *Atlas of plastics additives: analysis by spectrometric methods*. Springer,  
407 Berlin ; New York.

408 Hutton, I., Carlile, N., Priddel, D., 2008. Plastic ingestion by flesh-footed shearwaters, *Puffinus*  
409 *carneipes*, and wedge-tailed shearwaters, *Puffinus pacificus*. *Pap. Proc. R. Soc. Tasman.*  
410 142, 67–72.

411 Jackson, G.D., Buxton, N.G., George, M.J., 2000. Diet of the southern opah *Lampris immaculatus*  
412 on the Patagonia Shelf; the significance of the squid *Moroteuthis ingens* and antropogenic  
413 plastic.

414 Koch, H.M., Calafat, A.M., 2009. Human body burdens of chemicals used in plastic manufacture.  
415 *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London B*, 364, 2063–2078.

416 Kohler, N.E., Turner, P.A., Hoey, J.J., Natanson, L.J., Briggs, R., 2002. Tag and recapture data for  
417 three pelagic shark species: blue shark (*Prionace glauca*), shortfin mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*),  
418 and porbeagle (*Lamna nasus*) in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT* 54,  
419 1231–1260.

420 Kühn, S., Rebolledo, E.L.B., Franeker, J.A. van, 2015. Deleterious Effects of Litter on Marine Life, in:  
421 Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), *Marine Anthropogenic Litter*. Springer  
422 International Publishing, pp. 75–116.

423 Laist, D.W., 1997. Impacts of Marine Debris: Entanglement of Marine Life in Marine Debris  
424 Including a Comprehensive List of Species with Entanglement and Ingestion Records, in:  
425 Coe, J.M., Rogers, D.B. (Eds.), *Marine Debris*. Springer New York, pp. 99–139.

426 Lazar, B., Gračan, R., 2011. Ingestion of marine debris by loggerhead sea turtles, *Caretta caretta*, in  
427 the Adriatic Sea. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 43–47.  
428 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.09.013>

429 Leone, A., Urso, I., Damalas, D., Martinshon, J., Zanzi, A., Mariani, S., Sperone, E., Micarelli, P.,  
430 Garibaldi, F., Megalofonou, P., Bargelloni, L., Franchi, R., Macias, D., Prodohl, P., Fitzpatrick,  
431 S., Stagioni, M., Tinti, F., Cariani, A., 2017. Genetic differentiation and phylogeography of  
432 Mediterranean-North Eastern Atlantic blue shark (*Prionace glauca*, L. 1758) using  
433 mitochondrial DNA: panmixia or complex stock structure? *PeerJ* 5e4112.  
434 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4112>

435 Lopez, S., Meléndez, R., Barría, P., 2010. Preliminary diet analysis of the blue shark *Prionace glauca*  
436 in the eastern South Pacific 745–749.

437 Lusher, A.L., McHugh, M., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Occurrence of microplastics in the  
438 gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. *Mar. Pollut.*  
439 *Bull.* 67, 94–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.028>

440 Madurell, T., 2003. Feeding Strategies and Trophodynamic Requirements of Deep- Sea Demersal  
441 Fish in the Eastern Mediterranean. University of the Balearic Islands.

442 Marcovecchio, J.E., Moreno, V.J., Pérez, A., 1991. Metal accumulation in tissues of sharks from the  
443 Bahía Blanca estuary, Argentina.

444 Matiddi, M., Hochscheid, S., Camedda, A., Baini, M., Cocumelli, C., Serena, F., Tomassetti, P.,  
445 Travaglini, A., Marra, S., Campani, T., Scholl, F., Mancusi, C., Amato, E., Briguglio, P.,  
446 Maffucci, F., Fossi, M.C., Bentivegna, F., de Lucia, G.A., 2017. Loggerhead sea turtles (  
447 *Caretta caretta*): a target species for monitoring litter ingested by marine organisms in the  
448 Mediterranean Sea. *Environ. Pollut.* 230, 199–209.  
449 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.06.054>

450 McCord, M.E., Campana, S.E., 2003. A quantitative assessment of diet of the Blue Shark (*Prionace*  
451 *glauca*) off Nova Scotia, Canada. *J.Northw.Atl.Fish.Sci.*, Vol 32: 57–63.

452 Megalofonou, P., Damalas, D., de Metrio, G., 2009. Biological characteristics of blue shark,  
453 *Prionace glauca*, in the Mediterranean Sea 1233–1242.

454 Megalofonou, P., Damalas, D., Yannopoulos, C., 2005a. Composition and abundance of pelagic  
455 shark by-catch in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Cybiu* 29, 135–140.

456 Megalofonou, P., Yannopoulos, C., Damalas, D., De Metrio, G., Deflorio, M., de la Serna, J.M.,  
457 Macias, D., 2005b. Incidental catch and estimated discards of pelagic sharks from the  
458 swordfish and tuna fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea. *Fishery Bulletin* 103, 620–634.

459 MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter, 2013. Guidance on monitoring of marine litter in  
460 European seas. Publications Office, Luxembourg.

461 Net, S., Sempéré, R., Delmont, A., Paluselli, A., Ouddane, B., 2015. Occurrence, Fate, Behavior and  
462 Ecotoxicological State of Phthalates in Different Environmental Matrices. *Environ. Sci.*  
463 *Technol.* 49, 4019–4035. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es505233b>

464 Outi Setälä, Joanna Norkko, Maiju Lehtiniemi, 2015. Feeding type affects microplastic ingestion in  
465 a coastal invertebrate community.

466 Peacock Andrew J., 2000. Handbook of polyethylene. Structures, properties and  
467 applications. Marcel Dekker, Inc.

468 Plotkin, P.T., Amos, A.F., 1990. Effects of anthropogenic debris on sea turtles in the northwestern  
469 Gulf of Mexico. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Fate and Impact of Marine Debris*  
470 736–743.

471 Rochman, C.M., Kurobe, T., Flores, I., Teh, S.J., 2014. Early warning signs of endocrine disruption in  
472 adult fish from the ingestion of polyethylene with and without sorbed chemical pollutants  
473 from the marine environment.

474 Romeo, T., Pietro, B., Pedà, C., Consoli, P., Andaloro, F., Fossi, M.C., 2015. First evidence of  
475 presence of plastic debris in stomach of large pelagic fish in the Mediterranean Sea. *Mar.*  
476 *Pollut. Bull.* 95, 358–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.04.048>

477 Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teo li, C., 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani.  
 478 Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare,  
 479 Roma.  
 480 Schuyler, Q., Hardesty, B.D., Wilcox, C., Townsend, K., 2014. Global Analysis of Anthropogenic  
 481 Debris Ingestion by Sea Turtles. *Conserv. Biol.* 28, 129–139.  
 482 <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12126>  
 483 Serrano, R., Fernández, M., Rabanal, R., Hernández, M., Gonzales, M.J., 2000. Congener-specific  
 484 determination of polychlorinated biphenyls I shark and grou- per livers from the Northwest  
 485 African Atlantic Ocean.  
 486 Sims, D., Fowler, S.L., Ferretti, F., Stevens, J., 2016. *Prionace glauca* (Regional Assessment:  
 487 Mediterranean): The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.  
 488 Stevens, J., 2009. *Prionace glauca*: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.  
 489 Stevens, J.D., 1973. STOMACH CONTENTS OF THE BLUE SHARK (*PRIONACE GLAUCA* L.) OFF SOUTH-  
 490 WEST ENGLAND.  
 491 Strid, A., Jörundsdóttir, H., Pöpke, O., Svavarsson, J., Bergman, Å., 2007. Dioxins and PCBs in  
 492 Greenland shark (*Somniosus microcephalus*) from the North-East Atlantic.  
 493 Suaria, G., Avio, C.G., Mineo, A., Lattin, G.L., Magaldi, M.G., Belmonte, G., Moore, C.J., Regoli, F.,  
 494 Aliani, S., 2016. The Mediterranean Plastic Soup: synthetic polymers in Mediterranean  
 495 surface waters. *Scientific Reports*.  
 496 Teodoro Vaske Júnior, Rosangela Paula Lessa, Otto Bismarck Fazzano Gadig, 2009. Feeding habits  
 497 of the blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) off the coast of Brazil.  
 498 Tomás, J., Guitart, R., Mateo, R., Raga, J.A., 2002. Marine debris ingestion in loggerhead sea  
 499 turtles, *Caretta caretta*, from the Western Mediterranean. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 44, 211–216.  
 500 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(01\)00236-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00236-3)  
 501 UNEP, 2011. UNEP year book: Emerging issues in our global environment (79 p). Nairobi: United  
 502 Nations Environmental Programme.  
 503 van Franeker, J.A., Blaize, C., Danielsen, J., Fairclough, K., Gollan, J., Guse, N., Hansen, P.-L.,  
 504 Heubeck, M., Jensen, J.-K., Le Guillou, G., Olsen, B., Olsen, K.-O., Pedersen, J., Stienen,  
 505 E.W.M., Turner, D.M., 2011. Monitoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar *Fulmarus*  
 506 *glacialis* in the North Sea. *Environ. Pollut.* 159, 2609–2615.  
 507 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.008>  
 508 Vanadia, A., Zuffa, M., Storai, T., 2004. Osservazioni su di una femmina gravida di *Prionace glauca*  
 509 (Linnaeus, 1758) catturata nelle acque siciliane. *ESE* 27.  
 510 <https://doi.org/10.1285/i15910725v27p13>  
 511 Vas, P., 1991. Trace metal levels in sharks from British and Atlantic waters.  
 512 Wright, S.L., Thompson, R.C., Galloway, T.S., 2013. The physical impacts of microplastics on marine  
 513 organisms: A review. *Environ. Pollut.* 178, 483–492.  
 514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031>

515

516