



First data on plastic ingestion by blue sharks (Prionace glauca) from the Ligurian Sea (North-Western Mediterranean Sea)

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original:

Bernardini, I., Garibaldi, F., Canesi, L., Fossi, M.C., Baini, M. (2018). First data on plastic ingestion by blue sharks (Prionace glauca) from the Ligurian Sea (North-Western Mediterranean Sea). MARINE POLLUTION BULLETIN, 135, 303-310 [10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.07.022].

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/11365/1058881 since 2018-09-14T11:08:20Z

Published:

DOI:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.07.022

Terms of use:

Open Access

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license.

For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Title:

- 2 First data on plastic ingestion by blue sharks (Prionace glauca) from the Ligurian
- **Sea (North-Western Mediterranean Sea)**

4 Authors:

8

9

- 5 Ilaria Bernardini ^{a, b}, Fulvio Garibaldi ^a, Laura Canesi ^a, Maria Cristina Fossi ^b, Matteo Baini ^b
- a- Department of Earth, Environment and Life Sciences (DISTAV), University of Genoa, Corso Europa 26, 16132 Genova, Italy
 - b- Department of Physical, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Siena, Via Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, Italy
- 10 **Abstract:** Plastic pollution in the oceans represents a risk for the marine environment and biota.
- 11 Few studies have focused so far on plastic ingestion by sharks in the Mediterranean Sea. The aim
- of this paper was to determine for the first time the ingestion of plastic litter by blue sharks
- (Prionace glauca), an opportunistic and widespread species in Mediterranean Sea, caught in the
- 14 Pelagos Sanctuary SPAMI (North-Western Mediterranean Sea). The analysis of the ingested debris
- in the stomach contents was performed following the MSFD Descriptor 10 standard protocol
- developed for sea turtles and implemented with FT-IR spectroscopy technique. The results showed
- that the 25.26% of samples ingested plastic debris of wide scale of sizes from microplastics (<5
- mm) to macroplastics (> 25 mm). The FT-IR analysis showed that ingested plastic debris, mainly
- 19 transparent sheetlike items, were composed by polyethylene. Considering that in the
- 20 Mediterranean Sea Blue shark is categorized as "Critically Endangered" by IUCN List and, besides,
- 21 given that this species is a top predator of the pelagic habitat, the high amount of plastic litter
- found in this research contributes to highlight the real impact of plastic debris both on the marine
- 23 environmental in a protected area and also on a species with an important ecological role in the
- 24 food web.

25

30

Keywords:

- 26 Marine litter
- 27 Plastic ingestion
- 28 Mediterranean Sea
- 29 Blue sharks

1 Introduction

- 31 Plastic pollution is present in all the oceans and seas of the world, including the Mediterranean
- 32 Sea, which is considered one of the most impacted areas by marine litter in the world, with an
- 33 average concentration calculated at 0.243 items /m² (Cózar et al., 2015). Plastic waste can cause
- 34 physical damages to marine organisms like entanglement and smothering; moreover, plastic
- 35 ingestion can induce lacerations and ulcerating wounds in the digestive tract, leading to general
- debilitation (Gregory, 2009; Kühn et al., 2015). Plastics ingestion is the most commonly studied

37 phenomenon, since it could lead to more serious consequences, including changes in satiety and 38 hunger, decrease of the power and capacity of predation, energy disturbance, impairment of reproduction, endocrine disruption, as well as more specific effects such as oxidative stress, 39 dysfunctions in immune defences and neurotransmission, genotoxicity and, as extreme 40 41 consequences, drowning and death (Avio et al., 2015; Coe and Rogers, 1997; Gregory, 1978; 42 Hjelmeland et al., 1998; Jackson, G.D. et al., 2000; Net et al., 2015; Rochman et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2013). 43 Neutrally buoyant plastic items are the most suitable to be ingested (Outi Setälä et al., 2015), both 44 45 intentionally and accidentally (Cliff et al., 2002; Laist, 1997). Moreover, plastic debris can be eaten either directly from the water column (primary ingestion), or indirectly (secondary ingestion) from 46 plastic-contaminated food, also in large pelagic species (Romeo et al., 2015). The potential 47 deleterious effects of ingestion underline the urgency to evaluate the impact of plastics on the 48 whole marine food web and the related consequences for end consumers (Galloway, 2015; Koch 49 and Calafat, 2009; UNEP, 2011), especially in hot spot area of plastic pollution such as the 50 Mediterranean Sea. 51 52 Although plastic ingestion by marine organisms has been investigated in several Mediterranean 53 species (Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Fossi et al., 2018), only few data are available on cartilaginous 54 fish from the Mediterranean Sea; these are mainly focused on demersal species such as Galeus melastomus (Alomar and Deudero, 2017; Carrassón et al., 1992; Cartes et al., 2016; Deudero and 55 56 Alomar, 2015; Madurell, 2003), Centroscymnus coelolepis (Carrassón et al., 1992; Cartes et al., 57 2016), Etmopterus spinax (Aikaterini Anastasopoulou et al., 2013; Cartes et al., 2016; Deudero and 58 Alomar, 2015; Madurell, 2003). Due to their role as apex predators and their wide distribution, 59 sharks could be exposed to plastic ingestion and to other environmental contaminants, through the food web with bioaccumulation and biomagnification processes (Alves et al., 2016; Serrano et 60 61 al., 2000; Strid et al., 2007). Therefore, they are considered as sentinel organisms for marine 62 pollution biomonitoring studies (Alves et al., 2016; Marcovecchio et al., 1991; Vas, 1991). The blue shark (Prionace glauca) is one of the most wide ranging shark in the Mediterranean Sea 63 (Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000) and worldwide (Stevens, 2009). It is an oceanic and pelagic 64 65 species with a highly migratory behaviour, for reproductive and feeding purposes; it is also able of 66 huge vertical movements, from the surface to over 600 m depth (Camhi et al., 2008; Campana et al., 2011; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000; Rondinini et al., 2013; Sims et al., 2016). Blue sharks have 67 68 an opportunistic feeding strategy (Camhi et al., 2008; Carvalho et al., 2011; Garibaldi and Orsi

69 Relini, 2000) with a non specific diet (Cortés, 1997; Lopez et al., 2010; Vanadia et al., 2004), and are commonly considered "sea shelters" playing a key role in the Mediterranean food web. Most 70 of their preys are pelagic, but bottom fishes and floating elements are also present in their diet 71 (Camhi et al., 2008; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000). The IUCN Red List assessed the blue shark 72 globally conservation status as "Near Threatened" (Stevens, 2009) however, in the Mediterranean 73 74 basin, whose population is separated and independent from the North Atlantic one (Kohler et al., 2002; Leone et al., 2017; Megalofonou et al., 2009), is categorized as "Critically Endangered" (Sims 75 76 et al., 2016). In this area, blue shark is one of the most incidental by-catch species of the long line fisheries targeting swordfish of albacore and bluefin tuna (Camhi et al., 2008; De la Serna et al., 77 2002; Garibaldi, 2015; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000; Megalofonou et al., 2005a, 2005b). The 78 Mediterranean population was estimated to face a 90% decline over 30 years and it is increasingly 79 closer to overfishing (Sims et al., 2016). Although the presence of various types of debris (metals, 80 plastic) in P. glauca stomachs has been occasionally detected, both in the Mediterranean Sea 81 (Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000) and worldwide (McCord and Campana, 2003; Teodoro Vaske 82 Júnior et al., 2009) scale, no specific analysis and detailed data were carried out. 83 84 Thus, the aim of this work was to investigate, for the first time, plastic ingestion in samples of blue sharks from the North Western Mediterranean (Ligurian Sea), in the Specially Protected Area of 85 86 Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI), Pelagos Sanctuary. To achieve this goal standardized protocols, developed for the analysis of other marine species, were applied to analyze the 87 88 stomach contents in order to quantify and characterize the litter ingested.

2 Materials and methods

89

90

2.1 Study area and sampling

- 91 From 1999 to 2015 a total of 139 blue sharks (P. glauca) were sampled in the Western Ligurian
- Sea, in an offshore area in front of the coast of Sanremo, Imperia and Nice (Fig. 1). This area is part
- 93 of the Pelagos Sanctuary, a Specially Protected Area of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI)
- established in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea for the conservation of cetaceans.




Figure 1. Sampling area

The blue sharks were caught by longlines, deployed both at surface during the night and to a maximum depth of 600 m during the day. Samples were taken directly on board of fishing vessels or at landing , where total length measurement (TL in cm), total weight (TW in g) and sex data were recorded. Specimens were grouped into two size classes on the basis of their total length : $TL \le 120 \text{ cm}$ and TL > 120 cm.

According to Megalofonou et al. (2009), below the threshold of 120 cm samples were considered juveniles () whereas over this value adults (TL >120 cm) (Tab. 1).

Table 1. Specimens of *P. glauca* sampled subdivided by size class: juveniles (TL≤120 cm) and adults (TL >120 cm).

		Juve	eniles	Adults			
		Male	Female	Male	Female		
n° of specimens		29	31	27	52		
Total length (cm)	Min	52	66	121	122		
	Max	115	115	262	199		
	Mean ± S.D.	90.94 ± 39.58	94.95 ± 40.05	157.4 ± 39.81	157.78 ± 39.83		

During the necropsy, the stomach of blue sharks were isolated, by means of clamps, to prevent spillage of the contents and removed. The stomachs section was opened and the contents

collected. The contents were inspected for the presence of any tar, oil, and preserved in 70% alcohol before the subsequent laboratory analysis. The liquid portion, mucus and digested unidentifiable matter were removed by washing the contents through a 1 mm metal sieve with pre-filtered water. The remaining portion was placed in a petri dish and examined under the microscope. Marine litter items were identified from other ingested material, isolated and placed in closed glass jars, for subsequent counts and characterization.

2.2 Marine litter count and characterization

Marine litter was separated from other ingested residue and categorized according to the "Litter in Biota" protocol included in the "Monitoring Guidance for Marine Litter in European Seas" (MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter, 2013) following the "Guidance of monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas" protocol developed for sea birds and sea turtles. All items were identified through direct visual sorting of the stomach content using the microscope (Wild Herrtbrugc M5A), isolated and dried at room temperature. The dried items were counted, weighed (Mettler AE 260 DeltaRenge) and scanned with a printer-scanner (Canon MP280). Different measurements (length (cm), width (cm) and area (cm²)) of each item were obtained processing the scanned images with ImageJ program. Items were also classified based on their colors. All plastic items were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy technique (Agilent Cary 630 spectrophotometer) to identify the plastics polymer composition (Hummel, 2002). For each plastic fragment found, depending on its heterogeneity (including degradation status and fouling presence), three measurements were carried out. Only spectra matching more than 80% with reference polymers present in libraries (Agilent Polymer Handheld ATR Library, Agilent Elastomer Oring and Seal Handheld ATR Library and Agilent ATR General Library) were accepted (Fossi et al., 2017; Lusher et al., 2013). In order to avoid the risk of contamination, stringent laboratory and sampling procedures were carried out to ensure the quality of the results.

3 Results

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

3.1 Stomach content of plastic items

Of all the 139 blue shark stomachs examined, 44 (31.4 %) were found completely empty, due to the fact that some specimens could vomit up food during capture (Stevens, 1973). As a consequence, in order to determine the frequency of marine litter in gastric contents, only full contents (95) were considered (Tab.2).

Table 2. Number of specimens of blue sharks analyzed, number of full stomachs and frequency of occurrence of marine litter in the stomach contents of juveniles (TL≤120 cm) and adults (TL >120 cm).

		Juveniles			All		
	M F Tot.			М	F	Tot.	
n° individuals analyzed	29	31	60	27	52	79	139
Full stomachs	17	26	43	17	35	52	95
Frequency of full stomachs with marine litter (%)	41.18%	30.77%	34.88%	17.65%	17.14%	17.31%	25.26%

Overall, 109 items of marine litter were found, amounting to a total weigh of 6.14 g; the majority (107 items) were represented by user plastic items and only 2 debris were categorized as rubbish.

In 24 out 95 specimens analyzed, the presence of plastic litter was recorded (25.26%) with a range from 1 to 30 items per sample. The total mass of plastics ingested was 3.37 g (range: 0.0001-0.977 g), with a total area of 30693.61 cm² (range: 0.019-27.65 cm²).

Analyzing the presence/absence of marine litter in different size classes, juvenile blue sharks seems more likely to ingest marine litter than adults showing higher percentage of occurrence (Table 2). The greater quantity of plastics was found into the stomach of juveniles (65 items), amounting to a total weight of 2.836 g (range: 0.0001-0.977 g) and total area of 30615cm² (range 0.23-27644.99 cm²). Adults ingested 42 plastic pieces, with a total weight 0.5302 g (range: 0.0001-0.5718 g) and a total area 7860.18 mm² (range 0.01871-18.907 cm²). In addition, no relevant differences were observed between sex (Table 2).

3.2 Characteristics of total plastic items

Ingested plastic items were classified based on their shape: sheetlike, threadlike, fragments, foamed and other typologies (other). The majority of plastic items were sheetlike (72.38%), followed by fragments (18.10%), threadlike (5.71%), others (3.81%). No plastic foams were detected.

Total sheetlike items not only had greater external area, but also accounted for the highest weight; the area of threadlike, fragments and other was irrelevant (<1%) (Fig.2).




Figure 2. Weight, area and number of different user plastics categories found in the in gastric contents of blue sharks.

Items were also grouped in three size classes following (Galgani et al., 2013b): microplastics (<5mm), mesoplastics (5-25 mm), macroplastics (>25 mm). All size classes were present in sheetlike, fragments and other typologies of plastic. More than 50% of plastic pieces belong to mesoplastics, followed by microplastics (25.71%) and macroplastics (20%). Mesoplastics and macroplastics were present in all category of plastic; in particular mesoplastics accounted for 39.05% and 11.43% of sheetlike and fragments, respectively; the threadlike type was composed of the same quantity of mesoplastics and macroplastics (Fig.3a). A similar proportion of microplastics was present in sheetlike, fragments and other, whereas they were absent in the threadlike type.




Figure 3. Categories of plastics (sheetlike, threadlike, fragments and other) in relation to a) sizes (macroplastic:>25 mm, mesoplastic: 5-25 mm, microplastic:<5 mm) b) colors and c) type of polymers.

Sheetlike plastics showed all colors, except red, which is present just in fragment category, and blue, present in threadlike and other categories. However sheetlike fragments were represented mainly by transparent and white pieces (47.37 and 28.95%, respectively); fragments were mostly white, black and green. Threadlike pieces were composed of 4 green pieces, one blue and one black. Other class was composed of white, transparent, blue and grey colors in the same proportion (Fig.3b).

With regards to the polymer type, FT-IR analysis revealed that the majority of plastic pieces (75.2%) were made of polyethylene (PE), both low density and high density followed by of Polypropylene (PP) (19.1%), and a small proportion of polystyrene (PS) (1.90%) and other polymers (3.8%).

PE and PP were present in all plastic items independent of shape (Fig. 3c).

On the contrary, other polymers were present only in 4 fragments made of Polyacrylate polyester, Ehylene propylene diene, Polyester and Polyvinyl chloride (PVC).

With regards to the relationship between the polymer type and plastic item size, PE and PP represented the main polymers in all size classes, in particular in Mesoplastics. PS and other polymers were much less represented and only in meso- and macroplastics, respectively (Fig. 10).




Figure 4. Frequency of plastic polymers in relation to size.

3.3 Characteristics of plastic in different sizeclasses

Mesoplastics represented the majority of plastic ingested in both juveniles and adults. The percentage of microplastics was higher in juveniles than in adults (Fig.5a).




Figure 5. Analysis of plastic ingested by the different sizeclasses, juveniles (n=43) and adults (n=52) in relation to a) size, b) shape, c) color and d) type of polymer

With regards to size, in both classes the most widespread typology was sheetlike,54.69% and 60.98%, respectively in juveniles and adults (Fig.5b). Transparent and white were the most common colors in adults (56.15%) and in juveniles (39.1%) respectively (Fig. 5c).

In juveniles, transparent and green are present with the same percentage (21.9%) and together constitute quite 45% of plastics (Fig. 5c). Adults showed more differences among color categories: white (22%) and green (9.8%) are the most signified colors after transparent (Fig. 5c).

Both in juveniles and in adults PE was the most abundant polymer (79.69% in juveniles, 68.29% in adults), followed by PP, however present in much smaller quantities than PE in both classes (<20%) (Fig.5d).

4 Discussions and conclusions

This paper represent the first study on occurrence and characterization of marine plastic litter in stomachs of blue sharks in the Mediterranean Sea and worldwide scale, given that data available so far regarding marine litter ingestion these species came from feeding ecology studies.

It is difficult to compare among different studies on amounts, types of ingested debris because the methods used for marine litter quantification and characterization are not standardized (Fossi et al., 2018), however comparing the occurrence of plastic ingested by sharks species sampled in different areas of Mediterranean Sea, with data obtaining in the present study (Tab. 3), *P. glauca* shows high occurrence of plastic items (25% of specimens with full stomachs), second only to *Squalus acanthias*, caught in the Adriatic Sea where the authors isolated, from 9 speciments, plastics particles smaller than 1 mm (Avio et al., 2015).

Table 3. Comparison of plastic occurrence in different species of shark caught in the Mediterranean Sea. The specific areas of sampling are: AS (Adriatic Sea), IS (Ionian Sea), Easten Mediterranean Sea (EMS), CMS (central Mediterranean Sea), WMS (Western Mediterranean Sea), Aegian-Levantine Sea (ALS) and the habitat (demersal and pelagic)

Order	Species	GSA	Stud y area	Habi tat	N° of stomach es analyzed	Plastic occuren ce (%)	Bibliography
Carcharhiniformes	<i>Prioncace glauca</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA09	WMS	Pela gic	95	25.3%	Present study
Carcharhiniformes	Galeus melastomus (Rafinesque,	GSA20	IS, CMS	Dem ersal	741	3.2%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013

	1810)						
Carcharhiniformes	Galeus melastomus (Rafinesque, 1810)	Eastern Mediter ranean	ALS	Dem ersal	1350	3.0%	Madurell 2003 Deudero & Alomar 2015
Carcharhiniformes	Galeus melastomus (Rafinesque, 1810)	Eastern Mediter ranean	ALS	Dem ersal	125	16.8%	Alomar & Deudero 2017
Carcharhiniformes	<i>Galeus</i> <i>melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	37	10.8%	Carrassón et al.1992
Carcharhiniformes	<i>Galeus</i> <i>melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	125	15.2%	Cartes et al.2016
Carcharhiniformes	<i>Galeus</i> <i>melastomus</i> (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	95	6.3%	Cartes et al.2016
Carcharhiniformes	Galeus melastomus (Rafinesque, 1810)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	125	16.8%	Alomar & Deudero 2017
Carcharhiniformes	Scyliorhinus canicula (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Dem ersal	1	0%	Anastasopoulo et al. 2013
Squaliformes	Centrophorus granulosus (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Dem ersal	5	0%	Anastasopoulo et al. 2013
Squaliformes	Centroscymnus coelolepis (Barbosa du Bocage & de Brito Capello, 1866)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	69	2.9%	Carrassón et al.1992
Squaliformes	Centroscymnus coelolepis (Barbosa du Bocage & de Brito Capello, 1866)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	11	9.1%	Cartes et al.2016
Squaliformes	Centroscymnus coelolepis (Barbosa du Bocage & de Brito Capello, 1866)	GSA05	WMS	Dem ersal	54	1.8%	Cartes et al.2016
Squaliformes	Etmopterus spinax (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Dem ersal	16	6.2%	Anastasopoulo et al. 2013
Squaliformes	Etmopterus spinax (Linnaeus,	Eastern Mediter	ALS	Dem ersal	323	6.0%	Madurell 2003 Deudero &

	1758)	ranean					Alomar 2015
Squaliformes	Etmopterus spinax (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA 05	WMS	Dem ersal	9	11.1%	Cartes et al.2016
Squaliformes	Squalus acanthias (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA 17	AS	Dem ersal	9	44.4%	Avio et al. 2015
Squaliformes	Squalus acanthias (Linnaeus, 1758)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Dem ersal	10	0%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013
Squaliformes	Squalus blainville (Risso, 1827)	GSA20	IS, CMS	Dem ersal	75	1.3%	Anastasopoulou et al. 2013

Blackmouth catshark (*Galeus melastomus*) is the most studied species of shark in Mediterranean Sea for plastic ingestion (Table 3), with an occurrence between 3% and 17%, much lower than those obtained in the present study.

A factor that could explain the differences observed between the blue shark and blackmouth catshark is the feeding habitat: while *P.glauca* is a pelagic shark which feeds from the surface to more than 600 m depth (Campana et al., 2011; Rondinini et al., 2013) following the prey distribution in mesopelagic waters (Bres, 1993; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000), blackmouth catshark is demersal and epibatial species, which lives from 150 m up to 1400 m depth and therefore they feed in deep water and on the seafloor (A. Anastasopoulou et al., 2013; Bres, 1993). Although plastics litter is ubiquity in the water column, the mean concentrations of plastic floating on the surface are higher than on the seafloor (Eriksen et al., 2014; Galgani et al., 1996). In addition, the high occurrence of plastic in the stomach contents of the blue sharks is also related to their opportunistic feeding strategy, playing the role of scavenger. Their position at the top of the Mediterranean food web could also increase the probability of exposure to secondary plastic ingestion as described in other Mediterranean top predator (Romeo et al., 2015).

Concerning the size of the specimens, juvenile blue sharks seem more likely to ingest marine litter than adults. These findings are in accordance with other studies on the occurrence of plastic ingestion in adults and juveniles of different marine species (Acampora. et al., 2014; Bravo Rebolledo et al., 2013; Day et al., 1985; Denuncio et al., 2011; Hutton et al., 2008; Kühn et al., 2015; Plotkin and Amos, 1990; Schuyler et al., 2014; van Franeker et al., 2011). Such differences are probably related to their foraging strategy; in fact, larger (older) specimens are more skilled of predation having a major foraging efficiency, whereas young individuals may have a more opportunistic strategy (Bres, 1993; Kühn et al., 2015).

User plastics constitutes the principal category of marine litter found in blue sharks confirming the composition of marine litter observed in marine turtles (Campani et al., 2013; Gramentz, 1988;

- 261 Lazar and Gračan, 2011; Matiddi et al., 2017; Tomás et al., 2002), in large pelagic fishes (Karakulak 262 et al., 2009; Romeo et al., 2015) and in sharks (Alomar and Deudero, 2017; Aikaterini Anastasopoulou et al., 2013; Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Garibaldi and Orsi Relini, 2000). Another 263 analogy with the Loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta), a widespread species in the Mediterranean 264 Sea with high opportunistic behavior and selected as bioindicator species for the marine litter by 265 266 the MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter (2013), is the predominance of sheetlike and fragments among shape categories (Camedda et al., 2014; Campani et al., 2013; Tomás et al., 267 268 2002). Mesoplastics are the predominant size class ingested, both in juveniles and in adults, followed by
- Mesoplastics are the predominant size class ingested, both in juveniles and in adults, followed by microplastics and macroplastics. The little amount of macroplastics may be related to the predominant smaller size of the shark's preys.
- 272 Furthermore, another factor often considered to influence the ingestion of plastic debris is their color, probably in relation to those of their usual preys, which could trick the predators (Kühn et 273 274 al., 2015; Wright et al., 2013). The variable amount of plastic items of different colors detected in 275 the present work could be also in relation to the opportunistic feeding strategy of the blue shark 276 (Kühn et al., 2015). With regards to the possible impact of plastic items ingested, independent of 277 the amount, size and shape the chemical composition may play a major role (Wright et al., 2013). 278 Polyethylene (PE), both low density and high density followed by of Polypropylene were the most abundant plastic types identified in the present study. These are in fact widespread used in 279 280 packaging, grocery sacks, stretch-wrap, balloons, cables or pipe insulations (Peacock Andrew J., 281 2000). These low density polymers (Andrady, 2011) represent about 70% of floating plastics in the
- Western of Mediterranean Sea (Suaria et al., 2016). The plastic debris isolated from the stomach of the blue sharks analyzed in this study, reflect the composition and characteristics of floating plastic litter found in the same study area (Suaria et al., 2016; Suaria and Aliani, 2014).
- All things considered, blue shark could give information on the environmental status of the area, not only for pollutant contamination (Alves et al., 2016), but also for plastic pollution.
- This study adds important information to assess the source of marine litter that impact the Mediterranean biodiversity suitable for futures mitigation actions. The high occurrence of plastic litter in blue sharks raises a warning alarm on the impact that marine litter could have on the Mediterranean population, which is already declining and listed as Critically Endangered due to the impact of longline fisheries targeting other pelagic species. For this reason, in the future,

specific studies aimed at evaluating this impact for the blue shark in the entire Mediterranean basin are needed.

294295

296

Acknowledgements

297

- 298 Sampling activities were carried out in the framework of national and international projects
- 299 funded by EU, Italian Ministry of Agricultural, Alimentary and Forestry Policies (MiPAAF) and
- 300 Ligurian Regional Government.

301

302

309

310

311

312313

314

315

316

317

318 319

320

321

322

323

329

330

5 References

- Acampora., H., Schuyler, Q.A., Townsend, K.A., Hardesty, B.D., 2014. Comparing plastic ingestion in juvenile and adult stranded short-tailed shearwaters (Puffinus tenuirostris) in eastern

 Australia. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 78, 63–68.
- Alomar, C., Deudero, S., 2017. Evidence of microplastic ingestion in the shark Galeus melastomus Rafinesque, 1810 in the continental shelf off the western Mediterranean Sea. Environ. Pollut. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.015
 - Alves, L.M.F., Nunes, M., Marchand, P., Le Bizec, B., Mendes, S., Correia, J.P.S., Lemos, M.F.L., Novais, S.C., 2016. Blue sharks (Prionace glauca) as bioindicators of pollution and health in the Atlantic Ocean: Contamination levels and biochemical stress responses. Sci. Total Environ. 563–564, 282–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.085
 - Anastasopoulou, A., Mytilineou, C., Lefkaditou, E., Dokos, J., Smith, C.J., Siapatis, A., Bekas, P., Papadopoulou, K.-N., 2013. Diet and feeding strategy of blackmouth catshark Galeus melastomus. J. Fish Biol. 83, 1637–1655. https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/jfb.12269,
 - Anastasopoulou, Aikaterini, Mytilineou, C., Smith, C.J., Papadopoulou, K.N., 2013. Plastic debris ingested by deep-water fish of the Ionian Sea (Eastern Mediterranean). Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 74, 11–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2012.12.008
 - Avio, C.G., Gorbi, S., Regoli, F., 2015. Experimental development of a new protocol for extraction and characterization of microplastics in fish tissues: First observations in commercial species from Adriatic Sea 111, 18–26.
 - Bravo Rebolledo, E.L., Van Franeker, J.A., Jansen, O.E., Brasseur, S.M., 2013. Plastic ingestion by harbour seals (Phoca vitulina) in the Netherlands. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 67, 200–202.
- 324 Bres, M., 1993. The behaviour of sharks. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 3, 133–159.
- Camedda, A., Marra, S., Matiddi, M., Massaro, G., Coppa, S., Perilli, A., Ruiu, A., Briguglio, P., de Lucia, G.A., 2014. Interaction between loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) and marine litter in Sardinia (Western Mediterranean Sea). Mar. Environ. Res. 100, 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.12.004
 - Camhi, M.D., Pikitch, E.K., Babcock, E.A., 2008. Sharks of the Open Ocean. Biology, Fisheries and Conservation. Blackwell Science. Fish Aquat. Resour., 13.
- Campana, S.E., Dorey, A., Fowler, M., Joyce, W., Wang, Z., Wright, D., Yashayaev, I., 2011.

 Migration pathways, behavioural thermoregulation and overwintering grounds of blue sharks in the Northwest Atlantic. PLoS ONE 6e16854.

- Campani, T., Baini, M., Giannetti, M., Cancelli, F., Mancusi, C., Serena, F., Marsili, L., Casini, S.,
 Fossi, M.C., 2013. Presence of plastic debris in loggerhead turtle stranded along the
 Tuscany coasts of the Pelagos Sanctuary for Mediterranean Marine Mammals (Italy). Mar.
 Pollut. Bull. 74, 225–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.06.053
- Carrassón, M., Stefanescu, C., Cartes, J.E., 1992. Diets and bathymetric distributions of two bathyal sharks of the Catalan deep sea (western Mediterranean. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 1 82, 21–30.
- Cartes, J.E., Soler-Membrives, A., Stefanescu, C., Lombarte, A., Carrassón, M., 2016. Contributions of allochthonous inputs of food to the diets of benthopelagic fish over the northwest Mediterranean slope (to 2300m). 123–136.
- Carvalho, F.C., Murie, D.J., Hazin, F.H.V., Hazin, H.G., Leite-Mourato, B., Burgess, G.H., 2011.

 Spatial predictions of blue shark (Prionace glauca) catch rate and catch probability of juveniles in the Southwest Atlantic 68, 890–900. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr047

347 348

349

350

351

359

360 361

381

- Cliff, G., Dudley, S.F.J., Ryan, P.G., Singleton, N., 2002. Large sharks and plastic debris in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Mar. Freshw. Res. 53, 575–581.
- Coe, J.M., Rogers, D.B. (Eds.), 1997. Marine debris: sources, impacts, and solutions, Springer series on environmental management. Springer, New York.
- Cortés, E., 1997. A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of stomach contents: application to elasmobranch fishes 726–738.
- Cózar, A., Sanz-Martín, M., Martí, E., González-Gordillo, J.I., Ubeda, B., Gálvez, J.Á., Irigoien, X.,
 Duarte, C.M., 2015. Plastic Accumulation in the Mediterranean Sea. PLOS ONE 10,
 e0121762. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121762
- Day, R.H., Wehle, D.H.S., Coleman, F.C., 1985. Ingestion of plastic pollutants by marine birds. In R. S. Shomura & H. O. Yoshida (Eds.), Proceedings of the Workshop on the Fate and Impact of Marine Debris (pp. 344–386). Honolulu, Hawaii: U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS.
 - De la Serna, J.M., Valeiras, J., Ortiz, J.M., Macías, D., 2002. Large pelagic Sharks as By–catch in the Mediterranean Swordfish Longline Fishery: Some Biological Aspects. Elasmobranch Fisheries –. NAFO Scr Doc 02137, N4759.
- Denuncio, P., Bastida, R., Dassis, M., Giardino, G., Gerpe, M., Rodriguez, D., 2011. Plastic ingestion in franciscana dolphins, Pontoporia blainvillei (Gervais and D'Orbigny, 1844), from Argentina. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62, 1836–1841.
- Deudero, S., Alomar, C., 2015. Mediterranean marine biodiversity under threat: Reviewing influence of marine litter on species. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 98, 58–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.012
- Eriksen, M., Lebreton, L.C.M., Carson, H.S., Thiel, M., Moore, C.J., Borerro, J.C., Galgani, F., Ryan, P.G., Reisser, J., 2014. Plastic Pollution in the World's Oceans: More than 5 Trillion Plastic Pieces Weighing over 250,000 Tons Afloat at Sea. PLoS ONE 9, e111913. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111913
- Fossi, M.C., Pedà, C., Compa, M., Tsangaris, C., Alomar, C., Claro, F., Ioakeimidis, C., Galgani, F.,
 Hema, T., Deudero, S., Romeo, T., Battaglia, P., Andaloro, F., Caliani, I., Casini, S., Panti, C.,
 Baini, M., 2018. Bioindicators for monitoring marine litter ingestion and its impacts on
 Mediterranean biodiversity. Environ. Pollut. 237, 1023–1040.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.019
- Fossi, M.C., Romeo, T., Baini, M., Panti, C., Marsili, L., Campani, T., Canese, S., Galgani, F., Druon, J.-N., Airoldi, S., Taddei, S., Fattorini, M., Brandini, C., Lapucci, C., 2017. Plastic Debris Occurrence, Convergence Areas and Fin Whales Feeding Ground in the Mediterranean Marine Protected Area Pelagos Sanctuary: A Modeling Approach. Front. Mar. Sci. 4.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00167

- Galgani, F., Hanke, G., Werner, S., Oosterbaan, L., Nilsson, P., Fleet, D., Thompson, R.C.,
 VanFraneker, J., Vlachogianni, T., Scoullos, M., Mira Veiga, J., Palatinus, J., Matiddi, M.,
 Maes, T., Korpinen, S., Budziak, A., Leslie, H., Gago, J., Liebezeit, J., 2013b. Monitoring
 Guidance for Marine Litter in European Seas. JRC scientific and policy reports.
- Galgani, F., Souplet, A., Cadiou, Y., 1996. Accumulation of debris on the deep sea floor off the
 French Mediterranean coast. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 142, 225–234.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps142225
- Galloway, S.T., 2015. Micro- and nano-plastics and human health. In M. Bergmann, L. Gutow & M. Klages (Eds.), Marine anthropogenic litter (pp. 347–370). Berlin: Springer.
 - Garibaldi, F., 2015. By-catch in the mesopelagic swordfish longline fishery in the Ligurian Sea (western Mediterranean). ICCAT, Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap., 3 71, 1495–1498.

396

397

398

402

- Garibaldi, F., Orsi Relini, L., 2000. Abbondanza estiva, struttura di taglia e nicchia alimentare della
 verdesca, Prionace glauca, nel Santuario pelagico del Mar Ligure. Biol. Mar. Medit. 7, 324–
 333.
 - Gramentz, D., 1988. Involvement of loggerhead turtle with the plastic, metal, and hydrocarbon pollution in the central Mediterranean. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 19, 11–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X(88)90746-1
- Gregory, M.R., 2009. Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings—
 entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien invasions. Philos.
 Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 364, 2013–2025. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265
 - Gregory, M.R., 1978. Accumulation and distribution of virgin plastic granules on New Zealand beaches.
- Hjelmeland, K., Pedersen, H.B., Nilssen, E.M., 1998. Trypsin content in intestines of herring larvae,
 Clupea harengus, ingesting inert polystyrene spheres or live crustacea prey.
- Hummel, D.O., 2002. Atlas of plastics additives: analysis by spectrometric methods. Springer,
 Berlin; New York.
- Hutton, I., Carlile, N., Priddel, D., 2008. Plastic ingestion by flesh-footed shearwaters, Puffinus
 carneipes, and wedge-tailed shearwaters, Puffinus pacificus. Pap. Proc. R. Soc. Tasman.
 142, 67–72.
- Jackson, G.D., Buxton, N.G., George. M.J., 2000. Diet of the southern opah Lampris immaculatus on the Patagonia Shelf; the significance of the squid Moroteuthis ingens and antropogenic plastic.
- Koch, H.M., Calafat, A.M., 2009. Human body burdens of chemicals used in plastic manufacture.
 Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London B, 364, 2063–2078.
- Kohler, N.E., Turner, P.A., Hoey, J.J., Natanson, L.J., Briggs, R., 2002. Tag and recapture data for three pelagic shark species: blue shark (Prionace glauca), shortfin mako (Isurus oxyrinchus), and porbeagle (Lamna nasus) in the North Atlantic Ocean. Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT 54, 1231–1260.
- Kühn, S., Rebolledo, E.L.B., Franeker, J.A. van, 2015. Deleterious Effects of Litter on Marine Life, in:
 Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), Marine Anthropogenic Litter. Springer
 International Publishing, pp. 75–116.
- Laist, D.W., 1997. Impacts of Marine Debris: Entanglement of Marine Life in Marine Debris
 Including a Comprehensive List of Species with Entanglement and Ingestion Records, in:
 Coe, J.M., Rogers, D.B. (Eds.), Marine Debris. Springer New York, pp. 99–139.
- Lazar, B., Gračan, R., 2011. Ingestion of marine debris by loggerhead sea turtles, Caretta caretta, in the Adriatic Sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62, 43–47.
- 428 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.09.013

- Leone, A., Urso, I., Damalas, D., Martinshon, J., Zanzi, A., Mariani, S., Sperone, E., Micarelli, P.,
- Garibaldi, F., Megalofonou, P., Bargelloni, L., Franchi, R., Macias, D., Prodohl, P., Fitzpatrick,
- 431 S., Stagioni, M., Tinti, F., Cariani, A., 2017. Genetic differentiation and phylogeography of
- 432 Mediterranean-North Eastern Atlantic blue shark (Prionace glauca, L. 1758) using
- mitochondrial DNA: panmixia or complex stock structure? PeerJ 5e4112.
- 434 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4112

453

456

457 458

459

460

461 462

463

464 465

466

- Lopez, S., Meléndez, R., Barría, P., 2010. Preliminary diet analysis of the blue shark Prionace glauca in the eastern South Pacific 745–749.
- Lusher, A.L., McHugh, M., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 67, 94–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.028
- Madurell, T., 2003. Feeding Strategies and Trophodynamic Requirements of Deep- Sea Demersal Fish in the Eastern Mediterranean. University of the Balearic Islands.
- Marcovecchio, J.E., Moreno, V.J., Pérez, A., 1991. Metal accumulation in tissues of sharks from the Bahía Blanca estuary, Argentina.
- Matiddi, M., Hochsheid, S., Camedda, A., Baini, M., Cocumelli, C., Serena, F., Tomassetti, P.,
 Travaglini, A., Marra, S., Campani, T., Scholl, F., Mancusi, C., Amato, E., Briguglio, P.,
 Maffucci, F., Fossi, M.C., Bentivegna, F., de Lucia, G.A., 2017. Loggerhead sea turtles (
 Caretta caretta): a target species for monitoring litter ingested by marine organisms in the
 Mediterranean Sea. Environ. Pollut. 230, 199–209.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.06.054
- McCord, M.E., Campana, S.E., 2003. A quantitative assessment of diet of the Blue Shark (Prionace glauca) off Nova Scotia, Canada. J.Northw.Atl.Fish.Sci., Vol 32: 57-63.
 - Megalofonou, P., Damalas, D., de Metrio, G., 2009. Biological characteristics of blue shark, Prionace glauca, in the Mediterranean Sea 1233–1242.
- Megalofonou, P., Damalas, D., Yannopoulos, C., 2005a. Composition and abundance of pelagic shark by-catch in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Cybium 29, 135–140.
 - Megalofonou, P., Yannopoulos, C., Damalas, D., De Metrio, G., Deflorio, M., de la Serna, J.M., Macias, D., 2005b. Incidental catch and estimated discards of pelagic sharks from the swordfish and tuna fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea. Fishery Bulletin 103, 620–634.
 - MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter, 2013. Guidance on monitoring of marine litter in European seas. Publications Office, Luxembourg.
 - Net, S., Sempéré, R., Delmont, A., Paluselli, A., Ouddane, B., 2015. Occurrence, Fate, Behavior and Ecotoxicological State of Phthalates in Different Environmental Matrices. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 4019–4035. https://doi.org/10.1021/es505233b
 - Outi Setälä, Joanna Norkko, Maiju Lehtiniemi, 2015. Feeding type affects microplastic ingestion in a coastal invertebrate community.
 - Peacock Andrew J., 2000. Handbook of polyethylene. Structures, properties and applications. Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Plotkin, P.T., Amos, A.F., 1990. Effects of anthropogenic debris on sea turtles in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. In Proceedings of the Workshop on the Fate and Impact of Marine Debris 736–743.
- Rochman, C.M., Kurobe, T., Flores, I., Teh, S.J., 2014. Early warning signs of endocrine disruption in adult fish from the ingestion of polyethylene with and without sorbed chemical pollutants from the marine environment.
- Romeo, T., Pietro, B., Pedà, C., Consoli, P., Andaloro, F., Fossi, M.C., 2015. First evidence of presence of plastic debris in stomach of large pelagic fish in the Mediterranean Sea. Mar.
- 476 Pollut. Bull. 95, 358–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.04.048

- 477 Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V., Teo li, C., 2013. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani.
- 478 Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, 479 Roma.
- Schuyler, Q., Hardesty, B.D., Wilcox, C., Townsend, K., 2014. Global Analysis of Anthropogenic Debris Ingestion by Sea Turtles. Conserv. Biol. 28, 129–139.
- 482 https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12126
- Serrano, R., Fernández, M., Rabanal, R., Hernández, M., Gonzales, M.J., 2000. Congener-specific determination of polychlorinated biphenyls I shark and grou- per livers from the Northwest African Atlantic Ocean.
- Sims, D., Fowler, S.L., Ferretti, F., Stevens, J., 2016. Prionace glauca (Regional Assessment: Mediterranean): The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- Stevens, J., 2009. Prionace glauca: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- Stevens, J.D., 1973. STOMACH CONTENTS OF THE BLUE SHARK (PRIONACE GLAUCA L.) OFF SOUTH-490 WEST ENGLAND.
- Strid, A., Jörundsdóttir, H., Päpke, O., Svavarsson, J., Bergman, Å., 2007. Dioxins and PCBs in Greenland shark (Somniosus microcephalus) from the North-East Atlantic.
 - Suaria, G., Avio, C.G., Mineo, A., Lattin, G.L., Magaldi, M.G., Belmonte, G., Moore, C.J., Regoli, F., Aliani, S., 2016. The Mediterranean Plastic Soup: synthetic polymers in Mediterranean surface waters. Scientific Reports.
 - Teodoro Vaske Júnior, Rosangela Paula Lessa, Otto Bismarck Fazzano Gadig, 2009. Feeding habits of the blue shark (Prionace glauca) off the coast of Brazil.
 - Tomás, J., Guitart, R., Mateo, R., Raga, J.A., 2002. Marine debris ingestion in loggerhead sea turtles, Caretta caretta, from the Western Mediterranean. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 44, 211–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00236-3
- 501 UNEP, 2011. UNEP year book: Emerging issues in our global environment (79 p). Nairobi: United Nations Environmental Programme.
- van Franeker, J.A., Blaize, C., Danielsen, J., Fairclough, K., Gollan, J., Guse, N., Hansen, P.-L.,
 Heubeck, M., Jensen, J.-K., Le Guillou, G., Olsen, B., Olsen, K.-O., Pedersen, J., Stienen,
 E.W.M., Turner, D.M., 2011. Monitoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar Fulmarus
 glacialis in the North Sea. Environ. Pollut. 159, 2609–2615.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.008
- Vanadia, A., Zuffa, M., Storai, T., 2004. Osservazioni su di una femmina gravida di Prionace glauca (Linnaeus, 1758) catturata nelle acque siciliane. ESE 27.
- 510 https://doi.org/10.1285/i15910725v27p13
- Vas, P., 1991. Trace metal levels in sharks from British and Atlantic waters.
- Wright, S.L., Thompson, R.C., Galloway, T.S., 2013. The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. Environ. Pollut. 178, 483–492.
- 514 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031

495

496 497

498

499